ABSTRACT
We present and evaluate a novel interface for tracking ensemble performances on touch-screens. The system uses a Random Forest classifier to extract touch-screen gestures and transition matrix statistics. It analyses the resulting gesture-state sequences across an ensemble of performers. A series of specially designed iPad apps respond to this real-time analysis of free-form gestural performances with calculated modifications to their musical interfaces. We describe our system and evaluate it through cross-validation and profiling as well as concert experience.
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1. INTRODUCTION
Free-improvised ensemble musical performances can be considered as sequences of musical sections segmented by moments where the group spontaneously moves to explore a new musical idea [19, pp. 58–59]. This paper describes the design and evaluation of a server-based agent that tracks this type of musical interaction on touch screen apps and makes calculated adjustments to the performers' interfaces based on the ensemble performance to support their improvisational creativity.

Previous work has identified a vocabulary of gestures used by expert percussionists on iPad interfaces [13]. We used these results to construct an agent that observes performers' touch-screen interactions in real-time and classifies them as a sequence of gestural states. Our agent estimates the occurrence of new ideas across the ensemble by calculating a measure, flux, on the transition matrix of these gesture states.

We have developed several iPad apps that are designed to respond to this agent by updating their user interface. The aim with our apps is to present an “interface-free interface” to the performers, where the ensemble’s musical direction is used to adjust pitches, effects, and sonic-material available to the performers. Three of our apps will be described in this paper that have different paradigms for interaction with the agent; Snow Music supports the performers with complementary sounds when they continue certain gestures; PhaseRings rewards the performers with new pitches and harmonies when they explore different gestures together; and BirdsNest disrupts performers who stay on certain gestures too long with changes in the app’s sound and features.

Evaluation of our agent’s classifier has demonstrated a 97% level of accuracy when trained and evaluated with high-quality data. Time profiling for a typical performance has shown that our system should scale for use in live concerts with up to 25 performers. Experience with our apps over several concerts demonstrates that the system is practical and that our range of iPad apps provides performers with opportunities to develop styles of gestural and musical interaction, both with the agent and each other. In the next section, we will discuss prior research in this area. Following that, we will describe the construction of our system of agent and apps in detail and report on the results of our evaluations.

2. BACKGROUND
A common design pattern for computer music performances is the “Laptop Orchestra” [23, 3] (LO) where multiple performers use similar hardware and software setups in an ensemble performance. The formalism inherent in such groups allows the establishment of compositional repertoire, a ped-
agogy [26], and an emphasis on liveness. Frequently, the software setup itself is considered to be the “composed” aspect of the musical work and the performers improvise their own parts [18].

In LOs and other ensembles employing new interfaces for musical expression, artificial-intelligence agents have been used as improvisation partners or as ensemble members [12]. Such agents may be designed to imitate a particular musician [24], or to follow a broader style [15] using statistical models including those based on Markov processes [1]. A more abstract role for an agent in LOs is as a “virtual conductor” [23] which communicates with the ensemble providing cohesive direction of broad musical intentions. When conducting or performing agents respond to other members of the ensemble, they may be tracking features extracted from audio streams [9] or the output of machine-learning algorithms applied to sensors [6].

Simultaneously with the development of LOs, the concept of “mobile music” has gained currency [8]. Here, powerful mobile devices such as smartphones and tablets have been co-opted as musical instruments [10] due to the affordances of their multiple sensors, their convenient form-factors and their growing cultural importance [22]. Inevitably, mobile device ensembles have emerged, using phones to perform gamelan-like sounds [17] or to develop a repertoire of sensor-based music [25]. The proliferation of multitouch devices has emphasised exploration of touch user interfaces [14] and of developing mobile apps as a classroom activity [5]. As with Tangible User Interfaces [29] mobile devices present new opportunities for participation and creativity in musical ensembles and both smartphones [20] and tablets [13] have been used in improvised-music ensembles. In fact, their widespread adoption has led to their use in music education; Williams [27] has reported that these meta-instruments suggest exploratory and collaborative modes of music making in the classroom.

The concept of capturing gesture in performance is central to the NIME field [11]. While there are many systems for classifying or tracking gestures [4] during performances, these are generally focussed on the performance of individual musicians. In this research we present a system that classifies the gestures of a mobile-music ensemble simultaneously and continuously analyses the whole ensemble’s behaviour. One approach for analysing performer behaviour is to construct transition matrices of changes between a set of musical states which characterise that performance. This approach has been first described by Swift et al in their analysis of “live coding” protocols [21]. In the present work, we further develop this transition matrix approach for real-time gestural analysis of touch-screen ensembles.

3. SYSTEM DESIGN

Our agent, Metatone Classifier, consists of a Python application which can run on a laptop computer in the performance venue, or on a remote server. The agent has no sound-output capabilities and interacts with specially designed iPad apps which are used by our ensemble as performance instruments. During performances, the ensemble’s iPad apps connect to the server over a Wi-Fi network using Bonjour (zero-configuration networking) provided by the pybonjour module. Once connected, the iPad apps send logs of each touch event to the agent using the OSC message format [7]. Once touch events have been sent to the agent, it begins to analyse the performance and return information to the performers’ iPads at a rate of once per second. The analysis is performed in two stages: firstly, each performer’s recent touches are classified into a gesture class which are returned to their iPad; secondly, gesture transitions from the whole ensemble are compiled into a matrix which can be analysed to measure the state of the whole ensemble. This information is then sent to every iPad. While the agent is generally operated as a server process, we have also developed a simple UI for Apple OS X that allows the server to be monitored during our research performances.

3.1 Gesture Classifier

Metatone Classifier classifies gestures by calculating descriptive statistics from each performer’s touch data using a sliding window of five seconds duration. These include: frequency of movement, frequency of touch starts, mean location of touches, standard deviation of touch location and mean velocity. A Random Forest classifier [2] from Python’s scikit-learn [16] package was trained using known examples of nine touch-screen gestures (see Table 1) recorded in a studio session by our app designer. At a rate of once per second during performances, the classifier identifies each performer’s gesture using the last five seconds of collected touch-data. These timing parameters (five-second windows reported once per second) were tuned by trial and error. The server stores these identified gestures and also sends them to the performers’ iPads.

3.2 Transition Matrices and Flux

Although classifications of each performer’s current gesture is useful, more interesting information about the performance can be gained by analysing the performers’ transitions between gestures. Given a set of gestures $G$, each musician’s gesture activity can be represented as a sequence $X_n$ where each $X_i$ is a member of $G$. To examine transitions between gestures we can consider the sequence $X_n$ as a Markov chain, and calculate its transition matrix. The transition matrix $P$ for a Markov process with $m$ states is an $m \times m$ matrix

$$p_{ij} = \Pr(X_{n+1} = j | X_n = i)$$

such that the transition from state $i$ to state $j$ is given by the entry in the $i$th row and $j$th column. We can estimate the transition matrix for a whole performance. Let $N_{ij}$ be the number of times that state $i$ was followed by state $j$ in $X_n$. The maximum likelihood estimator of $P$ is then

$$p_{ij} = \frac{N_{ij}}{\sum_j N_{ij}}$$

The matrix $P$ is a concise way of characterising the gesture transition behaviour of each musician’s activity in the performance [21]. To summarise the whole ensemble’s activity, we can average the transition matrices of each performer.

### Table 1: Touch-screen gestures that our classifier is trained to identify during performances.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Nothing</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>FT</td>
<td>Fast Tapping</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>ST</td>
<td>Slow Tapping</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>FS</td>
<td>Fast Swiping</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>FSA</td>
<td>Accelerating Fast Swiping</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>VSS</td>
<td>Very Slow Swirling</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>BS</td>
<td>Big Swirling</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>Small Swirling</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Combination of Swirls and Taps</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The usefulness of this characterisation relies on the gesture sequences being Markovian—that every transition explicitly depends on the previous state. While this assumption may be difficult to justify over a long gesture sequences, our transition matrices are calculated over short sections of 15 seconds length, which, arguably, would encompass little long-term planning by the musicians.

To compare the ensemble activity between sections of the performance, we derive a high level quantity, called flux, which measures how much the musicians change gesture. The transition matrix can be interpreted as a description of trajectories through the set of gestures, \( G \). One style of moving through this space is in a segmented fashion, where a musician will spend long periods performing one gesture, only occasionally changing to another. At the other end of the spectrum is a more frantic approach where a musician jumps frequently between gestures, never dwelling on any particular gesture for too long.

Mathematically, we can discriminate between these, and intermediate styles of interaction by measuring the flux of the transition matrix \( P \), where

\[
\text{flux}(P) = \frac{\|P\|_1 - \|\text{diag}(P)\|_1}{\|P\|_1}
\]

(4)

where \( \|P\|_1 = \sum_{i,j} |p_{ij}| \) is the element-wise 1-norm of the matrix \( P \) and \( \text{diag}(P) \) is the vector of the main diagonal entries of \( P \).

The flux measure returns a value in the range \([0,1]\). It will return 0 when all non-zero elements of the matrix are on the main diagonal, that is, the performers never change gesture, and return 1 when no performer stays on the same gesture for two classifications in a row. Flux is small (closer to 0) when the ensemble rarely changes gesture, and large (closer to 1) when the performers change gesture frequently and is, therefore, a measure of how quickly an ensemble changes from state to state.

### 3.3 Identifying “New Ideas”

In Metatone Classifier, we are particularly interested in identifying moments of peak flux in the performance that might correspond to performers exploring a new gestural idea. We want the agent to report such moments to the performers’ iPads so that they can update their functionality in response. In our implementation, each second, our agent computes the ensemble transition matrices of the two previous 15 second windows of the performance, and calculates their flux. When the most recent flux measurement exceeds the next most recent by a certain threshold, the system reports a “new-idea” message back to the performers’ iPads. As it is possible that a single “new-idea” would be captured by several sequential measurements, the iPad apps include a rate-limiting function, that will ignore messages arriving more frequently than once per minute.

### 3.4 A Repertoire of Touch-Screen Apps

Three iPad apps, “BirdsNest”, “Snow Music” and “PhaseRings”, have been designed to interact with our agent during performance. All of these apps have sound material designed in Pure Data and integrated into the app using libpd, with the remaining components designed in Objective-C. The apps have a simple percussion-inspired scheme for mapping touch to sound: they present users with a free-form touch area for interacting with sample-based and pure synthesised sounds. Tapping the screen produces a short, percussive sound while swiping or swirling produces continuous sounds with a volume proportional to the velocity of the moving touch point. Sound output from the apps is via the iPads’ headphone output which can be either dispersed through a mixer and PA system or directly connected to powered speakers. While the performers’ interactions may be similar, the apps have been designed to respond to gesture classifications and new-idea messages according to three quite different paradigms: BirdsNest has been designed to be disruptive, Snow Music to be supportive and PhaseRings to be rewarding. In the following sections we will describe each of our three apps in detail.

#### 3.4.1 BirdsNest

BirdsNest allows performers to play with bird samples, field recordings, and percussive sounds from a northern Swedish forest with a backdrop of images from that location. The app consists of a progression of four sonic scenes representing a journey from the forest floor to a vantage point high in the trees. Each scene has a palette of sound material of which only a few sounds are available to each player. These sounds are triggered by tapping and swirling on the backdrop image. The interface has a “sounds” button that performers can use to shuffle sounds available to them from the available palette. It also has a “looping” function controlled by a switch where tapped notes are repeated approximately every five seconds for a limited number of times with increasingly randomised pitch and rhythm. Another switch controls an “autoplay” function where field-recordings from the sound palette are generatively triggered as a backing soundscape. Ensemble performance with BirdsNest consists of an exploration through different palettes of sounds where progression between the sonic scenes is entirely governed by the agent. BirdsNest is designed to disrupt the musicians’
Figure 3: The BirdsNest app is a sonic journey through field-recordings from a forest in Northern Sweden.

performance, to discourage performers from staying on any one gesture for too long. Based on gesture feedback from the agent, the app watches for runs of identical gestures and responds by switching the looping and autoplay features on or off in the user interface in order to prompt new actions by the performers.

3.4.2 Snow Music

Figure 4: Snow Music invites the player to manipulate samples of snow with their touch gestures. The UI buttons display the status of generative processes that support particular gestures. The blue circles represent notes triggered by these processes.

Snow Music aims to emulate a bowl of snow, allowing performers to manipulate recordings of snow being squished, smeared, stomped and smashed. The app is designed so that performers can only unlock new sounds or textures by interacting with these snow sounds, not by activating UI elements. Snow Music uses a supportive paradigm for interaction with Metatone Classifier. The app watches for runs of similar gestures and activates extra sounds that support the player’s intent. For instance, a run of tapping gestures causes the app to layer the snow sounds with a glockenspiel sound when the user taps while continuous swirling activates a generative backdrop of melodic bell sounds. These supportive sounds are switched off when the performer explores other gestures. In the case of Snow Music, new-idea messages shuffle the snow samples available to the player and change the pitches used in the supportive sounds. While the presence of the supportive sounds are shown on the screen with UI switches and animations, the performers are not able to control them directly with UI elements.

Although this app appears to have a limited selection of sounds available to the player, the interaction with the agent challenges the individual and the whole ensemble to fully explore a range of touch-gestures together. The aim is to support mindful exploration with a range of complementary musical elements.

3.4.3 PhaseRings

Figure 5: The PhaseRings app where available notes are represented by rings that can be played by tapping or swirling.

PhaseRings presents users with an abstract circular interface for performing with percussive samples and pure synthesis sounds. Concentric rings on the screen indicate where touches will activate different pitches of a single sound source. Tapping a ring will activate a note with a natural decay while swirling on a ring will create a sustained sound. The PhaseRings app is configurable, through a settings menu, to use one of seven different sound generators including several percussive samples which sustain through granular-synthesis, a phase-distortion sound, and a Karplus-Strong modelled string sound sustained by tremolo. The app generates pitches randomly for each player from a scale. Three scales are incorporated in the app as a harmonic sequence with two setups of pitches from each scale (although in ensemble performance the players cannot proceed through these setups manually). PhaseRings rewards the player’s exploration of gestures with these new pitches and harmonic material. When a new-idea message is sent to the ensemble, the app changes the number and pitch of rings displayed on screen. As the performers explore different touch gestures, they are rewarded with the opportunity to perform new melodic material with access to new notes and have a sense of cohesive harmonic progression as the scale for each player’s iPad is uniform across the ensemble.

4. SYSTEM EVALUATION

4.1 Gesture Classifier Accuracy

Metatone Classifier was evaluated using standard cross-validation methods from machine learning. Three sets of training data were available for a comparative evaluation: a proof-of-concept set of example gestures with feature-vectors calculated on 5 second windows, the same set using a rolling 5-second window at one-second intervals, and a “production set” captured following a formal procedure. The proof-of-concept gesture data was collected by matching video analysis of a performance of examples of each gesture on one of our iPad apps together with the logged touch data. The production set was collected using a survey application, written
in the Processing environment\(^1\), that instructed the performer to play each gesture on an iPad app in randomised order for one minute with a 20 second break in between each gesture. The data was subsequently trimmed of the waiting periods and of ambiguous frames at the beginning and end of each example gesture.

The three classifiers were evaluated using stratified 10-fold cross validation which was performed 10 times on each training set, producing 100 estimates of accuracy for each fold cross validation which was performed 10 times on each of each example gesture.

Table 2: We compared classifiers generated from three sets of feature vectors and known gestures. This table shows the number of vectors, mean accuracy and standard deviation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>S.D.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2013 gestures 5s window</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>0.915</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2013 gestures 1s window</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>0.942</td>
<td>0.032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2014 gestures 1s window</td>
<td>552</td>
<td>0.973</td>
<td>0.022</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In discussions conducted during rehearsals of an iPad quartet (including one author of this paper), the performers reported different reactions to the different modes of interaction with the agent available in the three apps. They described their personal styles for drawing out particular sounds through gestural interactions with the agent, and their attempts to replicate their favourite moments from rehearsals. This feedback has confirmed that our system of apps and agent is practical for real-world performances and affords creative and satisfying music-making.

5. CONCLUSIONS

We have presented a novel system for ensemble touch-screen musical performance including a server-based agent that classifies performers’ gestures and tracks new ideas, and three iPad apps that use this online agent to support, disrupt, and reward gestural exploration in collaborative improvisations. Our implementation of this system uses a novel flux measure to determine change points in the ensemble’s activity and to make calculated, real-time interventions to the iPad interfaces. We have presented the results of an evaluation of the gesture classifier used in the system where three training sets of data were compared using cross-validation. We also profiled the gesture classification and ensemble tracking algorithms in our system to estimate an upper bound for ensemble size given our desired timeframe of one analysis per second. The use of our system in a series of concerts confirms that it is practical and supportive of exploratory mobile-music performances.

While we have typically performed with four iPad players using this system, the profiling results suggest that our current agent could scale up to around 25 players before classifications would be delayed. However, it is possible that timely response from the agent is not critical and that a longer analysis cycle could be used to cater for very large iPad ensembles.

The evaluation of our classifier revealed that training data collected under controlled conditions had produced a significantly more accurate Random Forest Classifier even though the size of the training sets were similar. This result justifies the extra effort required to design a system for automatically and accurately capturing training data rather than the previous manual analysis of video-recorded gestures.

There are several ways that the research described here can be extended in the future. While the computational cost of performing a gesture classification and generating transition matrices will increase with the size of an ensemble, the cost of measures on the transition matrix will not since it has a fixed size. Other matrix measures may reveal different aspects of the ensemble’s musical behaviour and could probably be incorporated without a significant cost in computation. While the agent has been used with co-located performers, our future goal is to use the system in networked performances where the agent responses may assist the performers’ feelings of cohesion with remote participants.
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