

THE SPHERE SPECTRUM

JOHN ROGNES

October 28th 2004

Following J.H.C. Whitehead [14] and Lima [7], a *sequential spectrum* E is a sequence of based topological spaces (or simplicial sets) E_n and structure maps $\sigma_n: \Sigma E_n \rightarrow E_{n+1}$, for all $n \geq 0$. Here the suspension $\Sigma E_n = S^1 \wedge E_n$ equals the smash product with the based topological (or simplicial) circle S^1 . The *sphere spectrum* \mathbb{S} is the most basic example: its n -th space is the n -sphere $S^n = S^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge S^1$ and its structure maps are the resulting homeomorphisms $\sigma_n: \Sigma S^n \rightarrow S^{n+1}$.

The k -th *homotopy group* $\pi_k(E)$ is the colimit over n of the (unstable) homotopy groups $\pi_{k+n}(E_n)$. As k varies, the $\pi_k(E)$ assemble to a graded abelian group $\pi_*(E)$. In the case of the sphere spectrum, $\pi_k(\mathbb{S})$ is the colimit of the homotopy groups of spheres $\pi_{k+n}(S^n)$, i.e., of the homotopy classes of based maps $S^{k+n} \rightarrow S^n$. By the Freudenthal suspension theorem [5] the homomorphisms in this colimit are isomorphisms for $n \geq k + 2$, and the common limiting value $\pi_k(\mathbb{S})$ is known as the k -th *stable homotopy group of spheres*.

The generalized homology theory $\pi_*^S(X) = \pi_*(\mathbb{S} \wedge X)$ and the generalized cohomology theory $\pi_S^{-*}(X) = \pi_* \text{Map}(X, \mathbb{S})$ associated to the sphere spectrum are called *stable homotopy* and *stable cohomotopy*, respectively. As a consequence of the proven *Segal conjecture* [3], stable cohomotopy has the exceptional property that $\pi_S^{-*}(BG_+)$ vanishes for all $* < 0$. Here BG is the classifying space of an arbitrary finite group. In the Atiyah–Hirzebruch spectral sequence

$$E_{s,t}^2 = H^{-s}(BG; \pi_t(\mathbb{S})) \implies \pi_S^{-(s+t)}(BG_+)$$

there is a complicated differential interplay between group cohomology and the stable homotopy groups of spheres, making $E_{s,t}^\infty = 0$ for $s + t < 0$. For $G = \mathbb{Z}/p$ the Segal conjecture also provides a copy of $\pi_*(\mathbb{S})_p^\wedge$ as a direct summand in the abutment, so each class $x \in \pi_k(\mathbb{S})_p^\wedge$ is represented at $E_{s,t}^\infty$ by some coset $M(x) \subset \pi_t(\mathbb{S})_p^\wedge$, with $t \geq k$, called the *Mahowald root invariant* of x . Empirically, when x is part of a periodic family in $\pi_*(\mathbb{S})_p^\wedge$ detected by the n -th Morava K -theory $K(n)$, then $M(x)$ is part of a family detected by the next Morava K -theory $K(n+1)$ [9].

A map of sequential spectra $f: E \rightarrow F$ is a sequence of based maps $f_n: E_n \rightarrow F_n$ commuting with the structure maps. It induces a homomorphism $f_*: \pi_*(E) \rightarrow \pi_*(F)$ of homotopy groups, and is called a *stable equivalence* if f_* is an isomorphism in each degree. The *stable homotopy category* is the category obtained from

Typeset by $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$ -TEX

the category of spectra by inverting the stable equivalences. Let $\mathcal{S}p$ denote the category of sequential spectra, and let \mathcal{B} (for Boardman) denote its associated stable homotopy category. The sphere spectrum \mathbb{S} generates \mathcal{B} in the sense that for each spectrum E there exists a *cell spectrum* E^c , which has been assembled from integer suspensions of \mathbb{S} in the same way that a cell complex is built from non-negative suspensions of S^0 , and a stable equivalence $E^c \rightarrow E$.

The smash product of two maps $S^{k+m} \rightarrow S^m$ and $S^{\ell+n} \rightarrow E_n$ composes with the iterated structure map $S^m \wedge E_n \rightarrow E_{m+n}$ to produce a map $S^{k+m+\ell+n} \rightarrow E_{m+n}$. With some care, especially about the ordering of the various circle factors in these smash products, this rule induces a pairing $\pi_k(\mathbb{S}) \otimes \pi_\ell(E) \rightarrow \pi_{k+\ell}(E)$. In the case $E = \mathbb{S}$ this product makes $\pi_*(\mathbb{S})$ a graded commutative ring, and in general $\pi_*(E)$ is a graded module over $\pi_*(\mathbb{S})$.

In the stable homotopy category \mathcal{B} there is a functorial *smash product* $E \wedge F$ of spectra [1], well-defined up to stable equivalence, so that these commutative ring and module structures are realized by morphisms $\mathbb{S} \wedge \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}$ and $\mathbb{S} \wedge E \rightarrow E$. However, in the category $\mathcal{S}p$ of sequential spectra there is no definition of a smash product $E \wedge F$ such that the product on \mathbb{S} is commutative. It is at best associative, and sequential spectra E and F may be regarded as left (or right) \mathbb{S} -modules, but no natural \mathbb{S} -module structure remains on their smash product $E \wedge F$. The situation is reminiscent of that of modules over a non-commutative ring.

To overcome this defect, modern stable homotopy theory takes place in one of several possible modified categories $\mathcal{S}p'$ of spectra, three of which are reviewed below. In each of these there is a smash product $E \wedge F$ defined within the category of spectra, that is so well-behaved that the sphere spectrum \mathbb{S} admits a commutative product $\mathbb{S} \wedge \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}$ in $\mathcal{S}p'$. More precisely, the smash product is a symmetric monoidal pairing (= coherently unital, associative and commutative) with \mathbb{S} as the unit object. The spectra E, F are naturally modules over \mathbb{S} with this product, i.e., \mathbb{S} -modules, and the smash product $E \wedge F$ over \mathbb{S} of two \mathbb{S} -modules is again an \mathbb{S} -module, because \mathbb{S} is commutative. Furthermore, there is a notion of stable equivalence on $\mathcal{S}p'$, so chosen that the associated homotopy category is equivalent to \mathcal{B} .

This makes the sphere spectrum \mathbb{S} the initial ground “ring” for stable homotopy theory, much like the integers \mathbb{Z} is the initial ground ring for algebra. The categories of \mathbb{S} -modules, resp. associative or commutative \mathbb{S} -algebras, can be thought of as enriched versions of the categories of \mathbb{Z} -modules (= abelian groups), resp. associative or commutative \mathbb{Z} -algebras (= rings). This is a fruitful point of view for promoting ideas from algebra, algebraic geometry or number theory to the algebraic-topological context. The Eilenberg–Mac Lane functor embeds algebra into topology, and the enrichment amounts to a change of ground ring along the Hurewicz map $h: \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. The earlier theories of A_∞ and E_∞ ring spectra [11] provide many more examples of associative and commutative \mathbb{S} -algebras in topology, beyond those coming from algebra. These are therefore “brave new rings,” a term coined by Waldhausen.

Several modern reinterpretations $\mathcal{S}p'$ of the category of spectra appeared shortly after 1994. The principal three are (a) the S -modules \mathcal{M}_S of Elmendorf, Kriz, Mandell and May [4], (b) the symmetric spectra $\mathcal{S}p^\Sigma$ of Hovey, Shipley and Smith

[6], and (c) the Γ -spaces $\Gamma\mathcal{S}_*$ of Segal [13] and Lydakis [8]. The essential equivalence of these and other approaches is discussed in [10] and [12].

(a) The *S-modules* of May et al. were introduced in [4]. To start, a *coordinate-free spectrum* E is a rule that assigns a based space EV to each finite-dimensional vector subspace $V \subset \mathbb{R}^\infty$, together with a compatible system of homeomorphisms $EV \cong \Omega^{W-V}EW$ whenever $V \subset W$. Here $W - V$ is the orthogonal complement of V in W , S^{W-V} is its one-point compactification, and $\Omega^{W-V}X = F(S^{W-V}, X)$ is the mapping space. The coordinate-free sphere spectrum S is the rule with $SV = \text{colim}_{V \subset W} \Omega^{W-V}S^W$. Its 0th space S_0 is also known as $Q(S^0)$.

An \mathbb{L} -*spectrum* is a coordinate-free spectrum equipped with a suitable action by the space $\mathcal{L}(1)$ of linear isometries $\mathbb{R}^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\infty$, which is part of the linear isometries operad \mathcal{L} . The sphere spectrum S is canonically an \mathbb{L} -spectrum, and there is an operadic smash product $E \wedge_{\mathcal{L}} F$ of \mathbb{L} -spectra. Finally, the *S-modules* are the \mathbb{L} -spectra E such that a natural map $\lambda: S \wedge_{\mathcal{L}} E \rightarrow E$ is an isomorphism. The sphere spectrum is an *S-module*, and the operadic smash product of \mathbb{L} -spectra $E \wedge_{\mathcal{L}} F$ restricts to the desired smash product $E \wedge F$ on the full subcategory of *S-modules*.

(b) The *symmetric spectra* of J. Smith et al. were introduced in [6]. First, a *symmetric sequence* E is a sequence of based simplicial sets E_n with an action by the symmetric group Σ_n , for each $n \geq 0$. The sphere symmetric sequence \mathbb{S} has the n -fold smash product $S^n = S^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge S^1$ as its n -th space, with Σ_n permuting the factors. There is a symmetric monoidal pairing $E \otimes F$ of symmetric sequences, so defined that a map $E \otimes F \rightarrow G$ corresponds to a set of $(\Sigma_m \times \Sigma_n)$ -equivariant maps $E_m \wedge F_n \rightarrow G_{m+n}$. Then \mathbb{S} is a commutative monoid with product $\mathbb{S} \otimes \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}$ corresponding to the equivariant isomorphisms $S^m \wedge S^n \cong S^{m+n}$.

A *symmetric spectrum* E is defined to be an \mathbb{S} -module in symmetric sequences, i.e., a symmetric sequence with a unital and associative action $\mathbb{S} \otimes E \rightarrow E$. Explicitly, the module action amounts to a set of $(\Sigma_m \times \Sigma_n)$ -equivariant maps $S^m \wedge E_n \rightarrow E_{m+n}$. The sphere spectrum \mathbb{S} is then a symmetric spectrum, and the desired smash product $E \wedge F$ of two symmetric spectra is defined as the coequalizer of two obvious maps $E \otimes \mathbb{S} \otimes F \rightarrow E \otimes F$. There is a notion of a stable equivalence $f: E \rightarrow F$ of symmetric spectra, strictly more restrictive than asking that $\pi_*(f)$ is an isomorphism, so that the associated homotopy category is equivalent to \mathcal{B} .

A variant of symmetric spectra, called *orthogonal spectra* [10], is obtained by replacing the symmetric group actions by orthogonal group actions. Then the π_* -isomorphisms are the correct weak equivalences to invert, in order to obtain a homotopy category equivalent to \mathcal{B} .

(c) Let Γ be the category of finite sets $n_+ = \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ based at 0, for $n \geq 0$, and base-point preserving functions. Segal [13] defined a Γ -*space* E to be a functor from Γ to based simplicial sets, such that $E(0_+)$ is a point. Each Γ -space can be prolonged (degreewise) to an endofunctor of based simplicial sets, and there is an associated sequential spectrum with n -th space $E(S^n)$. Bousfield and Friedlander [2] show that the homotopy category of Γ -spaces under stable equivalences is equivalent to the stable homotopy category of connective spectra, i.e., spectra with $\pi_k(E) = 0$ for $k < 0$.

The sphere Γ -space \mathbb{S} is the functor that takes n_+ to itself, considered as a based simplicial set. Its prolongation is the identity endofunctor, and the associated

sequential spectrum is the sphere spectrum \mathbb{S} . The smash product $E \wedge F$ of two Γ -spaces is defined so that a map $E \wedge F \rightarrow G$ of Γ -spaces amounts to a natural transformation $E(k_+) \wedge F(\ell_+) \rightarrow G(k_+ \wedge \ell_+)$, for k_+ and ℓ_+ in Γ . This defines a symmetric monoidal pairing on Γ -spaces, with the sphere as the unit object. Lydakis [8] realized that this categorical construction also has good homotopical properties, in particular that it really models the smash product of spectra.

REFERENCES

- [1] Adams, J. F., *Stable homotopy and generalised homology*, Chicago Lectures in Mathematics, University of Chicago Press, Chicago, Ill. – London, 1974.
- [2] Bousfield, A. K.; Friedlander, E. M., *Homotopy theory of Γ -spaces, spectra, and bisimplicial sets*, Geometric applications of homotopy theory (Proc. Conf., Evanston, Ill., 1977), II, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 658, Springer, Berlin, 1978, pp. 80–130.
- [3] Carlsson, Gunnar, *Equivariant stable homotopy and Segal’s Burnside ring conjecture*, Ann. of Math. (2) **120** (1984), 189–224.
- [4] Elmendorf, A. D.; Kriz, I.; Mandell, M. A.; May, J. P., *Rings, modules, and algebras in stable homotopy theory. With an appendix by M. Cole*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 47, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997.
- [5] Freudenthal, Hans, *Über die Klassen der Sphärenabbildungen. I. Große Dimensionen*, Compos. Math. **5** (1937), 299–314.
- [6] Hovey, Mark; Shipley, Brooke; Smith, Jeff, *Symmetric spectra*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **13** (2000), 149–208.
- [7] Lima, Elon L., *Stable Postnikov invariants and their duals*, Summa Brasil. Math. **4** (1960), 193–251.
- [8] Lydakis, Manos, *Smash products and Γ -spaces*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **126** (1999), 311–328.
- [9] Mahowald, Mark E.; Ravenel, Douglas C., *The root invariant in homotopy theory*, Topology **32** (1993), 865–898.
- [10] Mandell, M. A.; May, J. P.; Schwede, S.; Shipley, B., *Model categories of diagram spectra*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **82** (2001), 441–512.
- [11] May, J. Peter, *E_∞ ring spaces and E_∞ ring spectra. With contributions by Frank Quinn, Nigel Ray, and Jørgen Tornehave*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 577, Springer-Verlag, Berlin – New York, 1977.
- [12] Schwede, Stefan, *S -modules and symmetric spectra*, Math. Ann. **319** (2001), 517–532.
- [13] Segal, Graeme, *Categories and cohomology theories*, Topology **13** (1974), 293–312.
- [14] Spanier, E. H.; Whitehead, J. H. C., *A first approximation to homotopy theory*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **39** (1953), 655–660.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF OSLO, NORWAY
E-mail address: rognes@math.uio.no