

**THE STRUCTURE OF $Simp_{<\infty}(A)$ FOR
FINITELY GENERATED k -ALGEBRAS A .**

OLAV ARNFINN LAUDAL

Institute of Mathematics
University of Oslo

Introduction. Let k be any field, most often assumed to be algebraically closed, and consider a finitely generated k -algebra A . Let

$$Simp_{<\infty}(A) = \bigcup_n Simp_n(A)$$

be the set of (iso-classes of) finite dimensional simple right A -modules. An n -dimensional simple A -module $V \in Simp_n(A)$ defines a surjective homomorphism of k -algebras, $\rho : A \rightarrow End_k(V)$, the kernel of which is a two-sided maximal ideal \mathfrak{m}_V , of A . Let $Max_{\leq\infty}$ be the set of all such maximal ideals of A , for $n \geq 1$. To exclude some strange and for our purposes non-interesting cases, we shall assume that A has the following property:

$$Rad(A)^\infty := \bigcap_{\mathfrak{m} \in Max_{<\infty}(A), n \geq 0} \mathfrak{m}^n = 0$$

For want of a better name, we shall call such algebras *geometric*. It is easy to see that any finitely generated left (or right) Noetherian k -algebra A is geometric. The condition above is actually satisfied for most finitely generated k -algebras that we have come across and, in particular, for the free k -algebra on d symbols, $A = k \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d \rangle$, see the example (4.19) of [La 1].

We shall be concerned with the structure of the individual $Simp_n(A)$, $n \geq 1$, and we shall construct natural completions $Simp_\Gamma(A)$, of the scheme $Simp_n(A)$, adding indecomposable modules. We shall also see that the scheme of indecomposable two-dimensional representations induces interesting correspondences for hypersurfaces, and in particular for plane curves. The study of $Ind_\Gamma(A) := Simp_\Gamma(A) - Simp_n(A)$ may also throw light on the classical McKay correspondence. As a tool for studying $Simp_\Gamma(A)$ we introduce the Jordan morphism, and corresponding generalizations of the Deligne-Simpson problem. Finally we shall discuss to what extent the family $\{Simp_n(A)\}_{n \geq 1}$ of schemes determine the *globale* structure of A . In particular, are the K-groups (resp. the cyclic homology) of A determined by the K-groups, (resp. the de Rham cohomology) of the different $Simp_n(A)$? Conversely, what can we learn about the de Rham cohomology of $Simp_n(A)$, knowing the cyclic cohomology of A ?

This paper is meant as an introduction to a more comprehensive study of non-commutative plane curves, see [Jø-La-S].

Typeset by $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$ -TEX

Some general results. In [La 1] we introduced non-commutative deformations of families of modules of non-commutative k -algebras, and the notion of *swarm* of right modules (or more generally of objects in a k -linear abelian category). Let \underline{a}_r denote the category of r -pointed not necessarily commutative k -algebras R . The objects are the diagrams of k -algebras,

$$k^r \xrightarrow{\iota} R \xrightarrow{\rho} k^r$$

such that the composition of ι and ρ is the identity. Any such r -pointed k -algebra R is isomorphic to a k -algebra of $r \times r$ -matrices $(R_{i,j})$. The radical of R is the bilateral ideal $Rad(R) := \ker \rho$, such that $R/Rad(R) \simeq k^r$. The dual k -vectorspace of $Rad(R)/Rad(R)^2$ is called the tangent space of R .

For $r = 1$, there is an obvious inclusion of categories

$$\underline{l} \subseteq \underline{a}_1$$

where \underline{l} , as usual, denotes the category of commutative local artinian k -algebras with residue field k .

Fix a not necessarily commutative k -algebra A and consider a right A -module M . The ordinary deformation functor

$$Def_M : \underline{l} \rightarrow \underline{Sets}$$

is then defined. Assuming $Ext_A^i(M, M)$ has finite k -dimension for $i = 1, 2$, it is well known, see [Sch], or [La 0], that Def_M has a noetherian prorepresenting hull H , the *formal moduli* of M . Moreover, the tangent space of H is isomorphic to $Ext_A^1(M, M)$, and H can be computed in terms of $Ext_A^i(M, M)$, $i = 1, 2$ and their *matrix* Massey products, see [La 0].

In the general case, consider a finite family $\mathcal{V} = \{V_i\}_{i=1}^r$ of right A -modules. Assume that,

$$\dim_k Ext_A^1(V_i, V_j) < \infty.$$

Any such family of A -modules will be called a *swarm*. Define a deformation functor,

$$Def_{\mathcal{V}} : \underline{a}_r \rightarrow \underline{Sets}$$

generalizing the functor Def_M above. Given an object $\rho : R = (R_{i,j}) \rightarrow k^r$ of \underline{a}_r , consider the k -vectorspace and R -left module $(R_{i,j} \otimes_k V_j)$. ρ defines a k -linear and left R -linear map,

$$\rho(R) : (R_{i,j} \otimes_k V_j) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^r V_i,$$

inducing a homomorphism of R -endomorphism rings,

$$\tilde{\rho}(R) : (R_{i,j} \otimes_k Hom_k(V_i, V_j)) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^r End_k(V_i).$$

The right A -module structure on the V_i 's is defined by a homomorphism of k -algebras, $\eta_0 : A \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^r End_k(V_i)$. Let

$$Def_{\mathcal{V}}(R) \in \underline{Sets}$$

be the isoclasses of homomorphisms of k -algebras,

$$\eta' : A \rightarrow (R_{i,j} \otimes_k \text{Hom}_k(V_i, V_j))$$

such that,

$$\tilde{\rho}(R) \circ \eta' = \eta_0,$$

where the equivalence relation is defined by inner automorphisms in the k -algebra $(R_{i,j} \otimes_k \text{Hom}_k(V_i, V_j))$. One easily proves that $\text{Def}_{\mathcal{V}}$ has the same properties as the ordinary deformation functor and we prove the following, see [La 1-2, (2.6)]:

Theorem 1. *The functor $\text{Def}_{\mathcal{V}}$ has a prorepresentable hull, i.e. an object H of the category of pro-objects $\hat{\underline{a}}_r$ of \underline{a}_r , together with a versal family,*

$$\tilde{V} = (H_{i,j} \otimes V_j) \in \varprojlim_{n \geq 1} \text{Def}_{\mathcal{V}}(H/\mathfrak{m}^n)$$

such that the corresponding morphism of functors on \underline{a}_r ,

$$\rho : \text{Mor}(H, -) \rightarrow \text{Def}_{\mathcal{V}}$$

is smooth, and an isomorphism on the tangent level. Moreover, H is uniquely determined by a set of matrix Massey products of the form

$$\text{Ext}^1(V_i, V_{j_1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes \text{Ext}^1(V_{j_{n-1}}, V_k) \cdots \rightarrow \text{Ext}^2(V_i, V_k).$$

The right action of A on \tilde{V} defines a homomorphism of k -algebras,

$$\eta : A \longrightarrow O(\mathcal{V}) := \text{End}_H(\tilde{V}) = (H_{i,j} \otimes \text{Hom}_k(V_i, V_j)),$$

and the k -algebra $O(\mathcal{V})$ acts on the family of A -modules $\mathcal{V} = \{V_i\}$, extending the action of A . If $\dim_k V_i < \infty$, for all $i = 1, \dots, r$, the operation of associating $(O(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{V})$ to (A, \mathcal{V}) turns out to be a closure operation.

Moreover, we prove the crucial result,

A generalized Burnside theorem. *Let A be a finite dimensional k -algebra, k an algebraically closed field. Consider the family $\mathcal{V} = \{V_i\}_{i=1}^r$ of simple A -modules, then*

$$\eta : A \longrightarrow O(\mathcal{V}) = (H_{i,j} \otimes \text{Hom}_k(V_i, V_j))$$

is an isomorphism.

We also proved that there exists, in the noncommutative deformation theory, an obvious analogy to the notion of prorepresenting (modular) substratum H_0 of the formal moduli H . The tangent space of H_0 is determined by a family of subspaces

$$\text{Ext}_0^1(V_i, V_j) \subseteq \text{Ext}_A^1(V_i, V_j), \quad i \neq j$$

the elements of which should be called the almost split extensions (sequences) relative to the family \mathcal{V} , and by a subspace,

$$T_0(\Delta) \subseteq \prod_i \text{Ext}_A^1(V_i, V_i)$$

which is the tangent space of the deformation functor of the full subcategory of the category of A -modules generated by the family $\mathcal{V} = \{V_i\}_{i=1}^r$, see [La 1]. If $\mathcal{V} = \{V_i\}_{i=1}^r$ is the set of all indecomposables of some artinian k -algebra A , we show that the above notion of *almost split sequence* coincides with that of Auslander, see [R].

Using this we consider, in [La 2], the general problem of classification of iterated extensions of a family of modules $\mathcal{V} = \{V_i\}_{i=1}^r$, and the corresponding classification of filtered modules with graded components in the family \mathcal{V} , and extension type given by a directed representation graph Γ , see under section Completion of $\text{Simp}_n(A)$. The main result is the following, see [La 2. (4.7)], and :

Proposition 2. *Let A be any k -algebra, $\mathcal{V} = \{V_i\}_{i=1}^r$ any swarm of A -modules, i.e. such that,*

$$\dim_k \text{Ext}_A^1(V_i, V_j) < \infty \quad \text{for all } i, j = 1, \dots, r.$$

(i): *Consider an iterated extension E of \mathcal{V} , with representation graph Γ . Then there exists a morphism of k -algebras*

$$\phi : H(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow k[\Gamma]$$

such that

$$E \simeq k[\Gamma] \otimes_{\phi} \tilde{V}$$

in the above sense.

(ii): *The set of equivalence classes of iterated extensions of \mathcal{V} with representation graph Γ , is a quotient of the set of closed points of the affine algebraic scheme*

$$\underline{A}[\Gamma] = \text{Mor}(H(\mathcal{V}), k[\Gamma])$$

(iii): *There is a versal family $\tilde{V}[\Gamma]$ of A -modules defined on $A[\Gamma]$, containing as fibres all the isomorphism classes of iterated extensions of \mathcal{V} with representation graph Γ .*

To any, not necessarily finite, swarm $\underline{c} \subset \underline{\text{mod}}(A)$ of right- A -modules, we have associated two associative k -algebras, see [La 1,3], $O(|\underline{c}|, \pi)$, and a sub-quotient $\mathcal{O}_{\pi}(\underline{c})$, together with natural k -algebra homomorphisms,

$$\eta(|\underline{c}|) : A \longrightarrow O(|\underline{c}|, \pi)$$

and,

$$\eta(\underline{c}) : A \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\pi}(\underline{c})$$

with the property that the A -module structure on \underline{c} is extended to an \mathcal{O} -module structure in an optimal way. We then defined an *affine non-commutative scheme* of right A -modules to be a swarm \underline{c} of right A -modules, such that $\eta(\underline{c})$ is an isomorphism. In particular we considered, for finitely generated k -algebras, the swarm $\text{Simp}_{<\infty}^*(A)$ consisting of the finite dimensional simple A -modules, and the *generic point* A , together with all morphisms between them. The fact that this is a swarm, i.e. that for all objects $V_i, V_j \in \text{Simp}_{<\infty}$ we have $\dim_k \text{Ext}_A^1(V_i, V_j) < \infty$, is easily proved. We have in [La 1] proved the following result, (see (5.20), loc.cit. and Lemma 2. above.)

Proposition 3. *Let A be a geometric k -algebra, then the natural homomorphism,*

$$\eta(\text{Simp}^*(A)) : A \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_\pi(\text{Simp}_{<\infty}^*(A))$$

is an isomorphism, i.e. $\text{Simp}_{<\infty}^(A)$ is a scheme for A .*

In particular, $\text{Simp}_{<\infty}^*(k \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d \rangle)$, is a scheme for $k \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d \rangle$. To analyze the local structure of $\text{Simp}_n(A)$, we need the following, see [La 2], §4:

Lemma 4. *Let $\mathcal{V} = \{V_i\}_{i=1, \dots, r}$ be a finite subset of $\text{Simp}_{<\infty}(A)$, then the morphism of k -algebras,*

$$A \rightarrow O(\mathcal{V}) = (H_{i,j} \otimes_k \text{Hom}_k(V_i, V_j))$$

is topologically surjective.

Proof. Since the simple modules V_i , $i = 1, \dots, r$ are distinct, there is an obvious surjection, $\pi : A \rightarrow \prod_{i=1, \dots, r} \text{End}_k(V_i)$. Put $\mathfrak{r} = \ker \pi$, and consider for $m \geq 2$ the finite-dimensional k -algebra, $B := A/\mathfrak{r}^m$. Clearly $\text{Simp}(B) = \mathcal{V}$, so that by the generalized Burnside theorem, see [La], §4, we find, $B \simeq O^B(\mathcal{V}) := (H_{i,j}^B \otimes_k \text{Hom}_k(V_i, V_j))$. Consider the commutative diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & (H_{i,j}^A \otimes_k \text{Hom}_k(V_i, V_j)) =: O^A(\mathcal{V}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B & \longrightarrow & (H_{i,j}^B \otimes_k \text{Hom}_k(V_i, V_j)) \xrightarrow{\alpha} O^A(\mathcal{V})/\text{rad}^m \end{array}$$

where all morphisms are natural. In particular α exists since $B = A/\mathfrak{r}^m$ maps into $O^A(\mathcal{V})/\text{rad}^m$, and therefore induces the morphism α commuting with the rest of the morphisms. Consequently α has to be surjective, and we have proved the contention.

□

Localization and topology on $\text{Simp}(A)$. Let $s \in A$, and consider the *open subset* $D(s) = \{V \in \text{Simp}(A) \mid \rho(s) \text{ invertible in } \text{End}_k(V)\}$. The Jacobson topology on $\text{Simp}(A)$ is the topology with basis $\{D(s) \mid s \in A\}$. It is clear that the natural morphism,

$$\eta : A \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_\pi(D(s))$$

maps s into an invertible element of $O(D(s), \pi)$. Therefore we may define the localization $A_{\{s\}}$ of A , as the k -algebra generated in $O(D(s), \pi)$ by $\mathcal{O}_\pi(D(s))$ and the inverse of $\eta(s)$. This furnishes a general method of localization with all the properties one would wish. And in this way we also find a canonical (pre)sheaf, \mathcal{O} defined on $\text{Simp}(A)$.

Definition 5. *When the k -algebra A is geometric, such that $\text{Simp}^*(A)$ is a scheme for A , we shall refer to the presheaf \mathcal{O} , defined above on the Jacobson topology, as the structure presheaf of the scheme $\text{Simp}(A)$.*

In the next § we shall see that the Jacobson topology on $\text{Simp}(A)$, restricted to each $\text{Simp}_n(A)$ is the Zariski topology for a classical scheme-structure on $\text{Simp}_n(A)$.

Notice that, working on non-commutative invariant theory, one is led to believe that the topology on $\text{Simp}(A)$ should be saturated with respect to infinitesimal incidence, i.e. should be such that $\text{Ext}_A^1(V, V') \neq 0$ implies V' is in the closure of V . We shall come back to this later.

The algebraic (scheme) structure on $\text{Simp}_n(A)$. Recall that a standard n -commutator relation in a k -algebra A is a relation of the type,

$$[a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{2n}] := \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_{2n}} \text{sign}(\sigma) a_{\sigma(1)} a_{\sigma(2)} \dots a_{\sigma(2n)} = 0$$

where $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{2n}\}$ is a subset of A . Let $I(n)$ be the two-sided ideal of A generated by the subset,

$$\{[a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{2n}] \mid \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{2n}\} \subset A\}.$$

Consider the canonical homomorphism,

$$p_n : A \longrightarrow A/I(n) =: A(n).$$

It is well known that any homomorphism of k -algebras,

$$\rho : A \longrightarrow \text{End}_k(k^n) =: M_n(k)$$

factors through p_n , see e.g. [Formanek].

Corollary 6. (i). Let $V_i, V_j \in \text{Simp}_{\leq n}(A)$ and put $\mathfrak{r} = \mathfrak{m}_{V_i} \cap \mathfrak{m}_{V_j}$. Then we have, for $m \geq 2$,

$$\text{Ext}_A^1(V_i, V_j) \simeq \text{Ext}_{A/\mathfrak{r}^m}^1(V_i, V_j)$$

(ii). Let $V \in \text{Simp}_n(A)$. Then,

$$\text{Ext}_A^1(V, V) \simeq \text{Ext}_{A(n)}^1(V, V)$$

Proof. (i) follows directly from Lemma 2. To see (ii), notice that $\text{Ext}_A^1(V, V) = HH^1(A, \text{End}_k(V)) = \text{Der}_k(A, \text{End}_k(V))/\text{Triv} = \text{Der}_k(A(n), \text{End}_k(V))/\text{Triv} \simeq \text{Ext}_{A(n)}^1(V, V)$. The third equality follows from the fact that any derivation maps a standard n -commutator relation into a sum of standard n -commutator relations.

□

Example 7. Notice that, for distinct $V_i, V_j \in \text{Simp}_{\leq n}(A)$, we may well have,

$$\text{Ext}_A^1(V_i, V_j) \neq \text{Ext}_{A(n)}^1(V_i, V_j).$$

In fact, consider the matrix k -algebra,

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} k[x] & k[x] \\ 0 & k[x] \end{pmatrix},$$

and let $n = 1$. Then $A(1) = k[x] \oplus k[x]$. Put $V_i = k[x]/(x) \oplus (0)$, $V_j = (0) \oplus k[x]/(x)$, then it is easy to see that,

$$\text{Ext}_A^1(V_i, V_j) = k, \quad \text{Ext}_{A(1)}^1(V_i, V_j) = 0.$$

Lemma 8. *Let B be a k -algebra, and let V be a vectorspace of dimension n , such that the k -algebra $B \otimes \text{End}_k(V)$ satisfies the standard n -commutator-relations, i.e. such that the ideal, $I(n) \subset B \otimes \text{End}_k(V)$ generated by the standard n -commutators $[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2n}]$, $x_i \in B \otimes \text{End}_k(V)$, is zero. Then B is commutative.*

Proof. In fact if $b_1, b_2 \in B$ is such that $[b_1, b_2] \neq 0$, then the obvious n -commutator,

$$b_1 e_{1,1} b_2 e_{1,1} e_{1,2} e_{2,2} \dots e_{n-1,n} - b_2 e_{1,1} b_1 e_{1,1} e_{1,2} e_{2,2} \dots e_{n-1,n}$$

is different from 0. Here $e_{i,j}$ is the $n \times n$ matrix with all elements equal to 0, except the one in the (i, j) position, where the element is equal to 1.

□

Lemma 9. *If A is a finite type k -algebra, then any $V \in \text{Simp}_n(A)$ is an $A(n) := A/I_n$ -module, and the corresponding formal moduli, $H^{A(n)}(V)$ is isomorphic to $H^A(V)^{\text{com}}$, the commutativization of $H^A(V)$.*

Proof. Consider the natural diagram of homomorphisms of k -algebras,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & A & \longrightarrow & O(\text{Simp}^*(A), \pi) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Z(A(n)) & \longrightarrow & A(n) & & O(\text{Simp}_n^*(A), \pi) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H(V)^{\text{com}} & \longrightarrow & H(V)^{\text{com}} \otimes_k \text{End}_k(V) & \longleftarrow & (H_{i,j} \otimes_k \text{Hom}_k(V_i, V_j)) \end{array}$$

where $Z(A(n))$ is the center of $A(n) := A/I_n$, $V_i, V_j \in \text{Simp}_n(A)$, and $H(V)^{\text{com}}$ is the commutativization of $H(V)$. Clearly there are natural morphisms of formal moduli,

$$H^A(V) \rightarrow H^{A(n)}(V) \rightarrow H^A(V)^{\text{com}} \rightarrow H^{A(n)}(V)^{\text{com}}.$$

Since moreover

$$A(n) \rightarrow H^{A(n)}(V) \otimes \text{End}_k(V)$$

is topologically surjective, we find using (Lemma 6), that $H^{A(n)}(V)$ is commutative. But then the composition,

$$H^{A(n)}(V) \rightarrow H^A(V)^{\text{com}} \rightarrow H^{A(n)}(V)^{\text{com}},$$

is an isomorphism. Since by Corollary 4. the tangent spaces of $H^{A(n)}(V)$ and $H^A(V)$ are isomorphic, the lemma is proved.

□

Corollary 10. *Let $A = k \langle x_1, \dots, x_d \rangle$ be the free k -algebra on d symbols, and let $V \in \text{Simp}_n(A)$. Then*

$$H^A(V)^{\text{com}} \simeq H^{A(n)}(V) \simeq k[[t_1, \dots, t_{(d-1)n^2+1}]]$$

This should be compared with the results of [Procesi 1.], see also [Formanek]. There are further examples, some based upon the calculation of Tord Romstad, see [Romstad], showing that $H^A(V)$ is not commutative, even though $V \in \text{Simp}(A) = \text{Simp}_{\leq 2}(A)$.

In general the natural morphism,

$$\eta(n) : A(n) \rightarrow \prod_{V \in \text{Simp}_n(A)} H^{A(n)}(V) \otimes_k \text{End}_k(V)$$

is not an injection.

Example 11. In fact, let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} k & k & k \\ k & k & k \\ o & 0 & k \end{pmatrix}.$$

The ideal $I(2)$ is generated by $[e_{1,1}, e_{1,2}e_{2,2}e_{2,3}] = e_{1,3}$. So

$$A(2) = \begin{pmatrix} k & k & k \\ k & k & k \\ o & k & k \end{pmatrix} / \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & k \\ 0 & 0 & k \\ o & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = M_2(k) \oplus M_1(k).$$

However,

$$\prod_{V \in \text{Simp}_2(A)} H^{A(2)}(V) \otimes_k \text{End}_k(V) = M_2(k),$$

therefore $\ker \eta(2) = M_1(k) = k$.

Let $O(n)$, be the image of $A(n)$, then obviously,

$$O(n) \rightarrow \prod_{V \in \text{Simp}_n(A)} H^{O(n)}(V) \otimes_k \text{End}_k(V)$$

is injective and,

$$H^{O(n)}(V) \simeq H^{A(n)}(V).$$

for every $V \in \text{Simp}_n(A)$. Put $B = \prod_{V \in \text{Simp}_n(A)} H^{A(n)}(V)$. Let $x_i \in A, i = 1, \dots, d$ be generators of A , and consider the images $(x_{p,q}^i) \in B \otimes_k \text{End}_k(k^n)$ of x_i via the injective homomorphism of k -algebras,

$$O(n) \rightarrow B \otimes \text{End}_k(k^n),$$

obtained by choosing bases in all $V \in \text{Simp}_n(A)$. Now, B is commutative, so the k -subalgebra $C(n) \subset B$ generated by the elements $\{x_{p,q}^i\}_{i=1, \dots, d; p, q=1, \dots, n}$ is commutative. We have an injection ,

$$O(n) \rightarrow C(n) \otimes_k \text{End}_k(k^n).$$

and for all $V \in \text{Simp}_n(A)$ there is a natural projection,

$$C(n) \otimes_k \text{End}_k(k^n) \rightarrow H^{A(n)}(V) \otimes_k \text{End}_k(V).$$

This defines a set theoretical map,

$$t : \text{Simp}_n(A) \longrightarrow \text{Simp}(C(n)).$$

Since $A(n) \rightarrow H^{A(n)}(V) \otimes_k \text{End}_k(V)$ is topologically surjective, $H^{A(n)}(V) \otimes_k \text{End}_k(V)$ is topologically generated by the images of x_i . It follows that we have a surjective homomorphism,

$$\hat{C}_{t(V)}(n) \rightarrow H^{A(n)}(V).$$

Categorical properties implies, as usual, that there is another natural morphism,

$$H^{A(n)}(V) \rightarrow \hat{C}_{t(V)}(n),$$

which composed with the former is an automorphism of $H^{A(n)}(V)$. Since

$$C(n) \otimes_k \text{End}_k(k^n) \subseteq \prod_{V \in \text{Simp}_n(A)} H^{O(n)}(V) \otimes_k \text{End}_k(V).$$

It follows that for $v \in \text{Simp}(C(n))$, corresponding to $V \in \text{Simp}_n(A)$, the finite dimensional k -algebra $C(n)/\underline{m}_v^2 \otimes_k \text{End}_k(k^n)$ sits in a finite dimensional quotient of,

$$\prod_{V \in V} H^{O(n)}(V) \otimes_k \text{End}_k(V).$$

where $V \subset \text{Simp}_n(A)$ is finite. However, by Lemma 4. the composition of the morphisms,

$$A \longrightarrow O(n) \longrightarrow \prod_{V \in V} H^{O(n)}(V) \otimes_k \text{End}_k(V)$$

is topologically surjective. Therefore the morphism,

$$A \longrightarrow C(n)/\underline{m}_v^2 \otimes_k \text{End}_k(k^n)$$

is surjective, implying that the map

$$H^{A(n)}(V) \rightarrow \hat{C}_{t(V)}(n),$$

is surjective, and consequently, $H^{A(n)}(V) \simeq \hat{C}(n)_v$.

Moreover t is injective, so $\text{Simp}_n(A) \subset \text{Simp}(C(n))$. We have the following theorem, see Chapter VIII, §2, of the book of C. Procesi, [Procesi 2.], where part of this theorem is proved.

Theorem 12. *Let $V \in \text{Simp}_n(A)$, correspond to the point $v \in \text{Spec}(C(n))$. Then there exist a Zariski neighborhood U_v of v in $\text{Spec}(C(n))$ such that any $v' \in U$ corresponds to a point $V' \in \text{Simp}_n(A)$. Let $U(n)$ be the open subscheme of $\text{Spec}(C(n))$, the union of all U_v for $V \in \text{Simp}_n(A)$. $O(n)$ defines a non-commutative structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}(n) := \mathcal{O}_{\text{Simp}_n(A)}$ of Azumaya algebras on the topological space $\text{Simp}_n(A)$*

(Jacobson topology). The center $\mathcal{S}(n)$ of $\mathcal{O}(n)$, defines a scheme structure on $\text{Simp}_n(A)$. Moreover, there is a morphism of schemes,

$$\kappa : U(n) \longrightarrow \text{Simp}_n(A),$$

Such that for any $v \in U(n)$,

$$\hat{\mathcal{S}}(n)_{\kappa(v)} \simeq H^{A(n)}(V)$$

Proof. Let $\rho : A \longrightarrow \text{End}_k(V)$ be the surjective homomorphism of k -algebras, defining $V \in \text{Simp}_n(A)$. Let, as above $e_{i,j} \in \text{End}_k(V)$ be the elementary matrices, and pick $y_{i,j} \in A$ such that $\rho(y_{i,j}) = e_{i,j}$. Let us denote by σ the cyclical permutation of the integers $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, and put,

$$s_k := [y_{\sigma^k(1), \sigma^k(2)}, y_{\sigma^k(2), \sigma^k(3)}, \dots, y_{\sigma^k(n-1), \sigma^k(n)}], \quad s := \sum_{k=0,1,\dots,n-1} s_k \in A.$$

Clearly $s \in I(n-1)$. Since $[e_{\sigma^k(1), \sigma^k(2)}, e_{\sigma^k(2), \sigma^k(3)}, \dots, e_{\sigma^k(n-1), \sigma^k(n)}] = e_{\sigma^k(1), \sigma^k(n)} \in \text{End}_k(V)$, $\rho(s) := \sum_{k=0,1,\dots,n-1} \rho(s_k) \in \text{End}_k(V)$ is the matrix with non-zero elements, equal to 1, only in the $(\sigma^k(1), \sigma^k(n))$ position, so the determinant of $\rho(s)$ must be +1 or -1. The determinant $\det(s) \in C(n)$ is therefore nonzero at the point $v \in \text{Spec}(C(n))$ corresponding to V . Put $U = D(\det(s)) \subset \text{Spec}(C(n))$, and consider the localization $O(n)_{\{s\}} \subseteq C(n)_{\{\det(s)\}} \otimes_k \text{End}_k(V)$, the inclusion following from general properties of the localization, see above. Now, any closed point $v' \in U$ corresponds to a n -dimensional representation of A , for which the element $s \in I(n-1)$ is invertible. But then this representation cannot have a $m < n$ dimensional quotient, so it must be simple.

Since $s \in I(n-1)$, the localized k -algebra $O(n)_{\{s\}}$ does not have any simple modules of dimension less than n , and no simple modules of dimension $> n$. In fact, for any finite dimensional $O(n)_{\{s\}}$ -module V , of dimension m , the image \hat{s} of s in $\text{End}_k(V)$ must be invertible. However, the inverse \hat{s}^{-1} must be the image of a polynomial (of degree $m-1$) in s . Therefore, if V is simple over $O(n)_{\{s\}}$, i.e. if the homomorphism $O(n)_{\{s\}} \rightarrow \text{End}_k(V)$ is surjective, V must also be simple over A . Since now $s \in I(n-1)$, it follows that $m \geq n$. If $m > n$, we may construct, in the same way as above an element in $I(n)$ mapping into a nonzero element of $\text{End}_k(V)$. Since, by construction, $I(n) = 0$ in $A(n)$, and therefore also in $O(n)_{\{s\}}$, we have proved what we wanted. By a theorem of M. Artin, see [Artin], $O(n)_{\{s\}}$ must be an Azumaya algebra over its center, $S(n)_{\{s\}} := Z(O(n)_{\{s\}})$. Therefore $O(n)$ defines a presheaf $\mathcal{O}(n)$ on $\text{Simp}_n(A)$, of Azumaya algebras over its center $\mathcal{S}(n) := Z(\mathcal{O}(n))$. Clearly, any $V \in \text{Simp}_n(A)$, corresponding to $v \in \text{Spec}(C(n))$ maps to a point $s := \kappa(v) \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}(n))$. Since we know that,

$$H^{O(n)}(V) \simeq H^{A(n)}(V),$$

and since $O(n)$ is, locally Azumaya, it is clear that,

$$\hat{\mathcal{S}}(n)_s \simeq H^{O(n)}(V) \simeq H^{A(n)}(V).$$

The rest is clear.

□

Moreover, $\text{Spec}(C(n))$ is, in a sense, a compactification of $\text{Simp}_n(A)$, and we shall be able, using this embedding to study the degeneration processes that occur, at *infinity* in $\text{Simp}_n(A)$.

Example 13. Let us check the case of $A = k \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle$, the free non-commutative k -algebra on two symbols. First, let us compute $\text{Ext}_A^1(V, V)$ for $V \in \text{Simp}_2(A)$, and find a basis $\{t_i^*,\}_{i=1}^5$, represented by derivations $\psi_i \in \text{Der}_k(A, \text{End}_k(V))$, $i=1,2,3,4,5$. This is easy, since we have the exact sequence,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(V_1, V_2) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_k(V_1, V_2) \rightarrow \text{Der}_k(A, \text{Hom}_k(V_1, V_2)) \\ \rightarrow \text{Ext}_A^1(V_1, V_2) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

proving that, $\text{Ext}_A^1(V_1, V_2) = \text{Der}_k(A, \text{Hom}_k(V_1, V_2))/\text{Triv}$, where Triv is the subvectorspace of trivial derivations. Pick $V \in \text{Simp}_2(A)$ defined by the homomorphism $A \rightarrow M_2(k)$ mapping the generators x_1, x_2 to the matrices

$$X_1 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} =: e_{1,2}, \quad X_2 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} =: e_{2,1}.$$

Notice that

$$X_1 X_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} =: e_{1,1} = e_1, \quad X_2 X_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} =: e_{2,2} = e_2,$$

and recall also that for any 2×2 -matrix $(a_{p,q}) \in M_2(k)$, $e_i(a_{p,q})e_j = a_{i,j}e_{i,j}$. The trivial derivations are generated by the derivations $\{\delta_{p,q}\}_{p,q=1,2}$, defined by,

$$\delta_{p,q}(x_i) = x_i e_{p,q} - e_{p,q} x_i.$$

Clearly $\delta_{1,1} + \delta_{2,2} = 0$. Now, compute and show that the derivations ψ_i , $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$, defined by,

$$\psi_i(x_p) = 0, \text{ for } i = 1, 2, p = 1, \quad \psi_i(x_p) = 0, \text{ for } i = 4, 5, p = 2$$

by,

$$\psi_1(x_2) = e_{1,1}, \psi_2(x_2) = e_{1,2}, \psi_3(x_1) = e_{1,2}, \psi_4(x_1) = e_{2,1}, \psi_5(x_1) = e_{2,1}$$

and by,

$$\psi_3(x_2) = e_{2,1}$$

form a basis for $\text{Ext}_A^1(V, V) = \text{Der}_k(A, \text{End}_k(V))/\text{Triv}$. Therefore $H(V) = k[[t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5]]$, and the formal versal family \tilde{V} , is defined by the actions of x_1, x_2 , given by,

$$X_1 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 + t_3 \\ t_5 & t_4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad X_2 := \begin{pmatrix} t_1 & t_2 \\ 1 + t_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

One checks that there are polynomials of X_1, X_2 which are equal to $t_i e_{p,q}$, modulo the ideal $(t_1, \dots, t_5)^2 \subset H(V)$, for all $i, p, q = 1, 2$. This proves that $\hat{C}(2)_v \simeq H(V)$, and that the composition,

$$A \longrightarrow A(2) \longrightarrow M_2(C(2)) \subset M_2(H(V))$$

is topologically surjective.

Completions of $\text{Simp}_n(A)$. In the example above it is easy to see that elements of the complement of $\text{Simp}_n(A)$ in the affine subscheme $\text{Spec}(C(n))$ may not be represented by simple, nor indecomposable, representations. A decomposable representation W will not, however, in general be deformable into a simple representation, since good deformations should conserve $\text{End}_A(W)$. Therefore, even though we have termed $\text{Spec}(C(n))$ a compactification of $\text{Simp}_n(A)$, it is a bad *completion*. The missing points *at infinity* of $\text{Simp}_n(A)$, should be represented as indecomposable representations, with $\text{End}_A(W) = k$. Any such is an iterated extension of simple representations $\{V_i\}_{i=1,2,\dots,s}$, with representation graph Γ (corresponding to an *extension type*, see [La 2]), and $\sum_{i=1}^s \dim(V_i) = n$. To simplify the notations we shall write, $|\Gamma| := \{V_i\}_{i=1,2,\dots,s}$. In [La 2] we treat the problem of classifying all such, up to isomorphisms. Assume now that this problem is solved, i.e. that we have identified the *non-commutative* scheme of indecomposable Γ -representation, call it $\text{Ind}_\Gamma(A)$. Put $\text{Simp}_\Gamma(A) := \text{Simp}_n(A) \cup \text{Ind}_\Gamma(A)$. Now, repeat the basics of the construction of $\text{Spec}(C(n))$ above. Consider for every open affine subscheme $D(s) \subset \text{Simp}_\Gamma(A)$, the natural morphism,

$$A \rightarrow \varprojlim_{\underline{c} \subset D(s)} O(\underline{c}, \pi)$$

\underline{c} running through all finite subsets of $D(s)$, and consider, in particular, its projection,

$$A \rightarrow A(n) \rightarrow \prod_{V \in D(s)} H^{A(n)}(V)^{\text{com}} \otimes_k \text{End}_k(V).$$

Put $B_s(\Gamma) := \prod_{V \in D(s)} H^{A(n)}(V)^{\text{com}}$. Let $x_i \in A, i = 1, \dots, d$ be generators of A , and consider the images $(x_{p,q}^i) \in B_s(n) \otimes_k \text{End}_k(k^n)$ of x_i via the homomorphism of k -algebras,

$$A \rightarrow B_s(\Gamma) \otimes M_n(k),$$

obtained by choosing bases in all $V \in \text{Simp}_\Gamma(A)$. Notice that since V no longer is (necessarily) simple, we do not know that this map is topologically surjective. Now, $B_s(\Gamma)$ is commutative, so the k -subalgebra $C_s(\Gamma) \subset B_s(\Gamma)$ generated by the elements $\{x_{p,q}^i\}_{i=1,\dots,d; p,q=1,\dots,n}$ is commutative. We have a morphism,

$$I_s(\Gamma) : A \rightarrow C_s(\Gamma) \otimes_k M_n(k) = M_n(C_s(\Gamma)).$$

Moreover, these $C_s(\Gamma)$ define a presheaf, $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma)$, on the Jacobson topology of $\text{Simp}_\Gamma(A)$. The rank n free $C_s(\Gamma)$ -modules with the A -actions given by $I_s(\Gamma)$, glue together to form a locally free $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma)$ -Module $\mathcal{E}(\Gamma)$ on $\text{Simp}_\Gamma(A)$, and the morphisms $I_s(n)$ induce a morphism of sheaves of algebras,

$$I(\Gamma) : A \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}(\Gamma)}(\mathcal{E}(\Gamma)).$$

As for every $V \in \text{Simp}_\Gamma(A)$, $\text{End}_A(V) = k$, the commutator of A in $H^A(V)^{\text{com}} \otimes_k \text{End}_k(V)$ is $H^A(V)^{\text{com}}$. The morphism,

$$\zeta(V) : H^A(V)^{\text{com}} \rightarrow HH^0(A, H^A(V)^{\text{com}} \otimes_k \text{End}_k(V))$$

is therefore an isomorphism, and we may assume that the corresponding morphism,

$$\zeta : \mathcal{C}(\Gamma) \rightarrow HH^0(A, \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}(\Gamma)}(\mathcal{E}(\Gamma)))$$

is an isomorphism of sheaves. For all $V \in D(s) \subset \text{Simp}_{\Gamma}(A)$ there is a natural projection,

$$\kappa(\Gamma) : \mathcal{C}_s(\Gamma) \otimes_k M_n(k) \rightarrow H^{A(n)}(V)^{\text{com}} \otimes_k \text{End}_k(V),$$

which, composed with $I_s(\Gamma)$ is the natural homomorphism,

$$A \longrightarrow H^{A(n)}(V)^{\text{com}} \otimes_k \text{End}_k(V)$$

κ defines a set theoretical map,

$$t : \text{Simp}_{\Gamma}(A) \longrightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathcal{C}(\Gamma)),$$

and a natural surjectiv homomorphism,

$$\hat{\mathcal{C}}(\Gamma)_{t(V)} \rightarrow H^{A(n)}(V)^{\text{com}}.$$

Categorical properties implies, as usual, that there is another natural morphism,

$$\iota : H^{A(n)}(V) \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{C}}(\Gamma)_{t(V)},$$

which composed with the former is the obvious surjection, and such that the induced composition,

$$A \longrightarrow H^{A(n)}(V)^{\text{com}} \otimes_k \text{End}_k(V) \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{C}}(\Gamma)_{t(V)} \otimes_k \text{End}_k(V),$$

is $I(\Gamma)$ formalized at $t(V)$. From this, and from the definition of $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma)$, it follows that ι is surjective, such that for every $V \in \text{Simp}_{\Gamma}(A)$ there is an isomorphism $H^{A(n)}(V)^{\text{com}} \simeq \hat{\mathcal{C}}(\Gamma)_{t(V)}$. For $V \in \text{Simp}_{\Gamma}(A)$ there is also a natural commutative diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} ZA(n) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}(\Gamma) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ A(n) & \longrightarrow & \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}(\Gamma)}(\mathcal{E}(\Gamma)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^{A(n)}(V) \otimes_k \text{End}_k(V) & \longrightarrow & \hat{\mathcal{C}}(\Gamma)_{t(V)}(n) \otimes_k \text{End}_k(V) \end{array}$$

Formally at a point $V \in \text{Simp}_{\Gamma}(A)$, we have therefore proved that the local, commutative structure of $\text{Simp}_{\Gamma}(A)$ (as A or $A(n)$ -module), and the corresponding local structure of $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{C}(\Gamma))$ at V , coincide. We have actually proved the following,

Theorem 14. *The topological space $\mathcal{Simp}_\Gamma(A)$, with the Jacobson topology, together with the sheaf of commutative k -algebras $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma)$ defines a scheme structure on $\mathcal{Simp}_\Gamma(A)$, containing an open subscheme, etale over $\mathcal{Simp}_n(A)$. Moreover, there is a morphism,*

$$\pi(\Gamma) : \mathcal{Simp}_\Gamma(A) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(ZA(n)),$$

extending the natural morphism,

$$\pi_0 : \mathcal{Simp}_n(A) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(ZA(n)).$$

Proof. As in Theorem 12. we prove that if $v = t(V)$, $V \in \mathcal{Simp}_\Gamma(A)$, then there exists an open subscheme of $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{C}(\Gamma))$ containing only indecomposables with $\text{End}_A(V) = k$. The rest is clear.

□

These morphisms $\pi(\Gamma)$ are our candidates for the possibly different completions of $\mathcal{Simp}_n(A)$. Notice that for $W \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{C}(n)) - \mathcal{Simp}_n(A)$, the formal moduli $H^A(W)$ is not always prorepresenting, since $\text{End}_A(W) \neq k$ when W is semisimple, but not simple. The corresponding modular substratum will, locally, correspond to the semisimple deformations of W , thus to a closed subscheme of $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{C}(n)) - \mathcal{Simp}_n(A) \subset \text{Spec}(\mathcal{C}(n))$.

The McKay correspondence. Let us consider a special case, where a finite group G acts on a finite dimensional k -vectorspace, U . Put, $A_0 := \text{Sym}_k(U^*)$, and let $A := O(\mathcal{Simp}^*(A_0 - G))$ be the k -algebra of observables of the $A - G$ -swarm of orbits of the G -action. Recall, see [La 3], §8, that $ZA = A_0^G$, and that the classical quotient scheme U/G (exist and) is isomorphic to $\text{Spec}(A_0^G)$. Let $\{V_i\}_{i=1}^r$ be the finite family of irreducible (simple) G -representations. Let Γ be a representation graph (defining an extension type) of dimension n , i.e. such that $|\Gamma| = \{V_{i_p}\}_{p=1}^s$, $\sum_{p=1}^s \dim_k V_{i_p} = n$, and use Theorem 14. It says that there exist a scheme $\mathcal{Simp}_\Gamma(A)$ and a morphism,

$$\pi : \mathcal{Simp}_\Gamma(A) \rightarrow U/G = \text{Spec}(A_0^G),$$

extending the natural morphism,

$$\pi_0 : \mathcal{Simp}_n(A) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A_0^G).$$

If $n \geq \text{ord}G + 1$ the scheme $\mathcal{Simp}_n(A)$ has to be empty, since any $V \in \mathcal{Simp}_n(A)$ with support outside the origin in $\text{Spec}(A_0)$, correspond to a reduced orbit, and so necessarily have length less or equal to the order of G , and any V with support in $\{0\}$ is a simple G -representation with trivial A -action, so $\dim_k V \leq |G|$. Now, suppose G acts freely on an open subset of $\text{Spec}(A_0)$, and let Γ be a representation graph (corresponding to the extension type) of the regular representation of G . Under which conditions is the morphism,

$$\pi : \mathcal{Simp}_\Gamma(A) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A_0^G),$$

a desingularization of the affine scheme $\text{Spec}(A_0^G)$? If it is, is the representation graph uniquely determined? We shall come back to these well known problems in

a later paper. However, to see how we may compute the morphism π let us here consider two very simple examples:

1. Consider the group $G = Z/(2)$, generated by τ , acting on $U = k^2$ by $\tau = -id$. In this case $A_0 = k[x, y]$, and $\tau(x) = -x$, $\tau(y) = -y$, and $A_0^G = k[x^2, y^2, xy]$ is the well known singularity. Clearly G has two simple (irreducible) representations of dimension 1, V_i , $i = 0, 1$, where τ acts as $(-1)^i$, respectively, and the regular representation, is the sum of these. The orbits of G in $\text{Spec}(A_0) = \mathbf{A}^2$, are either of length 2, corresponding to a simple A -module of dimension 2, or is reduced to the origin. Therefore the indecomposable A -modules of dimension 2, must all have support at the origin. They must therefore be given by the indecomposables of representation graph,

$$V_0 \bullet \longrightarrow \bullet V_1.$$

Now all such are given in terms of the following actions of x, y, τ on the vectorspace k^2 .

$$V_t : X = \begin{pmatrix} 0, 0 \\ 1, 0 \end{pmatrix}, Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0, 0 \\ t, 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tau = \begin{pmatrix} 1, & 0 \\ 0, & -1 \end{pmatrix}, t \in k$$

or

$$V_\infty : X = \begin{pmatrix} 0, 0 \\ 0, 0 \end{pmatrix}, Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0, 0 \\ 1, 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tau = \begin{pmatrix} 1, & 0 \\ 0, & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Compute,

$$\text{Ext}_A^1(V_t, V_t) = HH^1(A, \text{End}_k(V_t)) = \text{Der}_k(A, \text{End}_k(V_t)) / \text{Triv}.$$

It is easy to see that $\text{Ext}_A^1(V_t, V_t) = k^2$, generated by the derivations, acting as follows:

$$\delta(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 0, w \\ 0, 0 \end{pmatrix}, \delta(y) = \begin{pmatrix} 0, tw \\ v, 0 \end{pmatrix}, \delta(\tau) = \begin{pmatrix} 0, 0 \\ 0, 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

parametrized by v, w . The corresponding formal moduli, and formal miniversal family are given by,

$$H(V_t)^{\text{com}} = k[[v, w]], \tilde{x} = \begin{pmatrix} 0, w \\ 1, 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tilde{y} = \begin{pmatrix} 0, tw + vw \\ v + t, 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tilde{\tau} = \begin{pmatrix} 1, & 0 \\ 0, & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This is easily seen by checking the relations, $xy = yx, x\tau = -\tau x, y\tau = -\tau y$ in A .

Notice that the formal miniversal family is algebraic, and that for $w = 0$ this gives us indecomposable A -modules, while for $w \neq 0$ the corresponding A -module is simple. Moreover, the map,

$$A_0^G = k[x^2, y^2, xy] \subset k[v, w]$$

is given by,

$$x^2 = w, y^2 = (t + v)^2 w, xy = (v + t)w,$$

which proves that,

$$\pi(\Gamma) : \text{Simp}_\Gamma(A) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A_0^G),$$

is a desingularization of the affine scheme $\text{Spec}(A_0^G)$. In fact it is just the ordinary desingularization of the A_1 -singularity $A_0^G = k[x^2, y^2, xy]$, and Γ is just the corresponding Dynkin diagram. The exceptional fibre of π is obviously \mathbf{P}^1 , given by $w = 0$, and V_∞ , see above.

2. Consider now the group $G = Z/(2)$, generated by τ , acting on $U = k^2$ by $\tau = \begin{pmatrix} 1, 0 \\ 0, -1 \end{pmatrix}$. In this case $A_0 = k[x, y]$, and $\tau(x) = x$, $\tau(y) = -y$, and $A_0^G = k[x, y^2]$ is non-singular. G has the two simple (irreducible) representations of dimension 1, V_i , $i = 0, 1$, where τ acts as $(-1)^i$, respectively, and the regular representation, is the sum of these. The orbits of G in $\text{Spec}(A_0) = \mathbf{A}^2$, are either of length 2, corresponding to a simple A -module of dimension 2, or is supported by the x -axis. Therefore the non-simple indecomposable A -modules of dimension 2, must all have support at the x -axis. They must be given by the indecomposables with representation graph,

$$V_0 \bullet \longrightarrow \bullet V_1.$$

Now all such are easily seen to be given by $k[x, y]/(x - t, y^2)$, identified with the x -axis. A similar computation as above shows that,

$$\pi(\Gamma) : \text{Simp}_\Gamma(A) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A_0^G),$$

is an isomorphism.

The general problem posed above seems not to be very easy, although the story is well known in case $G \subset \text{Sl}_2(k)$, and there is a long list of papers on the subject, see [B-K-R].

Now, consider for $s_2 \leq s_1 \leq n$, $V_1 \in \text{Simp}_{s_1}(A)$, $V_2 \in \text{Simp}_{s_2}(A)$, the commutative diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Z(n) & \longrightarrow & A(n) & & \\ \downarrow \rho_1 & & \downarrow & & \\ Z(s_1) & \longrightarrow & A(s_1) & \longrightarrow & \text{End}_k(V_1) \\ \downarrow \rho & & \downarrow & & \\ Z(s_2) & \longrightarrow & A(s_2) & \longrightarrow & \text{End}_k(V_2). \end{array}$$

Put $\rho_2 := \rho\rho_1$, and let $t(V_i) \in \text{Simp}(Z(s_i))$ be the points corresponding to the simple modules V_i .

Lemma 15. *In the situation above, if $\text{Ext}_{A(n)}^1(V_i, V_j) \neq 0$ then*

$$\rho_i : \text{Simp}(Z(s_i)) \rightarrow \text{Simp}(Z(n)), \quad i = 1, 2.$$

maps $t(V_i)$ to the same point.

Proof. If $\rho_1(t(V_1)) \neq \rho_2(t(V_2))$, the two corresponding maximal ideals \mathfrak{m}_i , $i = 1, 2$, of $Z(n)$ will be distinct, the sum $\mathfrak{m}_1 + \mathfrak{m}_2$ is then $Z(n)$. However, \mathfrak{m}_i annihilates V_i , therefore the sum will annihilate $\text{Ext}_A^1(V_i, V_j)$, which therefore must be zero.

□

Quantum correspondences of plane curves.

Let $f \in k \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle$, and put $A = k \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle / (f)$. Consider the algebraic plane curve,

$$C := \text{Simp}_1(A) = \text{Spec}(k[x_1, x_2]/(f)).$$

Put

$$\Gamma = \bullet \longrightarrow \bullet$$

then there are two natural morphisms

$$pr_i : \text{Ind}_\Gamma(A) \longrightarrow \text{Simp}_1(A), \quad i = 1, 2,$$

defining a correspondence,

$$\Phi = pr_1 pr_2^{-1} : C \dashrightarrow C.$$

We shall be interested in computing Φ , in general, or rather, we shall be concerned with the domain of definition of Φ , and its degree.

Clearly $p_2 \in \Phi(p_1)$ if and only if

$$E((p_1), k(p_2)) := \text{Ext}_A^1(k(p_1), k(p_2)) \neq 0$$

since then

$$\begin{pmatrix} k(p_1) & E((p_1), k(p_2))^* \\ 0, & k(p_2) \end{pmatrix}$$

will be an indecomposable A -module of dimension 2. Here $k(p_1), k(p_2)$ are, of course the two simple one-dimensional A -modules, corresponding to the points $p_1, p_2 \in C$. Now, we have an exact sequence of Hochschild cohomology,

$$\text{Hom}_k(k(p_1), k(p_2)) \xrightarrow{\phi} \text{Der}_k(A, \text{Hom}_k(k(p_1), k(p_2))) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_A^1(k(p_1), k(p_2)) \rightarrow 0.$$

The kernel of ϕ is $\text{Hom}_A(k(p_1), k(p_2))$, which is zero if $p_1 \neq p_2$, so ϕ must be injective, and therefore,

$$\text{Ext}_A^1(k(p_1), k(p_2)) = \text{Der}_k(A, \text{Hom}_k(k(p_1), k(p_2))) / k.$$

This implies that $\text{Ext}_A^1(k(p_1), k(p_2)) \neq 0$ if and only if,

$$J_x(f : p_1; p_2) = 0, \quad J_y(f : p_1; p_2) = 0$$

Here $J_{x_i}(f : (x_1, x_2); (u_1, u_2))$, $i = 1, 2$, are polynomials in two sets of non-commuting variables, (x_1, x_2) and (u_1, u_2) , linear functions in f , and defined on monomials $m_1 m_2$ such that

$$J_{x_i}(m_1 m_2) = J_{x_i}(m_1) m_2(u_1, u_2) + m_1(x_1, x_2) J_{x_i}(m_2), \quad J_{x_i}(x_j) = \delta_{i,j}.$$

In particular, $J_{x_1}([x_1, x_2]) = u_2 + x_2$, $J_{x_2}([x_1, x_2]) = x_1 + u_1$. Assume the two equations,

$$J_{x_i}(f : (x_1, x_2); (u_1, u_2)) = 0, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

admits solutions, $x_i = x_i(u_1, u_2)$, $i = 1, 2$, then put,

$$\tilde{f} := f(x_1(u_1, u_2), x_2(u_1, u_2)).$$

Clearly, the condition for the correspondence Φ to be defined on an open subscheme of the curve C , is that $\tilde{f}(u_1, u_2) = 0$ on an open subscheme of $C = Z(f(u_1, u_2))$.

The remarkable fact is that for any $f \in k \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle$, we have the following result,

Proposition 16. Put $f_q = f + q[x_1, x_2]$. Then, for generic q , \tilde{f}_q vanish on an open subscheme of C .

This is equivalent to saying that for generic q , the morphisms

$$pr_i : \text{Ind}_\Gamma(A) \longrightarrow \text{Simp}_1(A), \quad i = 1, 2,$$

are dominant and finite. We notice that if they are finite, they must be of degree $\leq (\deg(f)-1)^2$

Non-commutative Maclaurin series..

Before we prove the Proposition, let us take a second look at the Maclaurin expansion in classical calculus.

Definition 17. Let $f \in k \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle$ then, for any sequence $I_r = \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r\}$ with $i_l \in \{1, 2\}$ we define inductively,

$$\begin{aligned} J_{x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_r}}(f : (x_1, x_2); (u_1, u_2)) = \\ J_{x_{i_r}}(J_{x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_{r-1}}}(f : (x_1, x_2); (u_1, u_2)) : (x_1, x_2); (u_1, u_2)) \end{aligned}$$

We shall call $J_{x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_r}}(f : (x_1, x_2); (u_1, u_2))$ the non-commutative r 'th derivative (Jacobian) of f with respect to $x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_r}$.

Now these derivatives are really very nice, in fact they have the properties of divided powers,

Lemma 18. Let $S(I_r)$ be the group of permutations of the sequence I_r , then in $k[u_1, u_2]$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{S(I_r)} J_{x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_r}}(f : (u_1, u_2); (u_1, u_2)) \\ = 1/r_1!r_2! \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_r} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{r-1}} \dots \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} f \right) (u_1, u_2), \end{aligned}$$

where r_1, r_2 are the numbers of, respectively 1 and 2's in the sequence $\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r\}$

Proof. The formula is true for $r = 1$, by definition. Assume that it is true for all monomials f of degree $\leq n - 1$, and consider $f = m.x_i$, then, putting $x_l := x_{i_l}$ to save space,

$$J_{x_j}(m.x_i : (x_1, x_2); (u_1, u_2)) = J_{x_j}(m : (x_1, x_2); (u_1, u_2))u_i + m.\delta_{i,1}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{S(I_r)} J_{x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_r}}(m.x_i : (x_1, x_2); (u_1, u_2)) = \\ \sum_{S(I_r)} J_{x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_r}}(m : (x_1, x_2); (u_1, u_2))u_i + \\ \sum_{S(I_r)} J_{x_{i_2}, x_{i_3}, \dots, x_{i_r}}(m : (x_1, x_2); (u_1, u_2))\delta_{i,1} \end{aligned}$$

By induction, this is equal to,

$$1/r_1!r_2!\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_r}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{r-1}}\dots\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}m\right)(u_1, u_2)u_i+1/(r_1-1)!r_2!\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_r}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{r-1}}\dots\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}m\right)(u_1, u_2)\delta_{i,1}$$

which is easily seen to be equal to

$$1/r_1!r_2!\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_r}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{r-1}}\dots\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}m.x_i\right)(u_1, u_2)$$

proving the theorem.

□

Therefore we have, formally, the following result,

Proposition 19. *The Maclaurin (or Taylor) series expansion in $k[u_1, u_2, x_1, x_2]$ of $f \in k \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle$ is the following formula:*

$$f(x_1, x_2) = f(u_1, u_2) + \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r} J_{x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_r}}(f : (u_1, u_2); (u_1, u_2))(x_{i_1} - u_{i_1})(x_{i_2} - u_{i_2}) \dots (x_{i_r} - u_{i_r})$$

It is easy to see that this may be extended to a Taylor-series expansion in the non-commutative polynomial k -algebra. In fact, introduce the following notation:

Definition 20. *Let $f \in k \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle$, and let $\{v_1, v_2\}$ be new non-commuting variables. Denote by,*

$$J_{\underline{x}}(f : \underline{x}; \underline{v}, \underline{u}) \in k \langle \underline{x}, \underline{v}, \underline{u} \rangle$$

the linear function in f , defined for $f = x_i$, resp for $f = mx_j$, by:

$$\begin{aligned} J_{x_i}(x_j : \underline{x}; \underline{v}, \underline{u}) &= \delta_{i,j}v_i \\ J_{x_i}(mx_j : \underline{x}; \underline{v}, \underline{u}) &= J_{x_i}(m : \underline{x}; \underline{v}, \underline{u})u_j + \delta_{i,j}mv_i \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 21. *For $f \in k \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle$, and for some non-commuting variables $\{v_1, v_2\}$ we have, in $k \langle \underline{u}, \underline{v} \rangle$, the following identity,*

$$f(u_1 + v_1, u_2 + v_2) = f(u_1, u_2) + \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r} J_{x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_r}}(f : (u_1, u_2); (v_1, v_2); (u_1, u_2))$$

Now, let us prove Proposition 16. For $f = f_1 + q[x_1, x_2]$ the equations,

$$J_{x_i}(f : (x_1, x_2); (u_1, u_2)) = 0, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

admits solutions, $x_i = x_i(u_1, u_2)$, $i = 1, 2$, in $k[u_1, u_2]$. Use the Maclaurin series expansion of,

$$J_{x_i}(f : (x_1, x_2); (u_1, u_2)) \quad i = 1, 2,$$

in $k[[u_1, u_2]]$.

Then vi get,

$$J_{x_{i_1}}(f : (x_1, x_2); (u_1, u_2)) = J_{x_{i_1}}(f : (u_1, u_2); (u_1, u_2)) + \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r} J_{x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_r}}(f : (u_1, u_2); (u_1, u_2))(x_{i_2} - u_{i_2}) \dots (x_{i_r} - u_{i_r})$$

Since

$$J_{x_i}(f : (x_1(u_1, u_2), x_2(u_1, u_2)); (u_1, u_2)) = 0, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

we find

$$J_{x_{i_1}}(f : (u_1, u_2); (u_1, u_2))(x_{i_1} - u_{i_1}) = - \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r} J_{x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_r}}(f : (u_1, u_2); (u_1, u_2))(x_{i_1} - u_{i_1})(x_{i_2} - u_{i_2}) \dots (x_{i_r} - u_{i_r})$$

Using the Maclaurin series in the above Proposition, we obtain,

$$\tilde{f} := f(x_1(u_1, u_2), x_2(u_1, u_2)) = f(u_1, u_2),$$

in $k[[u_1, u_2]]$.

It is easy to see that the above can be extended to any hypersurface, and so to schemes in general. In fact, what we obtain is a kind of Abels addition theorem. See forthcoming preprint, Oslo University.

The smooth locus of an affine non-commutative scheme.

Recall from [La] that a point $V \in \text{Simp}_n(A)$ is called smooth (regular would probably have been better), if the natural k -linear map,

$$\kappa : \text{Der}_k(A, A) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_A^1(V, V)$$

is surjective.

Definition 22. Let $V \in \text{Simp}_n(A)$, then V is called formally smooth if,

$$HH^2(A, \text{End}_k(V)) = 0$$

Problem: Does

$$HH^2(A, A) = 0$$

imply that all $V \in \text{Simp}_n(A)$ are (formally) smooth?

Let $V \in \text{Simp}_n(A)$, and let $v \in \text{Simp}(Z(A))$ be the point corresponding to V . Denote by \mathfrak{m}_v the corresponding maximal ideal of $Z(A)$. Clearly $Z(A)$ operate naturally on the Hochschild cohomology, $HH^1(A, A)$, and the map κ factors through, $HH^1(A, A)/\mathfrak{m}_v HH^1(A, A)$, so that if V is smooth, we obtain a surjectiv k -linear map,

$$\kappa_0 : HH^1(A, A)/\mathfrak{m}_v HH^1(A, A) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_A^1(V, V).$$

It follows that $\max_{V \in \text{Simp}(A)} \{\dim_k HH^1(A, A)/\mathfrak{m}_v HH^1(A, A)\}$ is an upper bound for the dimensions of the smooth locus of $\text{Simp}_n(A)$ for all $n \geq 1$.

Clearly the definition of (formal) smoothness also works for any representation V .

Proposition 23. *If $V \in \text{Simp}_n(A)$ is smooth or formally smooth, then the corresponding point $v \in \text{Spec}(C(n))$ is also smooth.*

Proof. Assume that $V \in \text{Simp}_n(A)$ is formally smooth, then obviously the completion of the local ring of $\text{Simp}_n(A)$ at V is $H(V)^{\text{com}}$, which since $H(V)$ has no obstructions and therefore must be the completion of the free non-commutative k -algebra, is a formal power series algebra, and thus V is a smooth point of $\text{Simp}_n(A)$.

Now, assume V is smooth, and consider the natural commutative diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Der}_k(A, A) & & \\
 \downarrow \rho & & \\
 \text{Der}_k(A(n), A(n)) & \searrow \gamma & \\
 \downarrow \kappa & \delta \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}_A^1(V, V) \\
 \text{Der}_k(O(n), O(n)) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \uparrow \beta \\
 \downarrow \lambda & \epsilon \nearrow & \\
 \text{Der}_k(O(n)_{\{s\}}, O(n)_{\{s\}}) & & \\
 \uparrow \alpha & \longrightarrow & \text{Der}_k(S(n), k(v)) \\
 \text{Der}_k(S(n), S(n)) & &
 \end{array}$$

Notice that β is an isomorphism. This has been proved above. That ρ exists is easily seen, since for any derivation $\delta \in \text{Der}_k(A)$, and for any standard commutator $[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2n}] \in I(n)$, we must have $\delta([x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2n}]) \in I(n)$. Notice that the kernel of the homomorphism, $A(n) \rightarrow O(n)$ is the image in $A(n)$ of

$$\mathfrak{n} = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Max}_n(A), m \geq 1} \mathfrak{m}^m.$$

Clearly any derivation will map an element of \mathfrak{n} into \mathfrak{n} , proving the existence of κ . λ is defined by localization at the point $v \in \text{Spec}(C(n))$, as in the proof of Theorem 9. We may assume $O(n)_{\{s\}}$ is a matrix algebra $M_n(S(n))$, and use the fact that any derivation of a matrix algebra is given by a derivation of the centre and an inner derivation, (HH^1 is Morita invariant). The inner derivation will map to zero in $\text{Ext}_A^1(V, V)$, and so the composition of α and ϵ is surjective.

□

The converse is not true.

Some examples.

1. Let S be any commutative algebra, and denote by $\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{a} \subset S$ two ideals of S . Consider the k -algebra,

$$A := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a_{1,1} & a_{1,2} \\ a_{2,1} & a_{2,2} \end{pmatrix} \mid a_{i,j} \in S, a_{1,1} - a_{2,2} \in \mathfrak{a}, a_{1,2}, a_{2,1} \in \mathfrak{b} \right\}.$$

Clearly the centre of $A = A(2) = O(2)$, is $S(2) = C(2) = S$ and a simple calculation shows that,

$$A(1) = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cc} \tilde{a}_{1,1} & \tilde{a}_{1,2} \\ \tilde{a}_{2,1} & \tilde{a}_{2,2} \end{array} \right) \mid \tilde{a}_{i,j} \in \mathfrak{b}/\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}, i \neq j, \tilde{a}_{1,1}, \tilde{a}_{2,2} \in S/\mathfrak{b}^2, \tilde{a}_{1,1} - \tilde{a}_{2,2} \in \mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{b}^2 \right\}.$$

Then $A(1)$ is the commutative k -algebra expressed by Nagata rings, i.e.

$$A(1) = ((S/\mathfrak{b}^2)[(\mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{b}^2)][(\mathfrak{b}/\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b})^2].$$

Consider the subschemes $V(\mathfrak{a}) \subset V(\mathfrak{b}) \subset \text{Spec}(S)$. Then, $\text{Simp}_2(A) = \text{Spec}(S) - V(\mathfrak{b})$ and a simple calculation shows that $\text{Simp}_1(A) = \text{Spec}(A(1))$ is a thickening of the affine scheme $\text{Spec}((S/\mathfrak{b}^2)[(\mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{b}^2)])$. In the special case,

$$S = k[t_1, t_2], \mathfrak{a} = (f, g), \mathfrak{b} = (f)$$

where $f, g \in S$, correspond to two curves, $V(f), V(g)$ that intersect in a finite set U , one finds that $\text{Simp}_2(A)$ is an open affine subscheme of $\text{Spec}(S)$, and that $\text{Simp}_1(A) = \text{Spec}(A(1))$ is the disjoint union of the curve $V(f)$ with itself, amalgamated at the points of U . If both $V(f)$ and $V(g)$ are smooth, and intersect normally at the points of U , then the embedding-dimension of $\text{Simp}_1(A) = \text{Spec}(A(1))$ at a point not in U , is 2, and at the points of U , 6!

2. Let in the above example, $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{a} = (t_1, t_2)$, then $\text{Simp}_2(A) = \text{Spec}(S) - \{(0, 0)\}$, therefore not affine, and $\text{Simp}_1(A) = \text{Spec}(A(1))$ is a thick point situated at the origin of the affine 2-space $\text{Spec}(S)$.

3. Let us compute the $\text{Simp}_2(A)$ for the non-commutative cusp, i.e. for the k -algebra,

$$A = k \langle x, y \rangle / (x^3 - y^2).$$

We first notice that the center $Z(A) \subset A$ is the subalgebra of A generated by $t := x^3 = y^2$. Put

$$u_1 = x^2y, v_1 = yx^2.$$

Then there is a surjective morphism,

$$k[t, t^{-1}] \langle u, v \rangle / (uvu - vuv) \longrightarrow A(t^{-1})$$

mapping u to u_1 and v to v_1 . In fact, $u_1v_1 = t^2x$ and $v_1u_1v_1 = t^3y$, and finally $u_1v_1u_1 = t^3y = v_1u_1v_1$. (The relations with the equation of Yang-Baxter, if any, will have to be discovered.)

Now let us compute the $\text{Simp}_n(A)$. It is clear that any surjectiv homomorphism of k -algebras,

$$\rho_v : A \longrightarrow \text{End}_k(V)$$

will map $Z(A) = k[t]$ into $Z(\text{End}_k(V)) = k$, inducing a point $v \in \text{Simp}(k[t]) = \mathbf{A}^1$. This means that $\text{Simp}_n(A)$ is fibred over the affine line $\text{Spec}(k[t]) = \mathbf{A}^1$. Let $\rho_v(x)^3 = \rho_v(y)^2 = \kappa(v)\mathbf{1}$, where $\mathbf{1}$ is the identity matrix, and where $\kappa(v)$ is a

parameter of the cusp. Then either $v = \text{origin} =: \underline{\varrho}$ or we may assume $\kappa(v) \neq 0$. Consider now the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 k[t = x^3 = y^2] & & \\
 \downarrow & \searrow & \\
 A & \xrightarrow{\rho_v} & \text{End}_k(V) \\
 \downarrow & \nearrow & \\
 k[x]/(x^3 - \kappa(v)) * k[y]/(y^2 - \kappa(v)) & &
 \end{array}$$

Clearly, if $\kappa(v) \neq 0$ the simple representations of A are fibered on the cusp with fibres being the simple representations of $U := k[x]/(x^3 - \kappa(v)) * k[y]/(y^2 - \kappa(v))$, isomorphic to the group algebra of the modular group $Sl_2(\mathbf{Z})$. Since the representation theory of $Sl_2(\mathbf{Z})$ is known, this shows, in principle, how to go about describing the open subscheme of $\text{Simp}_n(A)$ corresponding to $\kappa(v) \neq 0$, for all $n \geq 0$.

We shall however have to work a little to find the fibre of $\text{Simp}_n(A)$ corresponding to the singular point of the cusp. When $n = 2$ it is clear that we have no choice, but to fix the Jordan form of $\rho_v(y)$ equal to the Jordan form of

$$\rho_v(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $I(\rho_v(x))$ be the isotropy subgroup of the action of $Gl_n(k)$ on $M_n(k)$, at $\rho_v(x)$. Set theoretically, the fiber is then the double quotient,

$$I(\rho_v(x)) \backslash Gl_n(k) / I(\rho_v(x))$$

To find the scheme structure we may compute the formal moduli of the simple module given by,

$$\rho_v(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \rho_v(y) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We compute and find the following,

Example 24. Let A be the non-commutative cusp. Then

(i) $\text{Simp}_1(A) = \text{Spec}(k[x, y]/(x^3 - y^2))$

(ii) $\text{Simp}_2(A)$ is fibered on the cusp minus the origin, with fiber $E(\underline{t}) = U_2/T^2$ where U_2 is an open subscheme of the 3-dimensional scheme of all pairs of 2-vectors, with vector product equal 1, and T^2 is a two dimensional torus, acting naturally on U_2 .

(iii) $S(2) = k[t^2, t^3, u]$.

(iv) The fiber $E(\underline{\varrho})$ over $\underline{\varrho}$ is given by,

$$\tilde{\rho}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} t & 1 \\ 0 & t \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{\rho}(y) = \begin{pmatrix} u & 0 \\ 1+v & -u \end{pmatrix}$$

parametrized by the k -algebra $k[t, u, v]/(t^2, u^2, (1+v)t)$, i.e. it is the open subscheme of the double line parametrized by v , with the point $v = -1$ removed.

(v) In particular we find that $E(\underline{\varrho})$ is a component of $\text{Simp}_2(A)$.

The Jordan correspondence. As we have seen in the above example, the computation of the structure of the different $\text{Simp}_n(A)$ for a given k -algebra A , is naturally related to the problem of finding the possible Jordan forms for the action of the generators $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^d$ of A on a vector space of dimension n .

Notice that when A is the group algebra of the homotopy group of the p -pointed Poincaré sphere this problem is, in some quarters, called the Deligne-Simpson problem, and is related to classical problems in monodromy theory, see e.g. [Katz], [Kostov] and [Simpson].

We shall now see how this can be formulated in non-commutative algebraic geometry, using the existence of a non-commutative moduli space for iso-classes of endomorphisms, developed in [La 1], § 8. Let $\text{End}_k(k^n) = \text{Spec}(k[x_{i,j}])$, and let $B := k[x_{i,j}]$ and $G := \text{Gl}_n(k)$. For each *formal normal Jordan form* of dimension n , there is an orbite, such that the affine ring of its closure is a $B - G$ -representation $\rho_i : B \rightarrow V_i$. Corresponding to a family $\mathcal{V} = \{V_i\}_i$ of $B - G$ -modules, there is a deformation functor and a versal family of $B - G$ -modules, $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$, together with a homomorphism of B -modules,

$$\tilde{\rho} : B \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{V}} = (H_{i,j} \otimes V_j).$$

In all cases known to us, there is an algebraic k -algebra $H' \subset (H_{i,j})$, and a universal family defined on H' , inducing the formal one above. This H' , from now on called $\text{End}(n)$, is simply $O(\mathcal{V}^*, \pi)$, the affine k -algebra of the non-commutative moduli scheme $\mathbf{End}(n)$ of iso-classes of endomorphisms, see [La 2]. Here \mathcal{V}^* is the $A - G$ -swarm defined by the morphisms, $\rho_i : B \rightarrow V_i$. There is a homomorphism of k -algebras,

$$\eta : B \rightarrow O(\mathcal{V}, \pi) = (H_{i,j} \otimes \text{Hom}_k(V_i, V_j)).$$

inducing a homomorphism of k -algebras,

$$\eta : B^G \rightarrow \text{End}(n).$$

In [La 1] we have computed $\text{End}(n)$ for $n = 2$ and in a forthcoming paper, see [Siq 2], Arvid Siqueland has computed $\text{End}(n)$ for $n = 3$. There is, however, a problem with this set up; the lack of an *algebraic structure* on the map,

$$M_n(k) := \text{End}_k(k^n) \longrightarrow \mathbf{End}(n).$$

To overcome this, let us go back to the general theory for a while. Let A be given, as above, and consider a swarm, $\underline{\mathcal{C}} \subset A - \text{mod}$. Let $V_i, V_j \in \underline{\mathcal{C}}$. We shall say that V_i is *above* V_j , and write it $V_i > V_j$ if $\text{Ext}_A^1(V_i, V_j) \neq 0$. Given a point $V \in \underline{\mathcal{C}}$ we shall call the subset $\{V' \in \underline{\mathcal{C}} \mid V' > V\}$ the *focal swarm* of V , and the subset $\{V' \in \underline{\mathcal{C}} \mid V > V'\}$ will be called the *local swarm* of V . In the case of the swarm $\text{Simp}(B - G)$, if V is an object, i.e. the affine algebra of the closure of an orbit, there is a finite focal swarm \mathcal{V}_V of V , corresponding to the orbits $\text{Simp}(V_i)$ containing $\text{Simp}(V)$ in their closure, i.e. to the set of points V_i for which there is a $B - G$ -module homomorphism of V_i onto V .

Now consider the left $\text{End}(n)$ and right B -module $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$, and fix an element $q \in \text{Simp}(B)$. Then there exists a unique closed orbit $\text{Simp}(V(q))$ containing q , such

that $q \in \text{Simp}(V) - \cup_{V_j < V} \text{Simp}(V_j)$. Let $\mathcal{V}_q := \mathcal{V}_{V(q)}$, and consider the commutative diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \tilde{\mathcal{V}} & \longrightarrow & \prod_{\mathcal{V} \subset \text{Simp}(B-G)} (H_{i,j}(\mathcal{V}) \otimes V_j) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \tilde{\mathcal{V}} \otimes_B k(q) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{\mathcal{V} \subset \text{Simp}(B-G)} (H_{i,j}(\mathcal{V}) \otimes V_j \otimes_B k(q)) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \tilde{H}(\mathcal{V}_q) & \longrightarrow & H(\mathcal{V}_q)
 \end{array}$$

Here \mathcal{V} runs through all finite subsets of $\text{Simp}(B-G)$, and $k(q)$ is the residue field of the point $q \in \text{Simp}(B)$. This induces an $\text{End}(n)$ -module homomorphism,

$$\tilde{q} : \tilde{\mathcal{V}} \longrightarrow H(\mathcal{V}_q)$$

Notice that the points, i.e. simple quotient modules, of the $\text{End}(n)$ -module $H(\mathcal{V}_q)$ correspond precisely to the local swarm \mathcal{V}_q . Moreover, this defines a unique, *algebraic*, morphism, the Jordan morphism,

$$J : \text{End}_k(k^n) \longrightarrow \{\mathcal{V} \subset \mathbf{End}(n) \mid \mathcal{V} \text{ local swarm}\}.$$

Notice also that $\tilde{H}(\mathcal{V}_q)$ is a left $\text{End}(n)$ and a right B -module. Fixing q , any element $c \in \text{Simp}(\text{End}(n))$, i.e. any Jordan form, therefore defines a simple B -module, an element $c(q) \in \text{Simp}(B)$. In this way we obtain a local section of the $\text{GL}_n(k)$ -orbit stratification of $M_n(k)$ parametrized by $\text{Simp}(\text{End}(n))$.

Now, assume given a k -algebra A , generated by the elements $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^p$, and a simple n -dimensional representation $V \in \text{Simp}_n(A)$. Recall again the commutative diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & A & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \\
 S(n) & \longrightarrow & O(n) & \longrightarrow & C(n) \otimes_k \text{End}_k(V) \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & H^O(V) \otimes_k \text{End}_k(V) & \simeq & \hat{C}_t(V) \otimes_k \text{End}_k(V)
 \end{array}$$

Clearly, the element $x_i \in A$ induces a homomorphism,

$$x_i : B \rightarrow C(n),$$

therefore a natural map,

$$X_i : \text{Simp}_n(A) \rightarrow \text{Simp}(B) = M_n(k).$$

Together we have proved the following,

Theorem 25. *There exists a natural algebraic correspondence,*

$$J(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r) : \text{Simp}_n(A) \longrightarrow \{\mathcal{V} \subset \mathbf{End}(n) \mid \mathcal{V} \text{ local swarm}\}^r$$

Let us compute J in the first non-trivial case, i.e. for $n = 2$. For this we first need to compute the versal family, $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$, i.e. the action of B on $\tilde{\mathcal{V}} = H \otimes \mathcal{V}$. This is easily done by using the k -linear and $Gl(2)$ -invariant section of the morphism $B \rightarrow V_1 = B/(s_1, s_2)$, induced by fixing a k -basis for V_1 ,

$$\{x_{1,1}^{n_0} x_{1,2}^{n_1} x_{2,1}^{n_2} =: x_{1,1}^{n_0} v_0\}_{0 \leq n_0 \leq 1, 0 \leq n_1, n_2}$$

mapping, multiplicatively, $x_{1,1}$ to $1/2(x_{1,1} - x_{2,2})$, and $x_{i,j}, i \neq j$ to $x_{i,j}$, see §10 of [La 1]. We obtain,

$$\tilde{\mathcal{V}} = (H(\{V_i\})_{i,j} \otimes V_j) = \begin{pmatrix} k[s_1, s_2] \otimes V_1 & H_{1,2} \otimes V_2 \\ 0 & k[s] \otimes V_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $V_2 = k$, subject to the relation in $H_{1,2} = k[s_1, s_2] \langle t_1, t_2 \rangle k[s]$,

$$t_1 s^2 - s_2 t_1 - 2 \cdot t_2 s + s_1 t_2 = 0,$$

with the $k[x_{i,j}]$ -action given by,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \otimes v_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \otimes v_2 \end{pmatrix} x_{i,j} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \otimes v_1 x_{i,j} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

if $i \neq j$, and,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \otimes v_0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \otimes v_2 \end{pmatrix} x_{1,1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \otimes v_0 x_{1,1} - 1/2 s_1 \otimes v_0 & -1/2 t_1 \otimes \bar{v}_0 \\ 0 & -s \otimes v_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \otimes v_0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \otimes v_2 \end{pmatrix} x_{2,2} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \otimes v_0 x_{1,1} - 1/2 s_1 \otimes v_0 & -1/2 t_1 \otimes \bar{v}_0 \\ 0 & -s \otimes v_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Moreover, the (1,1)-term of the matrix,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \otimes v_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \otimes v_2 \end{pmatrix} x_{1,1}$$

for $v_1 = v_0 x_{1,1}$, looks like,

$$-1 \otimes v_0 x_{1,2} x_{2,1} - 1/2 s_1 \otimes v_0 x_{1,1} + s_2 \otimes v_0$$

and the (1,2)-term has the form,

$$t_2 \otimes v'_0 - 1/2 t_1 s \otimes v'_0 - (s_1/2)^2 / (1 - (s_1/2)) t_1 \otimes v'_0,$$

The (1,1)-term of the matrix,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \otimes v_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \otimes v_2 \end{pmatrix} x_{2,2}$$

has the form,

$$1 \otimes v_0 x_{1,2} x_{2,1} - 1/2 s_1 \otimes v_0 x_{1,1} - s_2 \otimes v_0$$

and the (1,2)-term looks like,

$$-t_2 \otimes v'_0 + 1/2 t_1 s \otimes v'_0 + (s_1/2)^2 / (1 - (s_1/2)) t_1 \otimes v'_0.$$

Here v'_0 is the image of v_0 in V_2 . Notice that for $s_1 = 2$ these formulas are undefined. Assume $s_1 \neq 2$, then J is defined, and in particular,

$$J(\underline{0}) = ((0, 0), 0).$$

The (generalized Deligne-Simpson) problem we encountered above, is now the following:

Problem 26. Given a k -algebra A , finitely generated by the elements $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^r$, characterize the image of the morphism,

$$J(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r) : \text{Simp}_n(A) \rightarrow \mathbf{End}(n)^p.$$

In the case of the cusp above, it is easy to compute the image of J , when $n = 1, 2$, and not so easy when $n \geq 3$.

A structure theorem for geometric k -algebras. Let A be a geometric algebra, and assume moreover that $I(n) = 0$ thus, $A \simeq A(n)$, so that A does not have any simple modules of dimension greater than n . Now, for any $m \leq n$, consider the natural morphism,

$$A \rightarrow \prod_{\mathcal{V} \subset \text{Simp}_m(A)} O^A(\mathcal{V})$$

where \mathcal{V} runs through all finite subsets of $\text{Simp}_m(A)$. Call the image $D(m)$. Clearly there is a natural surjectiv homomorphism,

$$D(m) \longrightarrow O(m) \subset \prod_{V \in \text{Simp}_m(A)} H^{A(m)} \otimes \text{End}_k(V),$$

see Proposition 11. Let $\mathcal{D}(m)$, $\mathcal{O}(m)$, be corresponding (non-commutative) sheaves on $\text{Simp}_m(A)$. Consider the diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K(n) & \longrightarrow & A(n) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}(n) \\ \downarrow \rho_1 & & \downarrow & & \\ K(n-1) & \longrightarrow & A(n-1) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}(n-1) \\ \downarrow \rho & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & A(1) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}(1). \end{array}$$

where $K(m)$ is the kernel of the morphism $A(m) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(m)$. Clearly $K(1) = 0$.

Theorem 27. For any geometric k -algebra with $I(n) = 0$, there is a sheaf of matrix algebras \mathcal{D} , defined on $\text{Simp}_n(A)$, and an injectiv homomorphism of k -algebras,

$$A \longrightarrow \mathcal{D},$$

where \mathcal{D} is generated by matrices of the type,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{D}(n) & * & \dots & * \\ * & \mathcal{D}(n-1) & \dots & * \\ * & * & \dots & \mathcal{D}(1) \end{pmatrix},$$

such that $\text{Simp}_m(A) = \text{Simp}(\mathcal{D}(m))$.

Proof. This is now just another way of stating Proposition 1., i.e. saying that $A \simeq O(\text{Simp}^*(A))$, since clearly $O(\text{Simp}^*(A)) \subseteq \mathcal{D}$.

□

The following simple consequence of the O -construction, is going to be rather useful,

Corollary 28. Suppose the geometric k -algebra A satisfies the following conditions,

- (1) $I(n)=0$
- (2) $\text{Ext}_A^1(V, V') = 0$, if $\dim V < \dim V'$ (resp. if $\dim V > \dim V'$)

Then \mathcal{D} is a sheaf of upper triangular (resp. lower triangular) matrices of the form,

$$\mathcal{D} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{D}(n) & * & \dots & * \\ 0 & \mathcal{D}(n-1) & \dots & \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \mathcal{D}(1) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Remark. The above condition (2) is very often satisfied, and in particular, it is satisfied for the coordinate k -algebras of affine subschemes of (non-commutative) orbit spaces of the action of a (finite dimensional) reductive Lie group. In fact, if the Lie group G acts on the affine scheme $X = \text{Spec}(B)$ such that the (non-commutative) orbit space, see [La ?] is an affine (non-commutative) k -algebra A , then, for any local swarm $\mathcal{V} = \{V_1, V_2, \dots, V_r\}$, of $B - G$ -modules, corresponding to closed orbits $\text{Spec}(V_1) \supset \text{Spec}(V_2) \supset \dots \supset \text{Spec}(V_r)$, then

$$(3) \quad \text{Ext}_{A-G}^1(V_i, V_j) = 0, \text{ for all } j < i.$$

This implies that the corresponding formal moduli of $\mathcal{V} = \{V_1, V_2, \dots, V_r\}$ has the form,

$$H(V) = \begin{pmatrix} H_{1,1} & * & \dots & * \\ 0 & H_{2,2} & \dots & \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & H_{r,r} \end{pmatrix}.$$

This again will imply that A will have the form,

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{S}(n) & * & \dots & * \\ 0 & \mathcal{S}(n-1) & \dots & \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \mathcal{S}(0) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here $\text{Simp}(\mathcal{S}(p))$ is the (possibly non-commutative) subscheme of $\text{Simp}(A)$ corresponding to the p -dimensional orbits. Let us prove (3) above. There are two spectral sequences converging to $\text{Ext}_{A-G}^*(V_i, V_j)$, one given by

$$E_2^{p,q} = H^p(G, \text{Ext}_B^q(V_i, V_j)),$$

the other with,

$$E_2^{p,q} = HH^p(B, H^q(G, \text{Hom}_k(V_i, V_j))).$$

If $p + q = 1$, then the last one will be reduced to,

$$E_2^{0,1} = HH^0(B, H^1(G, \text{Hom}_k(V_i, V_j))) = 0,$$

since G is reductive, and

$$E_2^{1,0} = HH^1(B, H^0(G, \text{Hom}_k(V_i, V_j))) = 0,$$

since, obviously, $H^0(G, \text{Hom}_k(V_i, V_j)) = \text{Hom}_G(V_i, V_j) = 0$ for $j < i$.

A spectral sequence. Let the finitely generated k -algebra A be such that $A \simeq A(n)$. Then $\text{Simp}_m(A) = \emptyset$, for $m \geq n$. To what extent will the globale scheme structures of the $\text{Simp}_p(A)$ determine the globale structure of A , and vice versa? In particular, is the cyclic homology of A determined by the de Rham cohomology of the different $\text{Simp}_p(A)$, and conversely, what can we learn about the de Rham cohomology of $\text{Simp}_p(A)$ knowing the cyclic cohomology of A ? The first result in this direction is the following trivial observation,

Lemma 29. *Suppose, in the above situation, that the ideals $J(m-1) := I(m-1)/I(m) \subset A(m)$, $m \geq 1$, are H -unital, then there exists a spectral sequence with,*

$$E_{p,m}^1 = HC_p(J(m-1)),$$

converging to (abutting at) $HC_*(A)$.

Proof. See, e.g. [Loday]

□

Theorem 30. *Let A satisfy the following conditions,*

- (1) $I(n)=0$
- (2) $\text{Ext}_A^1(V, V') = 0$, if $\dim V < \dim V'$ (resp. if $\dim V > \dim V'$).
- (3) $\text{Simp}_m(A) = \text{Spec}(C(n))$ is affine for $m \geq 1$.

Then,

$$A \simeq \mathcal{D}$$

and there is a spectral sequence with,

$$E_{p,m}^1 = HC_p(C(m)),$$

converging to (abutting at) $HC_*(A)$. Moreover, if all $\text{Simp}_m(A)$ are smooth affine schemes, then

$$HC_p(C(m)) = \bigoplus_{l \geq 1} H_{d,R}^{p-2l}(\text{Simp}_m(A)) \oplus (\Omega_{\text{Simp}_m(A)}^p / d\Omega_{\text{Simp}_m(A)}^{p-1})$$

Proof. Use the Lemma 23. If $\text{Simp}_m(A)$ is affine for $m \geq 1$, it follows that the map $A(m) \rightarrow C(m) \otimes M_m$ is surjectiv. The problem is to show that $I(m-1)$ maps surjectively onto $C(m) \otimes M_m$. However, the image of $I^A(m-1)$ in $C(m) \otimes M_m$ is $I^{C(m) \otimes M_m}(m)$ which, obviously, is $C(m) \otimes M_m$, since $C(m) \otimes M_m$ has no modules of dimension strictly less than m . But then $A \simeq \mathcal{D}$. Now, $A \simeq \mathcal{D}$ is triangular, and the ideals $J(m-1) := I(m-1)/I(m) \subset A(m)$ are obviously H -unital. Since cyclic homology is Morita invariant, the result follows from, e.g. [Loday], see 2.2.12, and Chapter 3.

□

REFERENCES

- [Artin] Artin, M.: On Azumaya Algebras and Finite Dimensional Representations of Rings. *Journal of Algebra* 11, 532-563 (1969).
- [B-K-R], Bridgeland, T.-King, A.-Reid, M.: Mukai implies McKay: the McKay correspondence as an equivalence of derived categories. arXiv:math.AG/9908027 v2 2 May 2000.
- [Formanek] Formanek, E.: The polynomial identities and invariants of $n \times n$ Matrices. *Regional Conference Series in Mathematics*, Number 78. Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences by the American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, (1990)
- [Jø-La-Sl] Jøndrup, S, Laudal, O.A., Sletsjøe, A.B.: Noncommutative Plane Geometry. Forthcoming.
- [Katz] Katz, N.M.: Rigid local systems. *Ann.Math.Studies*, No. 139, Princeton University Press, (1995).
- [Kostov] Kostov, V.P.: On the existence of monodromy groups of Fuchsian systems on the Riemann's sphere, with unipotent generators. *J.Dynam. Control Syst.* 2 (1) (1996), pp.125-155.
- [La 0] Laudal, O.A.: Matric Massey products and formal moduli I. in (Roos, J.E.ed.) *Algebra, Algebraic Topology and their interactions Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Springer Verlag, vol 1183, (1986) pp. 218-240.
- [La 1] Laudal, O.A.: Noncommutative Algebraic Geometry. Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik, Preprint Series 2000 (115).
- [La 2] Laudal, O.A.: Noncommutative deformations of modules. Special Issue in Honor of Jan-Erik Roos, Homology, Homotopy, and Applications, Ed. Hvedri Inassaridze. International Press, (2002).
- [La 3] Laudal, O.A.: Noncommutative algebraic geometry : Proceedings of the International Conference in honor of Prof. Jos Luis Vicente Crdoba, Sevilla 2001. *Revista Matematica Iberoamericana.*(2002 ?).
- [Loday] Loday, J.-L.: Cyclic Homology. Springer-Verlag, (1991).
- [Procesi 1.] Procesi, C.: Non-commutative affine rings. *Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend.Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur.* (8)(1967), 239-255
- [Procesi 2.] Procesi, C.: Rings with polynomial identities. Marcel Dekker, Inc. New York, (1973).
- [Romstad] Romstad, T.: Non-commutative Algebraic Geometry Applied to Invariant Theory of Finite Group Actions. *Cand.Scient.Thesis*. Institute of Mathematics, University of Oslo (2000).
- [Simpson] Simpson, C.T.: Products of matrices. *Differential Geometry, Global Analysis and Topology*, Canadian Math. Soc. Conf. Proc. 12, Amer.Math. Soc. Providence, (1992), pp. 157-185.
- [Siq 2] Siqveland, A.: The moduli of endomorphisms of 3-dimensional vector spaces. Manuscript. Institute of Mathematics, University of Oslo (2001)

BOX.1053, BLINDERN 0316 OSLO, NORWAY

E-mail address: arnfinnl@math.uio.no, <http://www.math.uio.no>