BOST-CONNES SYSTEMS, HECKE ALGEBRAS, AND INDUCTION

MARCELO LACA, SERGEY NESHVEYEV, AND MAK TRIFKOVIĆ

ABSTRACT. We consider a Hecke algebra naturally associated with the affine group with totally positive multiplicative part over an algebraic number field K and we show that the C*-algebra of the Bost-Connes system for K can be obtained from our Hecke algebra by induction, from the group of totally positive principal ideals to the whole group of ideals. Our Hecke algebra is therefore a full corner, corresponding to the narrow Hilbert class field, in the Bost-Connes C*-algebra of K; in particular, the two algebras coincide if and only if K has narrow class number one. Passing the known results for the Bost-Connes system for K to this corner, we obtain a phase transition theorem for our Hecke algebra.

In another application of induction we consider an extension L/K of number fields and we show that the Bost-Connes system for L embeds into the system obtained from the Bost-Connes system for K by induction from the group of ideals in K to the group of ideals in L. This gives a C*-algebraic correspondence from the Bost-Connes system for K to that for L. Therefore the construction of Bost-Connes systems can be extended to a functor from number fields to C*-dynamical systems with equivariant correspondences as morphisms. We use this correspondence to induce KMS-states and we show that for $\beta > 1$ certain extremal KMS$_\beta$-states for L can be obtained, via induction and rescaling, from KMS$_{[L:K]\beta}$-states for K. On the other hand, for $0 < \beta \leq 1$ every KMS$_{[L:K]\beta}$-state for K induces to an infinite weight.

INTRODUCTION

The original system of Bost and Connes [2] is based on the C*-algebra of the Hecke pair of orientation-preserving affine groups over the rationals and over the integers. The Bost-Connes Hecke algebra was subsequently shown to be a semigroup crossed product [14], and this realization simplified the analysis of the phase transition and the classification of KMS-states [9, 17]. For general number fields several Hecke algebra constructions have been considered, see e.g. [8, 1, 15]. In particular, the systems introduced in [15] and studied further in [16] exhibit the right phase transition with spontaneous symmetry breaking, but only when the number field has class number one and has no real embeddings. Eventually, however, it was not a Hecke algebra but a restricted groupoid construction modeled on semigroup crossed products that yielded the generalization of Bost-Connes systems for general number fields which is now widely regarded as the correct one [4, 7, 12]. A key step in this construction is the induction from an action of the group of integral ideles to an action of the Galois group of the maximal abelian extension. In this paper we demonstrate two uses of induction in the study of Bost-Connes type systems for algebraic number fields.

Our first application of induction appears in Section 2, where we provide a definitive account of the relation between Bost-Connes systems and "Hecke systems" for arbitrary number fields. Specifically, we consider affine groups, over the field and over the algebraic integers, but we restrict the multiplicative subgroup to consist of totally positive elements, that is, to elements that are positive in every real embedding. The resulting inclusion of affine groups is then a Hecke pair and in Proposition 2.2 we show that the corresponding Hecke C*-algebra is a semigroup crossed product which is a full corner in a group crossed product by the group of totally positive principal ideals. Our main result in this section is Theorem 2.4, where we show that the Bost-Connes algebra A_K for K is a corner in the algebra obtained by induction from this crossed product to a crossed product by the full group of fractional ideals over K. This realizes our Hecke algebra as a corner in the Bost-Connes

algebra for K and allows us easily to derive a phase transition with symmetry breaking for our Hecke C*-algebra by importing the known result for Bost-Connes systems from [12].

Since our construction restricts multiplication to totally positive elements, the corner is naturally associated to the narrow Hilbert class field $H_+(K)$ of K, namely, the maximal abelian extension of K unramified at every finite prime. As it turns out, there is a similar crossed product construction for every intermediate field $K \subset L \subset H_+(K)$ between K and its narrow Hilbert class field $H_+(K)$, for which a generalization of our main result holds, see Theorem 3.1. In particular, when $L = H(K)$ is the Hilbert class field, we get an algebra containing the Hecke algebra of [15] as its fixed point subalgebra with respect to the action of a finite subgroup of the Galois group. The rest of Section 3 is devoted to describing relations between phase transitions of the various systems associated to number fields.

Our second application of induction is in Section 4, where we elucidate the functoriality of the construction of a Bost-Connes type system from an algebraic number field. Our main result here is Theorem 4.4, where we show that the construction of Bost-Connes type systems extends to a functor which to an inclusion of number fields $K \hookrightarrow L$ assigns a C*-correspondence which is equivariant with respect to their suitably rescaled natural dynamics. Finally, in Proposition 4.5 we show that KMS-states of A_K at high inverse temperature pass through the correspondence morphism and, after renormalization and adjusting of the inverse temperature, they give KMS-states of A_L, while other KMS-states, for low inverse temperature, induce to infinite weights and hence do not yield KMS-states of A_L.

1. ALGEBRAIC PRELIMINARIES

Let K be an algebraic number field with ring of integers $\mathcal{O}$. For any place v of K, denote by K_v the completion of K at v. We indicate that v is finite (i.e., defined by the valuation at a prime ideal of $\mathcal{O}$) by writing $v \nmid \infty$; in that case, let $\mathcal{O}_v$ be the closure of $\mathcal{O}$ in K_v. We similarly put $v | \infty$ when v is infinite (i.e., defined by an embedding of K into $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$), and denote by $K_\infty = \prod_{v|\infty} K_v$ the completion of K at all infinite places. The adele ring $\mathbb{A}_K$ is the restricted product, as v ranges over all places, of the rings K_v, with respect to $\mathcal{O}_v \subset K_v$ for $v \nmid \infty$. When the product is taken only over finite places v, we get the ring $\mathbb{A}_{K,f}$ of finite adeles; we then have $\mathbb{A}_K = K_\infty \times \mathbb{A}_{K,f}$. The ring of integral adeles is $\hat{\mathcal{O}} = \prod_{v\nmid\infty} \mathcal{O}_v \subset \mathbb{A}_{K,f}$. Let $N_K \colon \mathbb{A}_{K,f}^* \to (0, +\infty)$ be the absolute norm.

We will need basic facts of class field theory. A good general reference is [3].

(1) There exists a continuous surjective homomorphism $r_K \colon \mathbb{A}_K^* \to \mathcal{G}(K^{ab}/K)$ with kernel $\overline{K_\infty^o K^*}$, where $K_\infty^o = \prod_{v \text{ real}} \mathbb{R}_+^* \times \prod_{v \text{ complex}} \mathbb{C}^*$ is the connected component of K_∞^*.

(2) If $\sigma \colon K \hookrightarrow L$ is an embedding of number fields then we have a commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbb{A}_K^* & \xrightarrow{\;r_K\;} & \mathcal{G}(K^{ab}/K) \\
\sigma \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle V_{L/\sigma(K)} \circ \mathrm{Ad}\,\bar\sigma} \\
\mathbb{A}_L^* & \xrightarrow[\;r_L\;]{} & \mathcal{G}(L^{ab}/L).
\end{array}
$$

Here $\bar\sigma \in \mathcal{G}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ is any extension of σ, so that $\mathrm{Ad}\,\bar\sigma$ defines an isomorphism $\mathcal{G}(K^{ab}/K) \to \mathcal{G}(\sigma(K)^{ab}/\sigma(K))$, and $V_{L/\sigma(K)} \colon \mathcal{G}(\sigma(K)^{ab}/\sigma(K)) \to \mathcal{G}(L^{ab}/L)$ is the transfer, or Verlagerung, map. The definition of this map is rather involved, but all we will need to know is that it exists and fits into the above diagram.

(3) Let v be a finite place of K, and $\bar v$ any extension of v to K^{ab}. The inertia group $I_{\bar v/v}$ does not depend on the choice of the extension $\bar v$, and satisfies $I_{\bar v/v} = r_K(\mathcal{O}_v^*)$. Therefore an abelian extension L/K is unramified at v if and only if $\mathcal{O}_v^*$ is in the kernel of the composed map $\mathbb{A}_K^* \xrightarrow{\;r_K\;} \mathcal{G}(K^{ab}/K) \xrightarrow{\;restriction\;} \mathcal{G}(L/K)$.

(4) The narrow Hilbert class field $H_+(K)$ is the maximal abelian extension of K which is unramified at all finite places v. By (3), we have $\mathcal{G}(K^{ab}/H_+(K)) = r_K(\hat{\mathcal{O}}^*) \subset \mathcal{G}(K^{ab}/K)$.

(5) The subfield of $H(K) \subset H_+(K)$ fixed by $\mathcal{G}(K^{ab}/H(K)) = r_K(K_\infty^* \hat{\mathcal{O}}^*)$ is called the (wide) Hilbert class field. It is characterized by being the maximal abelian everywhere unramified extension of K, so it is unramified at every finite place and stays real over each real place of K.

It is convenient to remove any reference to infinite places from the above standard statement of class field theory. In order to do this we consider the multiplicative subgroup $K_+^* \subset K^*$ of totally positive elements, that is, elements which are positive in every real embedding of K. Put also $\mathcal{O}_+^\times = \mathcal{O} \cap K_+^*$ and $\mathcal{O}_+^* = \mathcal{O}^* \cap K_+^*$. The following isomorphisms are well-known, but for the reader's convenience we still include a proof. The closures considered are in the finite ideles.

Proposition 1.1. *The restrictions of the Artin map r_K to $\mathbb{A}_{K,f}^* \supset K^*\hat{\mathcal{O}}^* \supset \hat{\mathcal{O}}^*$ give isomorphisms*

$$\mathbb{A}_{K,f}^* / \overline{K_+^*} \cong \mathcal{G}(K^{ab}/K), \quad K^*\hat{\mathcal{O}}^*/\overline{K_+^*} \cong \mathcal{G}(K^{ab}/H(K)) \quad and \quad \hat{\mathcal{O}}^*/\overline{\mathcal{O}_+^*} \cong \mathcal{G}(K^{ab}/H_+(K)).$$

Remark: it is stated in [15, Proposition 4.1] that $\hat{\mathcal{O}}^*/\overline{\mathcal{O}^*} \cong \mathcal{G}(K^{ab}/H_+(K))$, but the proof given there works only when all units are totally positive. The main results of [15] are not affected since they only concern totally imaginary fields.

Proof of Proposition 1.1. Since $\mathbb{A}_K^* = K_\infty^o K^* \mathbb{A}_{K,f}^*$, the map $\tilde{r}_K := r_K|_{\mathbb{A}_{K,f}^*} \colon \mathbb{A}_{K,f}^* \to \mathcal{G}(K^{ab}/K)$ is surjective. Since $K_\infty^o \mathbb{A}_{K,f}^*$ is open in $\mathbb{A}_K^*$, the kernel of the restriction of r_K to $K_\infty^o \mathbb{A}_{K,f}^*$ is

$$K_\infty^o \mathbb{A}_{K,f}^* \cap \overline{K_\infty^o K^*} = \overline{K_\infty^o \mathbb{A}_{K,f}^* \cap K_\infty^o K^*} = \overline{K_\infty^o K_+^*}.$$

Hence the kernel of $\tilde{r}_K$ is the image of $\overline{K_\infty^o K_+^*}$ in $K_\infty^o \mathbb{A}_{K,f}^* / K_\infty^o = \mathbb{A}_{K,f}^*$, which is $\overline{K_+^*} \subset \mathbb{A}_{K,f}^*$. This proves the first isomorphism.

To prove the second isomorphism, observe that $r_K(K_\infty^*) = \tilde{r}_K(K^*)$. In order to see this denote by j the embedding of K^* into $\mathbb{A}_{K,f}^*$. Then $K_\infty^* K^* = K_\infty^o K^* j(K^*)$, whence $r_K(K_\infty^*) = r_K(j(K^*)) = \tilde{r}_K(K^*)$. It follows that $\mathcal{G}(K^{ab}/H(K)) = r_K(K_\infty^* \hat{\mathcal{O}}^*) = \tilde{r}_K(K^*\hat{\mathcal{O}}^*)$. Since $K^*\hat{\mathcal{O}}^*$ is open in $\mathbb{A}_{K,f}^*$ and contains K_+^*, which is dense in the kernel of $\tilde{r}_K$, we get the second isomorphism.

The third isomorphism follows from $\mathcal{G}(K^{ab}/H_+(K)) = \tilde{r}_K(\hat{\mathcal{O}}^*)$ and $\hat{\mathcal{O}}^* \cap \overline{K_+^*} = \overline{\mathcal{O}_+^*}$. $\qquad\square$

Let $J_K \cong \mathbb{A}_{K,f}^*/\hat{\mathcal{O}}^*$ be the group of fractional ideals of K and let $\mathcal{P}_{K,+} \cong K_+^*/\mathcal{O}_+^*$ be the subgroup of principal fractional ideals with a totally positive generator. By the above proposition the preimage of $\mathcal{G}(K^{ab}/H_+(K))$ in $\mathbb{A}_{K,f}^*$ is the group $K_+^* \hat{\mathcal{O}}^*$. Hence

$$\mathcal{G}(H_+(K)/K) \cong \mathbb{A}_{K,f}^* / K_+^* \hat{\mathcal{O}}^* \cong J_K / \mathcal{P}_{K,+}.$$

The last quotient is by definition $\mathrm{Cl}_+(K)$, the narrow class group of K.

The fundamental construction underlying this paper is induction. Let $\rho \colon H \to G$ be a homomorphism of groups and X be a set with a left action of H. The formula $h(g, x) = (g\rho(h)^{-1}, hx)$ defines a left action of H on $G \times X$. The quotient

$$G \times_H X := H \backslash (G \times X)$$

is called the balanced product associated to the pair (ρ, X), or the induction of X via ρ. There is a natural left action of G on $G \times_H X$: $g(g', x) = (gg', x)$. Restricting to H, we get an action of H on $G \times_H X$. The composition of the map $X \to G \times X$, $x \mapsto (e, x)$, with the quotient map $G \times X \to G \times_H X$ gives a map $i \colon X \to G \times_H X$. This map is H-equivariant in the sense that $i(hx) = \rho(h)i(x)$. It induces a bijection $H \backslash X \cong G \backslash (G \times_H X)$.

Assume now that G and H are discrete groups, ρ is injective, and X is a locally compact space with an action of H by homeomorphisms. In this case $i(X)$ is a clopen subset of $G \times_H X$ and the map $i \colon X \to i(X)$ is a homeomorphism. If the action of H on X is proper, we get a homeomorphism $H \backslash X \cong G \backslash (G \times_H X)$ of locally compact spaces. For general actions there is a version of this

homeomorphism for reduced crossed products, thought of as noncommutative quotients. Namely, consider the transformation groupoid $G \times (G \times_H X)$ defined by the action of G on $G \times_H X$. Observe that $gi(X) \cap i(X) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $g \in \rho(H)$. It follows that the reduction of $G \times (G \times_H X)$ by the open subset $i(X) \subset G \times_H X$ is a groupoid which is isomorphic to the transformation groupoid $H \times X$. Therefore we have the following result.

Proposition 1.2. *Let $\rho\colon H \to G$ be an injective homomorphism of discrete groups, and let X be a locally compact space with an action of H. Then $i(X)$ is a clopen subset of $G \times_H X$, the corresponding projection in the multiplier algebra of $C_0(G \times_H X) \rtimes_r G$ is full, and*

$$C_0(X) \rtimes_r H \cong \mathbb{1}_{i(X)}(C_0(G \times_H X) \rtimes_r G)\mathbb{1}_{i(X)}.$$

The same is true for full crossed products. In our applications the group G will be abelian, so that reduced and full crossed products coincide.

2. From Hecke algebras to Bost-Connes systems

For a number field K consider the following inclusion of $ax + b$ groups:

$$P_{\mathcal{O}}^+ = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathcal{O} \\ 0 & \mathcal{O}_+^* \end{pmatrix} \subset P_K^+ = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & K \\ 0 & K_+^* \end{pmatrix}.$$

Recall that a pair of groups $\Gamma \subset G$ is called a Hecke pair if every double coset can be written as a finite disjoint union of left and right cosets:

$$\Gamma g \Gamma = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{L(g)} \Gamma l_i = \bigsqcup_{j=1}^{R(g)} r_j \Gamma, \quad g, l_i, r_j \in G.$$

This happens if and only if the subgroups Γ and $g\Gamma g^{-1}$ are commensurable for every $g \in G$. In that case, the modular function of the pair is defined by

$$\Delta(g) = \frac{L(g)}{R(g)} = \frac{[\Gamma : \Gamma \cap g\Gamma g^{-1}]}{[g\Gamma g^{-1} : \Gamma \cap g\Gamma g^{-1}]}.$$

Lemma 2.1. *The inclusion $P_{\mathcal{O}}^+ \subset P_K^+$ is a Hecke pair, and for $y \in K$, $x \in K_+^*$ we have*

$$\Delta \begin{pmatrix} 1 & y \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix} = N_K(x),$$

where $N_K\colon \mathbb{A}_{K,f}^* \to (0, +\infty)$ is the absolute norm.

Proof. This can be checked by direct computation of double cosets, as in [15]. Alternatively we can embed the pair $P_{\mathcal{O}}^+ \subset P_K^+$ densely into the pair

$$\bar{P}_{\mathcal{O}}^+ = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \hat{\mathcal{O}} \\ 0 & \mathcal{O}_+^* \end{pmatrix} \subset \bar{P}_K^+ = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbb{A}_{K,f} \\ 0 & K_+^* \end{pmatrix}$$

of subgroups of $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbb{A}_{K,f} \\ 0 & \mathbb{A}_{K,f}^* \end{pmatrix}$, and use the theory of topological Hecke pairs as in [19].

The group $\bar{P}_K^+$ is locally compact, and $\bar{P}_{\mathcal{O}}^+$ is a compact open subgroup, which shows that $(\bar{P}_{\mathcal{O}}^+, \bar{P}_K^+)$ is a Hecke pair. Since P_K^+ is dense in $\bar{P}_K^+$ and $P_{\mathcal{O}}^+ = \bar{P}_{\mathcal{O}}^+ \cap P_K^+$, it follows that $(P_{\mathcal{O}}^+, P_K^+)$ is also a Hecke pair. Furthermore, the modular function of $(P_{\mathcal{O}}^+, P_K^+)$ is the restriction of the modular function of the locally compact group $\bar{P}_K^+$ to P_K^+.

If μ and ν are Haar measures on $\overline{K_+^*}$ and $\mathbb{A}_{K,f}$, respectively, then

$$d\lambda \begin{pmatrix} 1 & y \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix} = d\mu(x)d\nu(y)$$

is a left-invariant Haar measure on $\bar{P}_K^+$. Since ν has the property $\nu(\cdot x) = N_K(x)\nu(\cdot)$ for $x \in \mathbb{A}_{K,f}^*$, we get the required formula for the modular function of $(P_{\mathcal{O}}^+, P_K^+)$. $\qquad\square$

Recall that if $\Gamma \subset G$ is a Hecke pair, then the space $\mathcal{H}(G, \Gamma)$ of finitely supported functions on $\Gamma\backslash G/\Gamma$ is a $*$-algebra with product

$$(f_1 * f_2)(g) = \sum_{h \in \Gamma\backslash G} f_1(gh^{-1})f_2(h)$$

and involution $f^*(g) = \overline{f(g^{-1})}$. Denote by $[g] \in \mathcal{H}(G, \Gamma)$ the characteristic function of the double coset $\Gamma g \Gamma$. The Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}(G, \Gamma)$ is faithfully represented on $\ell^2(\Gamma\backslash G)$ by

$$(f\xi)(g) = \sum_{h \in \Gamma\backslash G} f(gh^{-1})\xi(h) \quad \text{for} \quad f \in \mathcal{H}(G, \Gamma) \quad \text{and} \quad \xi \in \ell^2(\Gamma\backslash G).$$

Denote by $C_r^*(G, \Gamma)$ the closure of $\mathcal{H}(G, \Gamma)$ in this representation. The C*-algebra $C_r^*(G, \Gamma)$ carries a canonical action of $\mathbb{R}$ defined by $[g] \mapsto \Delta(g)^{-it}[g]$.

Proposition 2.2. *The C*-algebra $C_r^*(P_K^+, P_{\mathcal{O}}^+)$ is isomorphic to*

$$\mathbb{1}_{\hat{\mathcal{O}}/\overline{\mathcal{O}_+^*}}(C_0(\mathbb{A}_{K,f}/\overline{\mathcal{O}_+^*}) \rtimes_\alpha (K_+^*/\mathcal{O}_+^*))\mathbb{1}_{\hat{\mathcal{O}}/\overline{\mathcal{O}_+^*}},$$

where the action α of $K_+^*/\mathcal{O}_+^*$ on $C_0(\mathbb{A}_{K,f}/\overline{\mathcal{O}_+^*})$ is defined by $\alpha_x(f) = f(x^{-1}\cdot)$. Furthermore, the isomorphism can be chosen such that the canonical action of $\mathbb{R}$ on $C_r^*(P_K^+, P_{\mathcal{O}}^+)$ corresponds to the restriction to the corner of the action σ on the crossed product defined by

$$\sigma_t(fu_x) = N_K(x)^{-it}fu_x \quad \text{for} \quad f \in C_0(\mathbb{A}_{K,f}/\overline{\mathcal{O}_+^*}) \quad \text{and} \quad x \in K_+^*/\mathcal{O}_+^*,$$

where the u_x are the canonical unitaries implementing α.

Proof. This is analogous to [15, Theorem 2.5], so we will be relatively brief. We will use an argument similar to the one in [11, Section 3.1].

Consider the groups $\bar{P}_K^+$ and $\bar{P}_{\mathcal{O}}^+$ from the previous lemma. Then $C_r^*(\bar{P}_K^+, \bar{P}_{\mathcal{O}}^+)$ is canonically isomorphic to $pC_r^*(\bar{P}_K^+)p$, where $p = \int_{\bar{P}_{\mathcal{O}}^+} u_g d\lambda(g)$ is the projection corresponding to the compact open subgroup $\bar{P}_{\mathcal{O}}^+$ (the Haar measure λ is assumed to be normalized so that the measure of $\bar{P}_{\mathcal{O}}^+$ is one). The projection p is the product of two commuting projections p_1 and p_2 corresponding to the subgroups $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \hat{\mathcal{O}} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{O}_+^* \end{pmatrix}$, respectively. Since $\bar{P}_K^+$ is a semidirect product of $\mathbb{A}_{K,f}$ and $\overline{K_+^*}$, the C*-algebra $C_r^*(\bar{P}_K^+)$ is isomorphic to $C_r^*(\mathbb{A}_{K,f}) \rtimes \overline{K_+^*}$. The group $\mathbb{A}_{K,f}$ is selfdual; we normalize the isomorphism $\widehat{\mathbb{A}_{K,f}} \cong \mathbb{A}_{K,f}$ by requiring that the annihilator of $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ is again $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$. Then the image of the projection p_1 under the isomorphism $C_r^*(\mathbb{A}_{K,f}) \to C_0(\mathbb{A}_{K,f})$ is $\mathbb{1}_{\hat{\mathcal{O}}}$. Therefore

$$pC_r^*(\bar{P}_K^+)p \cong \mathbb{1}_{\hat{\mathcal{O}}}p_2(C_0(\mathbb{A}_{K,f}) \rtimes \overline{K_+^*})p_2\mathbb{1}_{\hat{\mathcal{O}}}. \tag{2.1}$$

The projection p_2 corresponding to the subgroup $\overline{\mathcal{O}_+^*}$ of $\overline{K_+^*}$ commutes with the unitaries u_x, $x \in \overline{K_+^*}$, and $p_2C_0(\mathbb{A}_{K,f})p_2 = C_0(\mathbb{A}_{K,f}/\overline{\mathcal{O}_+^*})p_2$. Therefore

$$p_2(C_0(\mathbb{A}_{K,f}) \rtimes \overline{K_+^*})p_2 = p_2(C_0(\mathbb{A}_{K,f}/\overline{\mathcal{O}_+^*}) \rtimes \overline{K_+^*})p_2.$$

We have a surjective $*$-homomorphism $C_0(\mathbb{A}_{K,f}/\overline{\mathcal{O}_+^*}) \rtimes (K_+^*/\mathcal{O}_+^*) \to p_2(C_0(\mathbb{A}_{K,f}/\overline{\mathcal{O}_+^*}) \rtimes \overline{K_+^*})p_2$ which maps $f \in C_0(\mathbb{A}_{K,f}/\overline{\mathcal{O}_+^*})$ to fp_2 and $u_{\bar{x}}$, $\bar{x} \in K_+^*/\mathcal{O}_+^*$, to u_xp_2, where $x \in K_+^*$ is any representative of $\bar{x}$. To see that this is an isomorphism, assume we have a covariant pair of representations of $C_0(\mathbb{A}_{K,f}/\overline{\mathcal{O}_+^*})$ and $K_+^*/\mathcal{O}_+^*$. Since $K_+^* \cap \overline{\mathcal{O}_+^*} = \mathcal{O}_+^*$, the unitary representation of $K_+^*/\mathcal{O}_+^*$ defines a continuous representation of $\overline{K_+^*}$ with kernel containing $\overline{\mathcal{O}_+^*}$. Thus we get a covariant pair of representations of $C_0(\mathbb{A}_{K,f}/\overline{\mathcal{O}_+^*})$ and $\overline{K_+^*}$ such that the corresponding representation of the crossed product maps p_2 into one. Therefore any representation of $C_0(\mathbb{A}_{K,f}/\overline{\mathcal{O}_+^*}) \rtimes (K_+^*/\mathcal{O}_+^*)$ factors through

$$p_2(C_0(\mathbb{A}_{K,f}/\overline{\mathcal{O}_+^*}) \rtimes \overline{K_+^*})p_2.$$

Thus $p_2(C_0(\mathbb{A}_{K,f}/\overline{\mathcal{O}_+^*}) \rtimes \overline{K_+^*})p_2 \cong C_0(\mathbb{A}_{K,f}/\overline{\mathcal{O}_+^*}) \rtimes (K_+^*/\mathcal{O}_+^*)$, which together with (2.1) gives the result.   □

The corner $\mathbb{1}_{\hat{\mathcal{O}}/\overline{\mathcal{O}_+^*}}(C_0(\mathbb{A}_{K,f}/\overline{\mathcal{O}_+^*}) \rtimes (K_+^*/\mathcal{O}_+^*))\mathbb{1}_{\hat{\mathcal{O}}/\overline{\mathcal{O}_+^*}}$ can also be viewed as the semigroup crossed product $C(\hat{\mathcal{O}}/\overline{\mathcal{O}_+^*}) \rtimes (\mathcal{O}_+^\times/\mathcal{O}_+^*)$, see [10, Theorems 2.1 and 2.4].

As a consequence of the above proposition we see that the group $\hat{\mathcal{O}}^*/\overline{\mathcal{O}_+^*}$ acts on $C_r^*(P_K^+, P_{\mathcal{O}}^+)$; the action is however noncanonical, as the isomorphism in the proposition depends on the choice of the isomorphism $\widehat{\mathbb{A}_{K,f}} \cong \mathbb{A}_{K,f}$. Recall that by Proposition 1.1 we have $\hat{\mathcal{O}}^*/\overline{\mathcal{O}_+^*} \cong \mathcal{G}(K^{ab}/H_+(K))$.

By Proposition 2.2 the C*-algebra $C_r^*(P_K^+, P_{\mathcal{O}}^+)$ is a full corner in the crossed product algebra defined by the action of $K_+^*/\mathcal{O}_+^*$ on $\mathbb{A}_{K,f}/\overline{\mathcal{O}_+^*}$. We now induce this action via the inclusion $K_+^*/\mathcal{O}_+^* \cong \mathcal{P}_{K,+} \hookrightarrow J_K$ of totally positive principal fractional ideals into all fractional ideals:

$$X_K^+ := J_K \times_{K_+^*/\mathcal{O}_+^*} (\mathbb{A}_{K,f}/\overline{\mathcal{O}_+^*}).$$

We equip the crossed product $C_0(X_K^+) \rtimes J_K$ with the dynamics given by

$$\sigma_t^{K,+}(fu_g) = N_K(g)^{it}fu_g \quad \text{for} \quad f \in C_0(X_K^+) \quad \text{and} \quad g \in J_K, \tag{2.2}$$

where $N_K(g)$ denotes the norm of a fractional ideal g. Note that if $g = (x)$ for some $x \in K$, then $N_K(g) = N_K(x)^{-1}$. Consider also the subset $Y_K^+ \subset X_K^+$ defined by

$$Y_K^+ = \{(g, \omega) \in X_K^+ \mid g\omega \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}/\hat{\mathcal{O}}^*\}.$$

Here we think of $g \in J_K$ as an element of $\mathbb{A}_{K,f}^*/\hat{\mathcal{O}}^*$; then $g\omega$ is a well-defined element of $\mathbb{A}_{K,f}^*/\hat{\mathcal{O}}^*$. In other words, if we identify X_K^+ with a quotient of $\mathbb{A}_{K,f}^* \times \mathbb{A}_{K,f}$, then Y_K^+ is the image of $\{(g, \omega) \in \mathbb{A}_{K,f}^* \times \mathbb{A}_{K,f} \mid g\omega \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}\}$. Since $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ is compact and open in $\mathbb{A}_{K,f}$ and $K_+^*/\mathcal{O}_+^*$ has finite index in J_K, the set Y_K^+ is compact and open in X_K^+. We put

$$A_K^+ = \mathbb{1}_{Y_K^+}(C_0(X_K^+) \rtimes J_K)\mathbb{1}_{Y_K^+} = C(Y_K^+) \rtimes J_K^+,$$

where $J_K^+ \subset J_K$ is the subsemigroup of integral ideals. Since $\sigma^{K,+}$ fixes $\mathbb{1}_{Y_K^+}$, it restricts to a dynamics on A_K^+, which we continue to denote by $\sigma^{K,+}$. Thus, starting from the Hecke algebra $C_r^*(P_K^+, P_{\mathcal{O}}^+)$, we have constructed a C*-dynamical system $(A_K^+, \sigma^{K,+})$.

On the other hand, the Bost-Connes system associated with K is defined as follows [7, 12]. Consider the balanced product $X_K = \mathcal{G}(K^{ab}/K) \times_{\hat{\mathcal{O}}^*} \mathbb{A}_{K,f}$, the induction of the multiplication action of $\hat{\mathcal{O}}^*$ on $\mathbb{A}_{K,f}$ via the restriction of the Artin map $\mathbb{A}_K^* \to \mathcal{G}(K^{ab}/K)$ to $\hat{\mathcal{O}}^*$. This space has a natural action of J_K, induced from the action of $\mathbb{A}_{K,f}^*$ on $\mathcal{G}(K^{ab}/K) \times \mathbb{A}_{K,f}$ given by $g(\gamma, x) = (\gamma r_K(g)^{-1}, gx)$. Consider the crossed product C*-algebra $C_0(X_K) \rtimes J_K$. Define a dynamics by the same formula as in (2.2):

$$\sigma_t^K(fu_g) = N_K(g)^{it}fu_g \quad \text{for} \quad f \in C_0(X_K) \quad \text{and} \quad g \in J_K.$$

To define the Bost-Connes system, we pass to the corner

$$A_K := \mathbb{1}_{Y_K}(C_0(X_K) \rtimes J_K)\mathbb{1}_{Y_K},$$

corresponding to the compact subspace $Y_K = \mathcal{G}(K^{ab}/K) \times_{\hat{\mathcal{O}}^*} \hat{\mathcal{O}}$. Since σ^K fixes $\mathbb{1}_{Y_K}$, it restricts to a dynamics on A_K, which we continue to denote by σ^K.

Lemma 2.3. *The map* $\phi \colon \mathbb{A}_{K,f}^* \times \mathbb{A}_{K,f} \to \mathbb{A}_{K,f}^* \times \mathbb{A}_{K,f}$, $\phi(x, y) = (x^{-1}, xy)$ *induces a* J_K-*equivariant homeomorphism* $X_K \cong X_K^+$. *In this homeomorphism* Y_K *is mapped onto* Y_K^+, *and the set*

$$Z_{H_+(K)} = \mathcal{G}(K^{ab}/H_+(K)) \times_{\hat{\mathcal{O}}^*} \hat{\mathcal{O}} \subset Y_K$$

is mapped onto $i(\hat{\mathcal{O}}/\overline{\mathcal{O}_+^*}) = \{\mathcal{O}\} \times \hat{\mathcal{O}}/\overline{\mathcal{O}_+^*}$, *where* i *is the canonical embedding* $\mathbb{A}_{K,f}/\overline{\mathcal{O}_+^*} \hookrightarrow X_K^+$.

Proof. Take two copies of $\mathbb{A}_{K,f}^* \times \mathbb{A}_{K,f}$ with the left action of $\mathbb{A}_{K,f}^* \times \mathbb{A}_{K,f}^*$ defined by $(g,h)(x,y) = (gxh^{-1}, hy)$. Then $\phi((g,h)(x,y)) = (h,g)\phi(x,y)$. Restricting the action to the subgroup $\overline{K_+^*} \times \hat{\mathcal{O}}^*$ of $\mathbb{A}_{K,f}^* \times \mathbb{A}_{K,f}^*$, we get a homeomorphism

$$(\mathbb{A}_{K,f}^* \times \mathbb{A}_{K,f})/(\overline{K_+^*} \times \hat{\mathcal{O}}^*) \cong (\mathbb{A}_{K,f}^* \times \mathbb{A}_{K,f})/(\hat{\mathcal{O}}^* \times \overline{K_+^*}). \tag{2.3}$$

To compute the quotient by $\overline{K_+^*} \times \hat{\mathcal{O}}^*$, we can first divide out by $\overline{K_+^*}$ (which acts only on the first component), and then by $\hat{\mathcal{O}}^*$ (which balances both). The quotient by $\hat{\mathcal{O}}^* \times \overline{K_+^*}$ is similar. Therefore the bijection (2.3) gives the first homeomorphism in

$$(\mathbb{A}_{K,f}^*/\overline{K_+^*}) \times_{\hat{\mathcal{O}}^*} \mathbb{A}_{K,f} \cong (\mathbb{A}_{K,f}^*/\hat{\mathcal{O}}^*) \times_{\overline{K_+^*}} \mathbb{A}_{K,f} \cong (\mathbb{A}_{K,f}^*/\hat{\mathcal{O}}^*) \times_{\overline{K_+^*}/\overline{\mathcal{O}_+^*}} \mathbb{A}_{K,f}/\overline{\mathcal{O}_+^*},$$

the second coming from the fact that $\overline{\mathcal{O}_+^*} = \hat{\mathcal{O}}^* \cap \overline{K_+^*}$ acts trivially on $\mathbb{A}_{K,f}^*/\hat{\mathcal{O}}^*$. Since $\overline{K_+^*}/\overline{\mathcal{O}_+^*} = K_+^*/\mathcal{O}_+^*$, we get the desired homeomorphism $X_K \cong X_K^+$ after identifications $\mathbb{A}_{K,f}^*/\overline{K_+^*} \cong \mathcal{G}(K^{ab}/K)$ from Proposition 1.1, and $\mathbb{A}_{K,f}^*/\hat{\mathcal{O}}^* \cong J_K$.

The map $\phi \colon \mathbb{A}_{K,f}^* \times \mathbb{A}_{K,f} \to \mathbb{A}_{K,f}^* \times \mathbb{A}_{K,f}$ is $\mathbb{A}_{K,f}^*$-equivariant with respect to the action $g(x,y) = (xg^{-1}, gy)$ on the first space and $g(x,y) = (gx,y)$ on the second. This implies that the homeomorphism $X_K \to X_K^+$ is J_K-equivariant.

The subset $Y_K \subset X_K$ is the image of the subset $\mathbb{A}_{K,f}^* \times \mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{A}_{K,f}^* \times \mathbb{A}_{K,f}$, while Y_K^+ is the image of $\{(x,y) \mid xy \in \mathcal{O}\}$. We have $\phi(\mathbb{A}_{K,f}^* \times \mathcal{O}) = \{(x,y) \mid xy \in \mathcal{O}\}$, so the homeomorphism $X_K \to X_K^+$ maps Y_K onto Y_K^+.

Finally, by Proposition 1.1 the Galois group $\mathcal{G}(K^{ab}/H_+(K))$ is the image of $\hat{\mathcal{O}}^*$ under the Artin map, so $\mathcal{G}(K^{ab}/H_+(K)) \times_{\hat{\mathcal{O}}^*} \hat{\mathcal{O}}$ is the image of $\hat{\mathcal{O}}^* \times \hat{\mathcal{O}} \subset \mathbb{A}_{K,f}^* \times \mathbb{A}_{K,f}$ in X_K. It follows that the image of $\mathcal{G}(K^{ab}/H_+(K)) \times_{\hat{\mathcal{O}}^*} \hat{\mathcal{O}}$ in $X_K^+ = J_K \times_{K_+^*/\mathcal{O}_+^*} (\mathbb{A}_{K,f}/\overline{\mathcal{O}_+^*})$ is the image of $\hat{\mathcal{O}}^* \times \hat{\mathcal{O}} \subset \mathbb{A}_{K,f}^* \times \mathbb{A}_{K,f}$ under the quotient map, so it is $\{\mathcal{O}\} \times \hat{\mathcal{O}}/\overline{\mathcal{O}_+^*} = i(\hat{\mathcal{O}}/\overline{\mathcal{O}_+^*})$. $\qquad\square$

We can now state one of our main results.

Theorem 2.4. *The homeomorphism from Lemma 2.3 gives rise to a canonical isomorphism of C^*-dynamical systems $(A_K, \sigma^K) \cong (A_K^+, \sigma^{K,+})$. This induces an isomorphism*

$$C_r^*(P_K^+, P_{\mathcal{O}}^+) \cong p_K A_K p_K$$

of our Hecke algebra onto the corner of A_K defined by the full projection p_K corresponding to the compact open subset $Z_{H_+(K)} \subset Y_K$ from Lemma 2.3.

Proof. It follows immediately from Lemma 2.3 that the homeomorphism of X_K to X_K^+ induces an isomorphism $(A_K, \sigma^K) \cong (A_K^+, \sigma^{K,+})$ mapping $p_K A_K p_K$ onto

$$\mathbb{1}_{i(\hat{\mathcal{O}}/\overline{\mathcal{O}_+^*})} A_K^+ \mathbb{1}_{i(\hat{\mathcal{O}}/\overline{\mathcal{O}_+^*})} = \mathbb{1}_{i(\hat{\mathcal{O}}/\overline{\mathcal{O}_+^*})}(C_0(X_K^+) \rtimes J_K) \mathbb{1}_{i(\hat{\mathcal{O}}/\overline{\mathcal{O}_+^*})}.$$

By Proposition 1.2, the latter algebra is isomorphic to $\mathbb{1}_{\hat{\mathcal{O}}/\overline{\mathcal{O}_+^*}}(C_0(\mathbb{A}_{K,f}/\overline{\mathcal{O}_+^*}) \rtimes (K_+^*/\mathcal{O}_+^*)) \mathbb{1}_{\hat{\mathcal{O}}/\overline{\mathcal{O}_+^*}}$, which is in turn isomorphic to $C_r^*(P_K^+, P_{\mathcal{O}}^+)$ by Proposition 2.2. The projection p_K is full because $J_K i(\hat{\mathcal{O}}/\overline{\mathcal{O}_+^*}) = X_K^+$. $\qquad\square$

Therefore the Bost-Connes system for K can be constructed from $C_r^*(P_K^+, P_{\mathcal{O}}^+)$ by first dilating the semigroup crossed product decomposition of the Hecke algebra to a crossed product by the group $\mathcal{P}_{K,+} \cong K_+^*/\mathcal{O}_+^*$ of principal fractional ideals with a totally positive generator, then inducing from $\mathcal{P}_{K,+}$ to J_K, and finally restricting to a natural corner.

As an easy application we can classify KMS-states of the Hecke C*-algebra $C_r^*(P_K^+, P_{\mathcal{O}}^+) \cong C(\hat{\mathcal{O}}/\overline{\mathcal{O}_+^*}) \rtimes (\mathcal{O}_+^\times/\mathcal{O}_+^*)$ with respect to the canonical dynamics. To formulate the result, for an

element c of the narrow class group $\mathrm{Cl}_+(K)$ denote by $\zeta(\cdot, c)$ the corresponding partial zeta function,

$$\zeta(s, c) = \sum_{\mathfrak{a} \in J_K^+ \,:\, \mathfrak{a} \in c} N_K(\mathfrak{a})^{-s}.$$

Theorem 2.5. *For the system* $(C(\hat{\mathcal{O}}/\overline{\mathcal{O}_+^*}) \rtimes (\mathcal{O}_+^\times / \mathcal{O}_+^*), \sigma)$ *we have:*

(i)   *for every* $\beta \in (0, 1]$ *there is a unique* KMS_β-*state, and it is of type* III_1;

(ii) *for every* $\beta \in (1, \infty)$ *extremal* KMS_β-*states are of type I and are indexed by the subset* $Y_{K,0}^+ \subset X_K^+ = J_K \times_{K_+^*/\mathcal{O}_+^*} (\mathbb{A}_{K,f}/\overline{\mathcal{O}_+^*})$ *defined by* $Y_{K,0}^+ = \{(g, \omega) \mid g\omega \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}^*/\hat{\mathcal{O}}^*\}$; *explicitly, the state* $\varphi_{\beta,x}$ *corresponding to* $x = (g, \omega) \in Y_{K,0}^+$ *factors through the canonical conditional expectation onto* $C(\hat{\mathcal{O}}/\overline{\mathcal{O}_+^*})$, *and on* $C(\hat{\mathcal{O}}/\overline{\mathcal{O}_+^*})$ *it is given by*

$$\varphi_{\beta,x}(f) = \frac{1}{\zeta(\beta, c_x)} \sum_{h \in (K_+^*/\mathcal{O}_+^*) \cap g J_K^+} N_K(hg^{-1})^{-\beta} f(h\omega),$$

where $c_x \in \mathrm{Cl}_+(K)$ *is the class of* g^{-1}.

Proof. By Theorem 2.4 the system $(C(\hat{\mathcal{O}}/\overline{\mathcal{O}_+^*}) \rtimes (\mathcal{O}_+^\times / \mathcal{O}_+^*), \sigma)$ is isomorphic to the full corner $(p_K A_K p_K, \sigma^K)$ of the Bost-Connes system. By [13, Theorem 3.2] there is a one-to-one correspondence between KMS-weights of equivariantly Morita equivalent algebras. In our case we deal with unital C*-algebras, so every densely defined weight is finite. Therefore for every $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ the map $\varphi \mapsto \varphi(p_K)^{-1}\varphi|_{p_K A_K p_K}$ is a bijection between KMS_β-states on A_K and those on $p_K A_K p_K$. A more elementary way to check that this is a bijection (at least for $\beta \neq 0$) is to apply [12, Proposition 1.1] to reduce the study of KMS-states for both systems to a study of measures satisfying certain scaling and normalization conditions. Once we have this bijection, we just have to translate the classification of KMS-states for the Bost-Connes system to our setting.

Part (i) is an immediate consequence of [12, Theorem 2.1] and [18, Theorem 2.1].

As for part (ii), by [12, Theorem 2.1] for every $\beta \in (1, +\infty)$ extremal KMS_β-states on A_K are indexed by the set $Y_{K,0} := \mathcal{G}(K^{ab}/K) \times_{\hat{\mathcal{O}}^*} \hat{\mathcal{O}}^* \subset Y_K$: the state corresponding to $x \in Y_{K,0}$ is defined by the probability measure $\mu_{\beta,x}$ on Y_K which is concentrated on $J_K^+ x$ and has the property $\mu_{\beta,x}(hx) = N_K(h)^{-\beta}\mu_{\beta,x}(x)$ for $h \in J_K^+$. It is easy to see that the homeomorphism $\phi: X_K \to X_K^+$ from Lemma 2.3 maps $Y_{K,0}$ onto $Y_{K,0}^+$. Thus extremal KMS_β-states for $(C(\hat{\mathcal{O}}/\overline{\mathcal{O}_+^*}) \rtimes (\mathcal{O}_+^\times / \mathcal{O}_+^*), \sigma)$ are indexed by the set $Y_{K,0}^+$. The state $\varphi_{\beta,x}$ corresponding to $x \in Y_{K,0}^+$ is defined by the measure $\nu_{\beta,x}$ which is concentrated on $i^{-1}(J_k^+ x)$, where $i: \mathbb{A}_{K,f}/\overline{\mathcal{O}_+^*} \hookrightarrow X_K^+$ is the canonical embedding, and is determined by the property that $\nu_{\beta,x}(i^{-1}(hx)) = N_K(h)^{-\beta}c$ for every $h \in J_K^+$ such that $hx \in i(\hat{\mathcal{O}}/\overline{\mathcal{O}_+^*})$, where c is a uniquely defined normalization constant. If $(g, \omega) \in J_K \times (\mathbb{A}_{K,f}/\overline{\mathcal{O}_+^*})$ is a representative of $x \in Y_{K,0}^+ \subset J_K \times_{K_+^*/\overline{\mathcal{O}_+^*}} (\mathbb{A}_{K,f}/\overline{\mathcal{O}_+^*})$ then $hgx \in i(\hat{\mathcal{O}}/\overline{\mathcal{O}_+^*})$ for $h \in J_K^+$ if and only if $hg \in K_+^*/\mathcal{O}_+^*$, and then $i^{-1}(hx) = (hg)\omega$. Therefore $i^{-1}(J_K^+ x)$ consists of points $h\omega$ with $h \in (K_+^*/\mathcal{O}_+^*) \cap gJ_K^+$, so that, up to a normalization constant, the measure $\nu_{\beta,x}$ is

$$\sum_{h \in (K_+^*/\mathcal{O}_+^*) \cap g J_K^+} N_K(hg^{-1})^{-\beta} \delta_{h\omega}.$$

To get a probability measure we need to divide the above sum by $\zeta(\beta, c_x)$. $\qquad\square$

Remark 2.6.

(i)   We can equivalently say that extremal KMS_β-states for $\beta > 1$ are in a one-to-one correspondence with $K_+^*/\mathcal{O}_+^*$-orbits in $\mathbb{A}_{K,f}^*/\overline{\mathcal{O}_+^*}$, that is, with the set $\mathbb{A}_{K,f}^*/K_+^*\overline{\mathcal{O}_+^*} = \mathbb{A}_{K,f}^*/\overline{K_+^*} \cong \mathcal{G}(K^{ab}/K)$. Any such orbit carries a measure ν, unique up to a scalar, such that $\nu(h\omega) = N_K(h)^{-\beta}\nu(\omega)$ if $h \in K_+^*$

and ω lies on the orbit. With a suitable normalization the part of the orbit lying in $\hat{\mathcal{O}}/\overline{\mathcal{O}_+^*}$ defines a probability measure on $\hat{\mathcal{O}}/\overline{\mathcal{O}_+^*}$ which gives the required state. The corresponding partition function is the partial zeta function defined by the class of the orbit in $\mathbb{A}_{K,f}^*/\hat{\mathcal{O}}^* K_+^* \cong \mathrm{Cl}_+(K)$.

(ii) Even if the classification of KMS-states for (A_K, σ^K) were not known, it would still be convenient to induce from $K_+^*/\mathcal{O}_+^*$ to J_K and work with A_K instead of $C_r^*(P_K^+, P_{\mathcal{O}}^+)$. Indeed, the action of $K_+^*/\mathcal{O}_+^*$ on $\mathbb{A}_{K,f}/\overline{\mathcal{O}_+^*}$ is more complicated than that of J_K on X_K, e.g. because $K_+^*/\mathcal{O}_+^*$-orbits not passing through $\hat{\mathcal{O}}^*/\overline{\mathcal{O}_+^*}$ do not have canonical representatives, and one would be forced to consider the set of ideals of minimal norm in their narrow class, analogously to [16]. By contrast, J_K-orbits in X_K enter Y_K at a unique point in $Y_{K,0}$. Furthermore, the group $\mathcal{G}(K^{ab}/K) \cong \mathbb{A}_{K,f}^*/\overline{K_+^*}$ acts on A_K and induces a free transitive action on extremal KMS$_\beta$-states ($\beta > 1$). Only when restricted to $\mathcal{G}(K^{ab}/H_+(K)) \cong \hat{\mathcal{O}}^*/\overline{\mathcal{O}_+^*}$ does this action come from automorphisms of the algebra $C_r^*(P_K^+, P_{\mathcal{O}}^+)$. The main reason why A_K is easier to study than $C_r^*(P_K^+, P_{\mathcal{O}}^+)$ is that the ordered group (J_K, J_K^+) is lattice-ordered, unlike $(K_+^*/\mathcal{O}_+^*, \mathcal{O}_+^\times/\mathcal{O}_+^*)$ (an intersection of two principal ideals need not be principal).

(iii) The induced space $X_K = \mathcal{G}(K^{ab}/K) \times_{\hat{\mathcal{O}}^*} \mathbb{A}_{K,f}$ comes with a natural action of $\mathcal{G}(K^{ab}/K)$, which in turn induces a symmetry of the system defined by automorphisms of the algebra A_K, and not just of the KMS$_\beta$-states. This is different from the symmetry considered in [4], which comes from the action of the semigroup $\hat{\mathcal{O}} \cap \mathbb{A}_{K,f}^*$ on A_K by endomorphisms defined by the action of $\mathbb{A}_{K,f}^*$ on the second coordinate of $X_K = \mathcal{G}(K^{ab}/K) \times_{\hat{\mathcal{O}}^*} \mathbb{A}_{K,f}$. The endomorphisms defined by elements of $\hat{\mathcal{O}} \cap \overline{K_+^*}$ are inner, so one gets a well-defined action of $(\hat{\mathcal{O}} \cap \mathbb{A}_{K,f}^*)/(\hat{\mathcal{O}} \cap \overline{K_+^*}) \subset \mathcal{G}(K^{ab}/K)$ on KMS$_\beta$-states, which then extends to an action of the whole Galois group $\mathcal{G}(K^{ab}/K)$.

Despite the fact that the two actions of $\hat{\mathcal{O}} \cap \mathbb{A}_{K,f}^*$ differ significantly at the C*-algebra level, they actually coincide on KMS$_\beta$-states. The reason is that they define the same actions on the space of J_K-orbits of points in Y_K^0.

3. Comparison with other Hecke systems

The C*-algebra associated with the Hecke inclusion of full affine groups

$$P_{\mathcal{O}} := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathcal{O} \\ 0 & \mathcal{O}^* \end{pmatrix} \subset P_K := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & K \\ 0 & K^* \end{pmatrix}$$

was studied in [15] and [16]. By [15, Theorem 2.5] the corresponding Hecke C*-algebra $C_r^*(P_K, P_{\mathcal{O}})$ is isomorphic to a crossed product by the semigroup of principal ideals,

$$\mathbb{1}_{\hat{\mathcal{O}}/\overline{\mathcal{O}^*}}(C_0(\mathbb{A}_{K,f}/\overline{\mathcal{O}^*}) \rtimes (K^*/\mathcal{O}^*))\mathbb{1}_{\hat{\mathcal{O}}/\overline{\mathcal{O}^*}} = C(\hat{\mathcal{O}}/\overline{\mathcal{O}^*}) \rtimes (\mathcal{O}^\times/\mathcal{O}^*).$$

It is known that for imaginary quadratic fields of any class number these Hecke systems are Morita equivalent to Bost-Connes systems [5, Proposition 4.6]. We also know from [12, Remark 2.2(iii)] that for totally imaginary fields K of class number one the Hecke systems are actually isomorphic to the Bost-Connes systems. In this section we will generalize these results and show that for arbitrary number fields $C_r^*(P_K, P_{\mathcal{O}})$ embeds into the corner of A_K corresponding to the Hilbert class field.

Our construction of the corner $p_K A_K p_K$ works for any intermediate field L between K and its narrow Hilbert class field $H_+(K)$. Namely, let $\tilde{r}_K \colon \mathbb{A}_{K,f}^* \to \mathcal{G}(K^{ab}/K)$ be the restriction of the Artin map to the finite ideles. For $K \subset L \subset H_+(K)$, put $U_L = \tilde{r}_K^{-1}(\mathcal{G}(K^{ab}/L))$. We have $\mathbb{A}_{K,f}^* = U_K \supset U_L \supset U_{H_+(K)} = K_+^*\hat{\mathcal{O}}^*$. For example, when $L = H(K)$ is the Hilbert class field, we have $U_{H(K)} = K^*\hat{\mathcal{O}}^*$. These descriptions of U_K, $U_{H(K)}$, and $U_{H_+(K)}$ are the content of Proposition 1.1.

Put $I_L = U_L/\hat{\mathcal{O}}^* \subset J_K$. The action $g(x, y) = (xg^{-1}, gy)$ of U_L on $U_L \times \mathbb{A}_{K,f}$ descends to an action of I_L on $(U_L/\overline{K_+^*}) \times_{\hat{\mathcal{O}}^*} \mathbb{A}_{K,f} \cong \mathcal{G}(K^{ab}/L) \times_{\hat{\mathcal{O}}^*} \mathbb{A}_{K,f}$. Then similarly to Theorem 2.4 we have the following result.

Theorem 3.1. *The map* $\mathbb{A}_{K,f}^* \times \mathbb{A}_{K,f} \to \mathbb{A}_{K,f}^* \times U_L \times \mathbb{A}_{K,f}$, *defined by* $(x, y) \mapsto (x^{-1}, 1, xy)$, *induces a* J_K-*equivariant homeomorphism*

$$\mathcal{G}(K^{ab}/K) \times_{\hat{\mathcal{O}}^*} \mathbb{A}_{K,f} \cong J_K \times_{I_L} (\mathcal{G}(K^{ab}/L) \times_{\hat{\mathcal{O}}^*} \mathbb{A}_{K,f}).$$

This homeomorphism in turn induces an isomorphism of C^*-*algebras*

$$q_L A_K q_L \cong C(\mathcal{G}(K^{ab}/L) \times_{\hat{\mathcal{O}}^*} \hat{\mathcal{O}}) \rtimes I_L^+,$$

where $q_L = \mathbb{1}_{Z_L}$ *is the projection corresponding to the subset* $Z_L = \mathcal{G}(K^{ab}/L) \times_{\hat{\mathcal{O}}^*} \hat{\mathcal{O}} \subset Y_K$, *and* $I_L^+ = I_L \cap J_K^+$ *is the subsemigroup of integral ideals in* I_L.

Remark 3.2. Recall from [4, 12] that A_K can be interpreted as the algebra of the equivalence relation of commensurability of 1-dimensional K-lattices divided by (the closure of) the scaling action of K_∞^o. Then the subalgebra $q_L A_K q_L$ corresponds to lattices that are up to scaling defined by ideals in I_L. For $L = H_+(K)$ the algebra $q_L A_K q_L$ has an interpretation as a Hecke algebra, and hence a presentation derived from the multiplication table of double cosets. It would be interesting to see whether $q_L A_K q_L$ has a similar natural presentation for other L.

The relation between the Hecke algebra $C_r^*(P_K, P_{\mathcal{O}})$ from [15] and the Bost-Connes algebra A_K is obtained by setting L to be the Hilbert class field. The result generalizes Remark 33(b) in [2], made for $K = \mathbb{Q}$.

Proposition 3.3. *We have* $q_{H(K)} A_K q_{H(K)} \cong C(\mathcal{G}(K^{ab}/H(K)) \times_{\hat{\mathcal{O}}^*} \hat{\mathcal{O}}) \rtimes (\mathcal{O}^\times/\mathcal{O}^*)$ *and*

$$q_{H(K)} A_K^{r_K(K_\infty^*)} q_{H(K)} = (q_{H(K)} A_K q_{H(K)})^{r_K(K_\infty^*)} \cong C_r^*(P_K, P_{\mathcal{O}}).$$

Note that $r_K(K_\infty^*)$ is a finite group of order not bigger than 2^r, where r is the number of real embeddings of K.

Proof of Proposition 3.3. The first isomorphism is just Theorem 3.1 with $L = H(K)$. Since $r_K(K_\infty^*) \subset \mathcal{G}(K^{ab}/H(K))$, the projection $q_{H(K)}$ is $r_K(K_\infty^*)$-invariant, so

$$q_{H(K)} A_K^{r_K(K_\infty^*)} q_{H(K)} = (q_{H(K)} A_K q_{H(K)})^{r_K(K_\infty^*)}.$$

As was observed in the proof of Proposition 1.1, we have $r_K(K_\infty^*) = \tilde{r}_K(K^*)$. Therefore, using that $\mathcal{G}(K^{ab}/H(K)) \cong K^* \hat{\mathcal{O}}^*/\overline{K_+^*}$, we get

$$\mathcal{G}(K^{ab}/H(K))/r_K(K_\infty^*) \cong K^* \hat{\mathcal{O}}^*/K^* \overline{K_+^*} = K^* \hat{\mathcal{O}}^*/\overline{K^*} \cong \hat{\mathcal{O}}^*/\overline{\mathcal{O}^*}.$$

As $(\hat{\mathcal{O}}^*/\overline{\mathcal{O}^*}) \times_{\hat{\mathcal{O}}^*} \mathbb{A}_{K,f} \cong \mathbb{A}_{K,f}/\overline{\mathcal{O}^*}$, we thus have an $I_{H(K)}$-equivariant homeomorphism between the quotient of $\mathcal{G}(K^{ab}/H(K)) \times_{\hat{\mathcal{O}}^*} \mathbb{A}_{K,f}$ by the action of $r_K(K_\infty^*)$ and the space $\mathbb{A}_{K,f}/\overline{\mathcal{O}^*}$, so that

$$(C(\mathcal{G}(K^{ab}/H(K)) \times_{\hat{\mathcal{O}}^*} \hat{\mathcal{O}}) \rtimes (\mathcal{O}^\times/\mathcal{O}^*))^{r_K(K_\infty^*)} \cong C(\hat{\mathcal{O}}/\overline{\mathcal{O}^*}) \rtimes (\mathcal{O}^\times/\mathcal{O}^*).$$

Since the latter algebra is isomorphic to $C_r^*(P_K, P_{\mathcal{O}})$ by [15, Theorem 2.5] (see also [15, Definition 2.2]), we conclude that $(q_{H(K)} A_K q_{H(K)})^{r_K(K_\infty^*)} \cong C_r^*(P_K, P_{\mathcal{O}})$. $\qquad\square$

Remark 3.4.
(i) Since $\mathcal{G}(H_+(K)/K) \cong \mathbb{A}_{K,f}^*/K_+^* \hat{\mathcal{O}}^* \cong \mathrm{Cl}_+(K)$ and $\mathcal{G}(H(K)/K) \cong \mathbb{A}_{K,f}^*/K^* \hat{\mathcal{O}}^* \cong \mathrm{Cl}(K)$, the fields $H_+(K)$ and $H(K)$ coincide if and only if $K_+^*/\mathcal{O}_+^* = K^*/\mathcal{O}^*$, that is, $K^* = \mathcal{O}^* K_+^*$. In this case the above result implies that $C_r^*(P_K, P_{\mathcal{O}})$ is isomorphic to a fixed point subalgebra of $C_r^*(P_K^+, P_{\mathcal{O}}^+)$ under a finite group action. This is easy to see by definition of Hecke algebras: the isomorphism simply comes from the restriction map $\mathcal{H}(P_K, P_{\mathcal{O}}) \to \mathcal{H}(P_K^+, P_{\mathcal{O}}^+)$, $f \mapsto f|_{P_K^+}$, and as a finite group

we can take $\mathcal{O}^*/\mathcal{O}_+^*$, with the action defined by conjugation by matrices $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix}$, $x \in \mathcal{O}^*$. Observe that in this case the group $r_K(K_\infty^*) \cong \overline{K^*}/\overline{K_+^*} \cong \overline{\mathcal{O}^*}/\overline{\mathcal{O}_+^*}$ is a quotient of $\mathcal{O}^*/\mathcal{O}_+^*$.

(ii) The previous proposition can be used to apply the classification of KMS-states of the Bost-Connes system for K to analyze KMS-states of $C_r^*(P_K, P_\mathcal{O})$. Namely, it follows from [12, Proposition 1.1] that for $\beta \neq 0$ KMS$_\beta$-states on $C_r^*(P_K, P_\mathcal{O})$ are in a one-to-one correspondence with measures on

$$\mathbb{A}_{K,f}/\overline{\mathcal{O}^*} \cong (\hat{\mathcal{O}}^*/\overline{\mathcal{O}^*}) \times_{\hat{\mathcal{O}}^*} \mathbb{A}_{K,f} \cong (\mathcal{G}(K^{ab}/H(K)) \times_{\hat{\mathcal{O}}^*} \mathbb{A}_{K,f})/r_K(K_\infty^*)$$

satisfying certain scaling and normalization conditions. Any such measure defines an $r_K(K_\infty^*)$-invariant measure on $\mathcal{G}(K^{ab}/H(K)) \times_{\hat{\mathcal{O}}^*} \mathbb{A}_{K,f}$ satisfying similar conditions, hence it gives a KMS$_\beta$-state on the algebra $q_{H(K)}A_K q_{H(K)}$. Thus we have a bijection between KMS$_\beta$-states on $C_r^*(P_K, P_\mathcal{O})$ and $r_K(K_\infty^*)$-invariant KMS$_\beta$-states on $q_{H(K)}A_K q_{H(K)}$, or equivalently, on A_K. Using this we get a result for $C_r^*(P_K, P_\mathcal{O})$ similar to Theorem 2.5, but with "pluses erased". We leave details to the interested reader, limiting ourselves to pointing out that in this case the role of $Y_{K,0}^+$ is played by the subset $\{(g, \omega) \mid g\omega \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}^*/\hat{\mathcal{O}}^*\} \cong \mathbb{A}_{K,f}^*/\overline{K^*} \cong \mathcal{G}(K^{ab}/K)/r_K(K_\infty^*)$ of the set

$$J_K \times_{K^*/\mathcal{O}^*} (\mathbb{A}_{K,f}/\overline{\mathcal{O}^*}) \cong (\mathbb{A}_{K,f}^*/\overline{K^*}) \times_{\hat{\mathcal{O}}^*} \mathbb{A}_{K,f} \cong (\mathcal{G}(K^{ab}/K) \times_{\hat{\mathcal{O}}^*} \mathbb{A}_{K,f})/r_K(K_\infty^*).$$

In particular, for every $\beta > 1$ we have a free transitive action of $\mathcal{G}(K^{ab}/K)/r_K(K_\infty^*)$ on the set of extremal KMS$_\beta$-states of $C_r^*(P_K, P_\mathcal{O})$. This completes and simplifies the analysis in [16].

(iii) Another topological Hecke pair naturally associated with K is

$$\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \hat{\mathcal{O}} \\ 0 & \hat{\mathcal{O}}^* \end{pmatrix} \subset G = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbb{A}_{K,f} \\ 0 & \mathbb{A}_{K,f}^* \end{pmatrix}.$$

The corresponding C*-algebra is isomorphic to the symmetric part $A_K^{\mathcal{G}(K^{ab}/K)}$ of the Bost-Connes system for K. Indeed, if $p \in C_r^*(G)$ is the projection corresponding to the compact open subgroup Γ of G, then similarly to the proof of Proposition 2.2 we have

$$C_r^*(G, \Gamma) = pC_r^*(G)p \cong \mathbb{1}_{\hat{\mathcal{O}}/\hat{\mathcal{O}}^*}(C_0(\mathbb{A}_{K,f}/\hat{\mathcal{O}}^*) \rtimes (\mathbb{A}_{K,f}^*/\hat{\mathcal{O}}^*))\mathbb{1}_{\hat{\mathcal{O}}/\hat{\mathcal{O}}^*},$$

and it remains to note that $\mathbb{A}_{K,f}/\hat{\mathcal{O}}^* = X_K/\mathcal{G}(K^{ab}/K)$.

4. Functoriality of Bost-Connes systems

Consider an embedding $\sigma \colon K \hookrightarrow L$ of number fields. We also denote by σ other embeddings which it induces, e.g. of $\mathbb{A}_K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}_L$, $\mathbb{A}_{K,f}^* \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}_{L,f}^*$, $J_K \hookrightarrow J_L$, etc. Recall that the Bost-Connes system for K is constructed using an action of J_K on $X_K = \mathcal{G}(K^{ab}/K) \times_{\hat{\mathcal{O}}_K^*} \mathbb{A}_{K,f}$. We induce this action to an action of J_L by letting

$$X_\sigma = J_L \times_{J_K} X_K,$$

so X_σ is the quotient of $J_L \times X_K$ by the action $h(g, x) = (g\sigma(h)^{-1}, hx)$ of J_K. We want to compare the action of J_L on X_σ with that on X_L.

Consider the map $\sigma \times \sigma \colon \mathbb{A}_K^* \times \mathbb{A}_{K,f} \to \mathbb{A}_L^* \times \mathbb{A}_{L,f}$. Identifying X_K and X_L with quotients of $\mathbb{A}_K^* \times \mathbb{A}_{K,f}$ and $\mathbb{A}_L^* \times \mathbb{A}_{L,f}$, respectively, we then get a map $X_K \to X_L$, which we continue to denote by σ. Note that on the level of Galois groups it is defined using the transfer map $V_{L/\sigma(K)} \colon \mathcal{G}(\sigma(K)^{ab}/\sigma(K)) \to \mathcal{G}(L^{ab}/L)$, see property (2) of the Artin map in Section 1.

The map $\sigma \colon X_K \to X_L$ is J_K-equivariant in the sense that $\sigma(hx) = \sigma(h)\sigma(x)$ for $h \in J_K$ and $x \in X_K$. It follows that we have a well-defined map

$$\pi_\sigma \colon X_\sigma \to X_L, \quad \pi_\sigma(g, x) = g\sigma(x).$$

Lemma 4.1. *The map $\pi_\sigma \colon X_\sigma = J_L \times_{J_K} X_K \to X_L$ is J_L-equivariant and its image is dense.*

Proof. Equivariance is clear. To show density it is enough to show that the J_L-orbit of the point $(e,1) \in X_L = \mathcal{G}(L^{ab}/L) \times_{\hat{\mathcal{O}}_L^*} \mathbb{A}_{L,f}$ is dense. By Lemma 2.3 we have a J_L-equivariant homeomorphism $X_L \to J_L \times_{L_+^*/\mathcal{O}_{L,+}^*} (\mathbb{A}_{L,f}/\overline{\mathcal{O}_{L,+}^*})$, which maps $(e,1)$ into $(\mathcal{O}_L,1)$. Therefore density of the J_L-orbit of $(e,1)$ is equivalent to density of L_+^* in $\mathbb{A}_{L,f}$, and the latter can be showed as follows. Take an arbitrary open set in $\mathbb{A}_{L,f}$ of the form $U = \prod_{v \in S} U_v \times \prod_{v \notin S} \mathcal{O}_v$ for some finite set of places S. We know that L is dense in $\mathbb{A}_{L,f}$, so we can find an element $l \in L \cap U$. Let $p_1, \ldots, p_s$ be the integer primes below the primes in S. Take an integer N big enough for the integer $n = (p_1 \ldots p_s)^N$ to satisfy a) $n + U = U$ and b) $n > \iota(-l)$ for all real embeddings $\iota \colon L \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then $n + l \in L_+^* \cap U$. $\square$

The map π_σ is not proper unless $\sigma(K) = L$, which can be seen e.g. from Proposition 4.5(ii) below. It defines a J_L-equivariant injective homomorphism $C_0(X_L) \to C_b(X_\sigma)$, hence an injective homomorphism

$$\pi_\sigma^* \colon C_0(X_L) \rtimes J_L \to M(C_0(X_\sigma) \rtimes J_L).$$

On the other hand, we have a J_K-equivariant embedding $i_\sigma \colon X_K \hookrightarrow X_\sigma$, $x \mapsto (\mathcal{O}_L, x)$. By Proposition 1.2 it gives us an isomorphism

$$i_\sigma^* \colon \mathbb{1}_{i_\sigma(X_K)}(C_0(X_\sigma) \rtimes J_L)\mathbb{1}_{i_\sigma(X_K)} \to C_0(X_K) \rtimes J_K.$$

Thus we can define a $(C_0(X_L)\rtimes J_L)$-$(C_0(X_K)\rtimes J_K)$-correspondence, that is, a right Hilbert $(C_0(X_K)\rtimes X_K)$-module with a left action of $C_0(X_L) \rtimes J_L$, by

$$\tilde{A}_\sigma = (C_0(X_\sigma) \rtimes J_L)\mathbb{1}_{i_\sigma(X_K)}, \quad \langle \xi, \zeta \rangle = i_\sigma^*(\xi^* \zeta).$$

The actions of $C_0(X_L) \rtimes J_L$ and $C_0(X_K) \rtimes X_K$ are given by π_σ^* and $(i_\sigma^*)^{-1}$. Since $J_L i_\sigma(X_K) = X_\sigma$, the projection $\mathbb{1}_{i_\sigma(X_K)} \in M(C_0(X_\sigma) \rtimes J_L)$ is full. As π_σ^* is injective, it follows that the left action of $C_0(X_L) \rtimes J_L$ is faithful.

It will be convenient to have the following description of the Hilbert module $\tilde{A}_\sigma$. Consider $C^*(J_L)$ as a right Hilbert $C^*(J_K)$-module $C^*(J_L)_\sigma$ with the right module structure defined by the embedding $C^*(J_K) \hookrightarrow C^*(J_L)$ defined by σ, and the $C^*(J_K)$-valued inner product $\langle \xi, \zeta \rangle = \sigma^{-1}(E(\xi^* \zeta))$, where $E \colon C^*(J_L) \to C^*(\sigma(J_K))$ is the canonical conditional expectation, so $E(u_g) = 0$ for $g \in J_L \setminus \sigma(J_K)$.

Lemma 4.2. *We have a canonical isomorphism $\tilde{A}_\sigma \cong C^*(J_L)_\sigma \otimes_{C^*(J_K)} (C_0(X_K) \rtimes J_K)$ of right Hilbert $(C_0(X_K) \rtimes J_K)$-modules. Under this isomorphism the left action of $C_0(X_L) \rtimes J_L$ is given by*

$$u_g f(u_h \otimes \xi) = u_{gh} \otimes f(h\sigma(\cdot))\xi \quad \text{for} \quad g,h \in J_L, \quad f \in C_0(X_L) \quad \text{and} \quad \xi \in C_0(X_K) \rtimes J_K.$$

Proof. The module $\tilde{A}_\sigma$ is the closed linear span of elements of the form $u_h f \in C_0(X_\sigma) \rtimes J_L$ with $\operatorname{supp} f \subset i_\sigma(X_K)$. It is then straightforward to check that the map $u_h f \mapsto u_h \otimes f(i_\sigma(\cdot))$ is the required isomorphism. $\square$

Recalling now that the C*-algebra of the Bost-Connes system for K is $A_K = C(Y_K) \rtimes J_K^+ = \mathbb{1}_{Y_K}(C_0(X_K) \rtimes J_K)\mathbb{1}_{Y_K}$, where $Y_K = \mathcal{G}(K^{ab}/K) \times_{\hat{\mathcal{O}}_K^*} \hat{\mathcal{O}}_K$, we can define an A_L-A_K-correspondence by

$$A_\sigma = \mathbb{1}_{Y_L} \tilde{A}_\sigma \mathbb{1}_{Y_K}.$$

Observe that since $\mathbb{1}_{Y_K}$ is a full projection in $C_0(X_K) \rtimes J_K$, the left action of $C_0(X_L) \rtimes Y_L$ on $\tilde{A}_\sigma \mathbb{1}_{Y_K}$ is still faithful. Hence the left action of A_L on A_σ is faithful.

Lemma 4.3. *Assume $\sigma \colon K \to L$ and $\tau \colon L \to E$ are embeddings of number fields. Then we have a canonical isomorphism $A_\tau \otimes_{A_L} A_\sigma \cong A_{\tau \circ \sigma}$ of A_E-A_K-correspondences.*

Proof. Using Lemma 4.2 we get the following isomorphisms of right Hilbert $(C_0(X_K) \rtimes J_K)$-modules:

$$\tilde{A}_\tau \otimes_{C_0(X_L) \rtimes J_L} \tilde{A}_\sigma \cong \left(C^*(J_E)_\tau \otimes_{C^*(J_L)} (C_0(X_L) \rtimes J_L)\right) \otimes_{C_0(X_L) \rtimes J_L} \tilde{A}_\sigma$$

$$\cong C^*(J_E)_\tau \otimes_{C^*(J_L)} \tilde{A}_\sigma$$

$$\cong C^*(J_E)_\tau \otimes_{C^*(J_L)} \left(C^*(J_L)_\sigma \otimes_{C^*(J_K)} (C_0(X_K) \rtimes J_K)\right)$$

$$\cong C^*(J_E)_{\tau \circ \sigma} \otimes_{C^*(J_K)} (C_0(X_K) \rtimes J_K)$$

$$\cong \tilde{A}_{\tau \circ \sigma}.$$

It is easy to see that these isomorphisms respect the left actions of $C_0(X_E) \rtimes J_E$. The lemma is now a consequence of the following general result. If A and B are C*-algebras, X is a right Hilbert A-module, Y is an A-B-correspondence and $p \in A$ is a full projection then the map

$$Xp \otimes_{pAp} pY \to X \otimes_A Y, \quad \xi \otimes \zeta \mapsto \xi \otimes \zeta,$$

is an isomorphism of right Hilbert B-modules. Indeed, we have

$$Xp \otimes_{pAp} pY \cong X \otimes_A Ap \otimes_{pAp} pA \otimes_A Y,$$

so the result follows from the isomorphism $Ap \otimes_{pAp} pA \cong A$, $a \otimes b \mapsto ab$, of A-A-correspondences. $\quad\square$

The correspondences we have constructed are not quite compatible with the dynamics of Bost-Connes systems, because $N_L \circ \sigma = N_K^{[L:\sigma(K)]}$. It is therefore natural to replace the absolute norm N_K by the normalized norm $\tilde{N}_K := N_K^{1/[K:\mathbb{Q}]}$, and define a dynamics $\tilde{\sigma}^K$ on $A_K \subset C_0(X_K) \rtimes J_K$ by

$$\tilde{\sigma}_t^K(fu_g) = \tilde{N}_K(g)^{it} fu_g = N_K(g)^{it/[K:\mathbb{Q}]} fu_g = \sigma_{t/[K:\mathbb{Q}]}^K(fu_g).$$

For an embedding $\sigma \colon K \to L$ of number fields we define a one-parameter group of isometries U^σ on $A_\sigma \subset C_0(X_\sigma) \rtimes J_L$ by

$$U_t^\sigma fu_g = \tilde{N}_L(g)^{it} fu_g = N_L(g)^{it/[L:\mathbb{Q}]} fu_g.$$

The correspondence A_σ then becomes equivariant for the dynamical systems $(A_L, \tilde{\sigma}^L)$ and $(A_K, \tilde{\sigma}^K)$ in the sense that

$$U_t^\sigma a\xi = \tilde{\sigma}_t^L(a) U_t^\sigma \xi \text{ for } a \in A_L, \quad U_t^\sigma(\xi a) = (U_t^\sigma \xi)\tilde{\sigma}_t^K(a) \text{ for } a \in A_K, \quad \langle U_t^\sigma \xi, U_t^\sigma \zeta \rangle = \tilde{\sigma}_t^K(\langle \xi, \zeta \rangle).$$

It is clear that the isomorphism $A_\tau \otimes_{A_L} A_\sigma \cong A_{\tau \circ \sigma}$ is equivariant with respect to the actions of $\mathbb{R}$ by isometries $U_t^\tau \otimes U_t^\sigma$ on $A_\tau \otimes_{A_L} A_\sigma$ and $U_t^{\tau \circ \sigma}$ on $A_{\tau \circ \sigma}$.

Summarizing properties of the correspondences A_σ we get the following result.

Theorem 4.4. *The maps $K \mapsto (A_K, \tilde{\sigma}^K)$ for number fields K and $\sigma \mapsto (A_\sigma, U_\sigma)$ for embeddings $\sigma \colon K \to L$ of number fields, define a functor from the category of number fields with embeddings as morphisms into the category of C*-dynamical systems with isomorphism classes of $\mathbb{R}$-equivariant correspondences as morphisms.*

It is natural to ask whether this functor is injective on objects and morphisms. A related problem has been recently studied in [6], where it is shown that the systems (A_K, σ^K) and (A_L, σ^L) are isomorphic (via an isomorphism of a particular form) if and only if K and L are isomorphic.

Next we will check how KMS-states for Bost-Connes systems behave under induction with respect to correspondences A_σ. For this we shall use the general construction of induced KMS-weights [13].

Assume A is a C*-algebra with a one-parameter group of automorphisms σ, X is a right Hilbert A-module, and U is a one-parameter group of isometries on X such that $U_t(\xi a) = (U_t\xi)\sigma_t(a)$ and $\langle U_t\xi, U_t\zeta \rangle = \sigma_t(\langle \xi, \zeta \rangle)$ (the first condition is in fact a consequence of the second). Then U defines a strictly continuous 1-parameter group of automorphisms σ^U on the C*-algebra $B(X)$ of adjointable operators on X, $\sigma_t^U(T) = U_t T U_{-t}$. Assume φ is a σ-KMS$_\beta$ weight on A, so φ is σ-invariant, lower semicontinuous, densely defined and $\varphi(x^*x) = \varphi(\sigma_{-i\beta/2}(x)\sigma_{-i\beta/2}(x)^*)$ for every x in the domain

of definition of $\sigma_{-i\beta/2}$. By [13, Theorem 3.2] there exists a unique σ^U-KMS$_\beta$ weight Φ on the C*-algebra $K(X)$ of generalized compact operators on X such that

$$\Phi(\theta_{\xi,\xi}) = \varphi(\langle U_{i\beta/2}\xi, U_{i\beta/2}\xi \rangle)$$

for every $\xi \in X$ in the domain of definition of $U_{i\beta/2}$, where $\theta_{\xi,\xi} \in K(X)$ is the operator defined by $\theta_{\xi,\xi}\zeta = \xi\langle \xi, \zeta \rangle$. Furthermore, the weight Φ extends uniquely to a strictly lower semicontinuous weight on $B(X)$. We will denote this weight by $\mathrm{Ind}_X^U \varphi$.

Induced weights behave in the expected way with respect to induction in stages. Namely, assume B is another C*-algebra with dynamics γ and Y is a right Hilbert B-module with a one-parameter group of isometries V such that $\langle V_t\xi, V_t\zeta \rangle = \gamma_t(\langle \xi, \zeta \rangle)$. Assume further that B acts on the left on X and $U_t b\xi = \gamma_t(b)U_t\xi$. By [13, Proposition 3.4] if the restriction of $\mathrm{Ind}_X^U \varphi$ to B is densely defined then

$$\mathrm{Ind}_Y^V((\mathrm{Ind}_X^U \varphi)|_B) = \mathrm{Ind}_{Y\otimes_B X}^{V\otimes U} \varphi \quad \text{on} \quad B(Y).$$

Returning to Bost-Connes systems, recall that by [12, Proposition 1.1] for every $\beta \neq 0$ there is a one-to-one correspondence between positive σ^K-KMS$_\beta$-functionals on A_K and measures μ on X_K such that $\mu(Y_K) < \infty$ and $\mu(gZ) = N_K(g)^{-\beta}\mu(Z)$ for $g \in J_K$ and Borel subsets $Z \subset X_K$. Such a measure defines a weight on $C_0(X_K)$. By composing it with the canonical conditional expectation $C_0(X_K) \rtimes J_K \to C_0(X_K)$, we get a weight on the crossed product, and its restriction to A_K gives the required functional corresponding to μ. It follows from [12, Proposition 1.2] that for $\beta > 1$ such a measure μ is completely determined by its restriction to $Y_{K,0} = \mathcal{G}(K^{ab}/K) \times_{\hat{\mathcal{O}}_K^*} \hat{\mathcal{O}}_K^*$, and any finite measure ν on $Y_{K,0}$ extends uniquely to a measure μ on X_K satisfying the above conditions. We denote the corresponding functional on A_K by $\varphi_{\beta,\nu}$. Then $\varphi_{\beta,\nu}(1) = \zeta_K(\beta)\nu(Y_{K,0})$, where ζ_K is the Dedekind zeta function. One the other hand, for every $\beta \in (0,1]$ there is a unique KMS$_\beta$-state, and for the corresponding measure μ we have $\mu(Y_{K,0}) = 0$, see [12, Theorem 2.1].

Proposition 4.5. *Let L/K be an extension of number fields with $K \neq L$, φ a σ^K-KMS$_{[L:K]\beta}$-state (hence a $\tilde{\sigma}^K$-KMS$_{[L:\mathbb{Q}]\beta}$-state) on A_K. Put $\Phi = (\mathrm{Ind}_{A_\sigma}^{U_\sigma} \varphi)|_{A_L}$, where $\sigma\colon K \to L$ is the identity map, so Φ is a weight satisfying the σ^L-KMS$_\beta$-condition but possibly not densely defined. Then*

(i) *if $\beta > 1$ and $\varphi = \varphi_{[L:K]\beta,\nu}$ for a measure ν on $Y_{K,0}$ then $\Phi = \varphi_{\beta,\sigma_*(\nu)}$; in particular,*

$$\Phi(1) = \frac{\zeta_L(\beta)}{\zeta_K([L:K]\beta)};$$

(ii) *if $\beta \in (0,1]$ then $\Phi(1) = +\infty$.*

Proof. Observe first that if p is a full projection in a C*-algebra A, then induction of KMS-weights by the A-pAp correspondence Ap simply means extension. In view of this the induction procedure for Bost-Connes systems can be described as follows. Assume φ is defined by a measure μ on X_K as described above. It defines a measure on $i_\sigma(X_K)$. This measure extends uniquely to a measure λ on X_σ such that

$$\lambda(gZ) = \tilde{N}_L(g)^{-[L:\mathbb{Q}]\beta}\lambda(Z) = N_L(g)^{-\beta}\lambda(Z) \quad \text{for } g \in J_L \text{ and Borel } Z \subset X_\sigma.$$

Then Φ is the weight defined by the measure $\mu_\sigma := \pi_{\sigma*}(\lambda)$ on X_L. Therefore the claims are that (i) if $\beta > 1$ and $\nu = \mu|_{Y_{K,0}}$ then $\mu_\sigma|_{Y_{L,0}} = \sigma_*(\nu)$, and (ii) if $\beta \in (0,1]$ then $\mu_\sigma(Y_L) = +\infty$.

Assume $\beta > 1$ and let $\nu = \mu|_{Y_{K,0}}$. Since the sets $gY_{K,0}$, $g \in J_K$, are pairwise disjoint and the measure μ is determined by ν, we have

$$\mu(Z) = \sum_{g \in J_K} \tilde{N}_K(g)^{[L:\mathbb{Q}]\beta}\nu(gZ \cap Y_{K,0}) \quad \text{for Borel } Z \subset X_K.$$

In particular, μ is concentrated on $J_K Y_{K,0}$. Since the sets $gi_\sigma(Y_{K,0})$, $g \in J_L$, are pairwise disjoint, we have a similar formula for λ, so that λ is concentrated on $J_L i_\sigma(Y_{K,0})$. Since $\pi_\sigma(i_\sigma(Y_{K,0})) \subset Y_{L,0}$, we conclude that μ_σ is concentrated on $J_L Y_{L,0}$ and $\mu_\sigma|_{Y_{L,0}} = (\pi_\sigma \circ i_\sigma)_*(\nu) = \sigma_*(\nu)$.

Assume now that $\beta \in (0,1]$. For $\beta > 1/[L:K]$ it is immediate that $\mu_\sigma(Y_L) = +\infty$, since on the one hand $\mu_\sigma(Y_{L,0}) \geq \mu(Y_{K,0}) > 0$, and on the other we know that if $\mu_\sigma(Y_L) < \infty$ then $\mu_\sigma(Y_{L,0}) = 0$. But for $\beta \leq 1/[L:K]$ we need a different argument.

Let v be a finite place of K. Consider the subset W_v of $Y_K = \mathcal{G}(K^{ab}/K) \times_{\hat{\mathcal{O}}_K^*} \hat{\mathcal{O}}_K$ which is the image of $\mathcal{G}(K^{ab}/K) \times \mathcal{O}_{K,v}^* \times \prod_{w \neq v, w \nmid \infty} \mathcal{O}_{K,w}$ under the quotient map. The scaling condition for μ implies (see [12]) that

$$\mu(W_v) = 1 - \tilde{N}_K(\mathfrak{p}_v)^{-[L:\mathbb{Q}]\beta} = 1 - N_K(\mathfrak{p}_v)^{-[L:K]\beta}.$$

Denote by $J_{L,v}^+$ the unital subsemigroup of J_L^+ generated by ideals $\mathfrak{p}_w$ with $w|v$. Then for $g \in J_{L,v}^+$ the sets $\pi_\sigma(gi_\sigma(W_v)) = g\sigma(W_v)$ are mutually disjoint and contained in Y_L. Hence

$$\mu_\sigma(Y_L) \geq \sum_{g \in J_{L,v}^+} \lambda(gi_\sigma(W_v)) = \sum_{g \in J_{L,v}^+} N_L(g)^{-\beta} \mu(W_v) = \frac{1 - N_K(\mathfrak{p}_v)^{-[L:K]\beta}}{\prod_{w|v}(1 - N_L(\mathfrak{p}_w)^{-\beta})}.$$

A similar computation for a finite set F of places $v \nmid \infty$ yields

$$\mu_\sigma(Y_L) \geq \prod_{v \in F} \frac{1 - N_K(\mathfrak{p}_v)^{-[L:K]\beta}}{\prod_{w|v}(1 - N_L(\mathfrak{p}_w)^{-\beta})}.$$

We claim that for $\beta \in (0,1]$ the above expression tends to infinity as F ranges over all such sets. This is obviously the case for $\beta = 1$, since the denominator converges to $\zeta_L(1)^{-1} = 0$, while the numerator converges to $\zeta_K([L:K])^{-1} \neq 0$ (as $[L:K] \geq 2$ by assumption). Therefore it suffices to check that each factor in the above product is a non-increasing function in β on $(0,1]$. To see this write $\mathfrak{p}_v \mathcal{O}_L$ as $\prod_{w|v} \mathfrak{p}_w^{s_w}$, then $N_K(\mathfrak{p}_v)^{[L:K]} = \prod_{w|v} N_L(\mathfrak{p}_w)^{s_w}$. Therefore is suffices to check that for numbers $x_1, \ldots, x_n > 1$ and $s_1, \ldots, s_n \geq 1$ the function

$$\frac{1 - x_1^{-s_1\beta} \ldots x_n^{-s_n\beta}}{(1 - x_1^{-\beta}) \ldots (1 - x_n^{-\beta})}$$

is non-increasing in β on $(0,1]$. This in turn is easy to see using that the function $\dfrac{1 - ax^{-s\beta}}{1 - x^{-\beta}}$ is non-increasing for any $x > 1$, $s \geq 1$ and $0 \leq a \leq 1$. Thus $\mu_\sigma(Y_L) = +\infty$. $\square$

REFERENCES

[1] J. Arledge, M. Laca and I. Raeburn, *Semigroup crossed products and Hecke algebras arising from number fields*, Doc. Math. **2** (1997) 115–138.

[2] J.-B. Bost and A. Connes, *Hecke algebras, type III factors and phase transitions with spontaneous symmetry breaking in number theory*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) **1** (1995), 411–457.

[3] J.W.S Cassels and A. Fröhlich, eds., *Algebraic Number Theory*, Academic Press, 1967.

[4] A. Connes, M. Marcolli and N. Ramachandran, *KMS states and complex multiplication*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) **11** (2005), 325–347.

[5] A. Connes, M. Marcolli and N. Ramachandran, *KMS states and complex multiplication. II*, in: Operator Algebras: The Abel Symposium 2004, 15–59, Abel Symp., **1**, Springer, Berlin, 2006.

[6] G. Cornelissen and M. Marcolli, *Quantum Statistical Mechanics, L-series and Anabelian Geometry*, preprint arXiv:1009.0736v5 [math.NT].

[7] E. Ha and F. Paugam, *Bost-Connes-Marcolli systems for Shimura varieties. I. Definitions and formal analytic properties*, IMRP Int. Math. Res. Pap. **5** (2005), 237–286.

[8] D. Harari and E. Leichtnam, *Extension du phénomène de brisure spontanée de symétrie de Bost-Connes au cas de corps globaux quelconques*, Sel. Math. (New Series), **3** (1997), 205–243.

[9] M. Laca, *Semigroups of *-endomorphisms, Dirichlet series, and phase transitions*, J. Funct. Anal. **152** (1998), 330–378.

[10] M. Laca, *From endomorphisms to automorphisms and back: dilations and full corners*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **61** (2000), 893–904.

[11] M. Laca, N.S. Larsen and S. Neshveyev, *Hecke algebras of semidirect products and the finite part of the Connes-Marcolli C*-algebra*, Adv. Math. **217** (2008), 449–488.

[12] M. Laca, N. S. Larsen and S. Neshveyev, *On Bost-Connes type systems for number fields*, J. Number Theory **129** (2009), 325–338.

[13] M. Laca and S. Neshveyev, *KMS states of quasi-free dynamics on Pimsner algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. **211** (2004), 457–482.

[14] M. Laca and I. Raeburn, *A semigroup crossed product arising in number theory*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **59** (1999), 330–344.

[15] M. Laca and M. van Frankenhuijsen, *Phase transitions on Hecke C*-algebras and class-field theory over $\mathbb{Q}$*, J. Reine angew. Math. **595** (2006), 25–53.

[16] M. Laca and M. van Frankenhuijsen, *Phase transitions on Hecke C*-algebras of fields with nontrivial class number*, in preparation.

[17] S. Neshveyev, *Ergodicity of the action of the positive rationals on the group of finite adeles and the Bost–Connes phase transition theorem*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **130** (2002), 2999–3003.

[18] S. Neshveyev, *Von Neumann algebras arising from Bost-Connes type systems*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **2011**, no. 1, 217–236.

[19] K. Tzanev, *Hecke C*-algebras and amenability*, J. Operator Theory **50** (2003), 169–178.

Marcelo Laca, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Victoria, PO Box 3060, Victoria, BC V8W 3R4, Canada
E-mail address: `laca@uvic.ca`

Sergey Neshveyev, Department of Mathematics, University of Oslo, PO Box 1053 Blindern, N-0316 Oslo, Norway
E-mail address: `sergeyn@math.uio.no`

Mak Trifković, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Victoria, PO Box 3060, Victoria, BC V8W 3R4, Canada
E-mail address: `mtrifkov@uvic.ca`